



NSLPP MEETING HELD ON 14/09/2022

Attachments:

1. Planning Proposal
2. Architectural Plans

ADDRESS/WARD: 1-7 Rangers Road & 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay

PROPOSAL NO: 6/22

PROPOSAL: To amend North Sydney Local Environmental Plan (NSLEP) 2013 as follows:

- Increase the maximum building height control for the site from 16m to part 30m (at 50 Yeo Street) and part 40m (at 1-7 Rangers Road); and
- Increase the minimum non-residential floor space ratio control from 0.5:1 to 1.7:1.

To provide greater certainty over a future built form on the site, the Planning Proposal is accompanied by suite of suggested site-specific development controls to be incorporated within North Sydney Development Control Plan (NSDCP) 2013 and an offer to enter into a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) to deliver a number of public benefits.

OWNER: JPS Properties Pty Ltd; Cenijade Pty Ltd; Steven Chambers and Patricia Chambers

APPLICANT: Fabcot Pty Ltd (Woolworths)

AUTHOR: Katerina Papas, Senior Strategic Planner

DATE OF REPORT: 26 August 2022

DATE LODGED: 20 May 2022

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On 20 May 2022, Council received a Planning Proposal seeking to amend North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 (NSLEP 2013) as it relates to land at 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay. The subject site is located within the area covered by the now rescinded *Neutral Bay Town Centre Future Directions Report* (also referred as *Military Road Corridor Planning Study Stage 1*).

The Planning Proposal seeks to amend NSLEP 2013 as follows:

- Increase the maximum building height control for the site from 16m to part 30m (at 50 Yeo Street) and part 40m (at 1-7 Rangers Road); and
- Increase the minimum non-residential floor space ratio control for the site from 0.5:1 to 1.7:1.

The intent of the proposal, as reflected in the accompanying indicative reference design, is to facilitate the delivery of a part 2, 7 and 10 storey mixed-use development comprising a supermarket at a subterranean level, retail and commercial floor space within the podium and 91 apartments located above. A basement car park containing 350 car parking spaces is proposed.

To provide greater certainty over a future built form on the site, the Planning Proposal is accompanied by a suite of suggested site-specific development controls to be incorporated within North Sydney Development Control Plan 2013 (NSDCP 2013).

The Planning Proposal is also accompanied by non-binding letter of offer to enter into a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) with Council to deliver a number of public benefits including: the provision of 65 car parking spaces for public use, in perpetuity, located within the basement of the future development; a public plaza; an arcade-style pedestrian through-site link; and streetscape upgrades adjacent to the site.

Having consideration of the assessment criteria outlined in the Department of Planning and Environment's '*LEP Making Guideline*' (December 2021), the Planning Proposal is not considered to demonstrate strategic and site-specific merit for the following reasons:

- The proposal is not underpinned by a comprehensive strategic planning study for the locality and if implemented, will undermine the ability to achieve the objectives and actions of high-level strategic planning policies relating to the site, including:
 - Greater Sydney Regional Plan – *A Metropolis of Three Cities*;
 - North District Plan; and
 - North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement.
- The proposal is inconsistent with the following section 9.1 Ministerial Directions under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*:
 - *Direction 1.1 – Implementation of Regional Plans*
 - *Direction 5.1 – Integrating Land Use and Transport*
 - *Direction 7.1 – Business and Industrial Zones*

- The indicative concept design fails to demonstrate how the site could be acceptably developed to the requested heights insofar that it does not respond adequately to the site attributes and context and will result in significant level of public and private amenity impacts including dominance of the public domain, overshadowing and traffic generation;
- The proposal would set an unacceptable precedent prior to the establishment of a finalised policy position for future development in the locality, and undermine the future strategic planning work for the Neutral Bay town centre; and
- The proposal undermines the development potential and public benefit opportunities of the immediately adjoining site to the north.

It is recommended that Planning Proposal (PP6/22) not be supported to proceed to Gateway Determination.

LOCATION MAP



BACKGROUND

Military Road Corridor Planning Study – Stage 1 (Rescinded)

In 2018, Council resolved to commence the preparation of a planning study for the Military Road Corridor to guide and manage change and growth in the Neutral Bay Town Centre.

When the preparation of the planning study commenced in 2018, there was a recognition that the Neutral Bay Town Centre was experiencing significant development pressure. It was also acknowledged that there was ongoing erosion of employment floor space, facilitated by Council's existing planning controls. There was benefit in preparing a planning study to address these matters and to ensure that development sought to meet the needs and expectations of the community as well as ensuring that tangible public benefits could be derived from any future development to revitalise and enhance the precinct.

The finalised *Neutral Bay Town Centre – Future Directions Report (also known as the Military Road Corridor Planning Study – Stage 1)* was adopted by Council on 22 February 2021. To address the decline in employment floor space it broadly recommended to increase the non-residential floor space ratio from 0.5:1 to 1.2:1 and increase the maximum building height limit from 5 to 6 storeys for most new mixed-use buildings in the Neutral Bay Town Centre. It also identified planning proposal opportunities for three key sites, these being sites identified as able to support taller mixed-use buildings whilst providing much needed new open space and community facilities (refer to Figure 1).



FIGURE 1:
Identified
'opportunity' sites in
Neutral Bay Town
Centre (source:
Rescinded MRCPS, p.
53)

The MRCPS provided a suite of objectives and requirements for the redevelopment of identified opportunity sites. In particular, it recommended the redevelopment of 'Site 3' (located at 183-185 Military Road and 1-7 Rangers Road) as follows:

- a minimum non-residential floor space ratio of 2:1
- a maximum building height of 6, 8 and 12 storeys (refer to Figure 2)
- a new community facility (1,000m²)

- 1,250m² new public domain including:
 - a 1,000m² new public plaza with an underground supermarket
 - a through-site link to Yeo Street
 - a 2.5m whole of building setback to allow for an expanded tree canopy along Rangers Road and Military Road
- a 14-space public commuter bicycle parking facility
- activate street edges along Rangers Road, Military Road, the new plaza, through-site link and part of Yeo Street
- loading and basement access through Military Lane or potentially along Yeo Street, subject to a transport study
- mitigate wind impacts to the public plaza
- access to underground uses within a building façade to limit structures on the plaza.



FIGURE 2: Recommended building envelope for 'opportunity sites' in Neutral Bay Town Centre (source: Rescinded MRCPS, p. 52)

At its meeting on 24 January 2022, Council formally rescinded the Study and resolved the following:

- 1. THAT** Council notes the submissions received and the issues raised during the exhibition.
- 2. THAT** Council notes the strong community feedback on the height and scale of the existing report received during the recent election.
- 3. THAT** Council does not adopt the Future Directions Report (dated 22 February 2021), as amended, as the strategic development framework for the Neutral Bay town centre.
- 4. THAT** Council conduct a briefing on the rescission of the Future Directions Report prior to proceeding to Point 5 below.
- 5. THAT** Council adds to its program and budget, the creation of an updated strategic development framework for the Military Road Corridor including Neutral Bay centre by engaging with the community and stakeholders to prepare a revised recommendation that has a better balance between development height and the provision of additional public open space.

On 23 May 2022, Council endorsed a scoping framework as the basis to guide the revised planning study for the Neutral Bay Town Centre to guide and manage change and to influence community benefits and public domain improvements in the precinct.

Pre lodgement discussions

In July 2018, Council was approached by the landowners of 'Site 3' (1-7 Rangers Road and 183-185 Military Road) regarding the future redevelopment of their site. Following Council's endorsement of the preparation of a planning study and associated community consultation work for the Neutral Bay Town Centre, Council advised that it would not provide feedback on any scheme presented until the MRCPS was finalised.

In September 2019, Council met with the different landowners of both 183-185 Military Road (Equitibuild) and the applicant (Fabcot/Woolworths) to discuss the draft MRCPS on exhibition. A subsequent meeting was held in October 2020 to discuss a preliminary concept design which broadly aligned with the draft MRCPS. It proposed to deliver similar building heights (8-12 storeys) and public benefits (public plaza and recreation facility). Council staff encouraged the landowners to defer lodging a Planning Proposal until the MRCPS was finalised and endorsed by Council.

Following the finalisation and endorsement of the MRCPS by Council in February 2021, Council met with the applicant (Fabcot/Woolworths) in August 2021. The applicant advised that attempts to reach a commercial agreement with the adjacent landowners at 183-185 Military Road (Equitibuild) had been unsuccessful and they were now exploring opportunities to amalgamate with the adjacent site at 50 Yeo Street, which was not included as a key site in the MRCPS. A concept scheme was presented for 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, which included building heights of 6-12 storeys, a 750m² public plaza and community centre. Council staff reinforced that the intent of the MRCPS is to deliver public benefits. It was stressed that a minimum 1,000m² public plaza, a community centre and appropriate building heights that maintained the village atmosphere would be required. Better consideration of the proposed built form's relationship with 183-185 Military Road was also needed.

In October 2021, the applicant presented a new concept scheme that included 1-7 Rangers Road, 50 Yeo Street and 183-185 Military Road. Buildings with 6, 8, 10 and 12 storeys were proposed with a 1,000m² public plaza and a community centre. Basement parking was proposed to be located, in-part, under Military Lane (Council land). Council staff reinforced the expectation of any proposed built form is to comply with ADG requirements and the height controls identified in the MRCPS. Feedback was also provided on overshadowing, setbacks and articulation, access and quality of open space. It was noted that whilst Woolworths had recently amalgamated with 50 Yeo Street, a commercial agreement with Equitibuild had not been reached.

In December 2021, the applicant presented a revised concept scheme for 1-7 Rangers Road, 50 Yeo Street and 183-185 Military Road. Whilst the amended design addressed a number of Council's previous concerns, it still proposed buildings with 6, 8, 10 and 12 storeys inconsistent with the adopted Study. Council staff reiterated the importance of the proposed built form complying with the height controls identified in the MRCPS. It was noted that a commercial agreement with 183-185 Military Road had still not been reached, however the applicant advised they were working to achieve an in-principle agreement by the end of 2021.

On the back of these meetings, the applicant was in the process of refining their plans and preparing a Planning Proposal for submission in early 2022 when Council resolved to rescind the MRCPS on 24 January 2022.

On 2 May 2022, Council invited owners of various sites within the rescinded MRCPS area to present potential development concepts to Councillors.

On 20 May 2022, the applicant lodged the subject Planning Proposal with Council, which excludes 183-185 Military Road.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

The subject Planning Proposal (PP6/22) seeks to amend the North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 (NSLEP 2013) as it relates to land at 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay as follows:

- Increase the maximum building height control from 16m to part 30m (50 Yeo Street) and part 40m (1-7 Rangers Road); and
- Increase the minimum non-residential floor space ratio control from 0.5:1 to 1.7:1.

The key objectives of the Planning Proposal as described by the applicant are as follows:

- *deliver a built form outcome that responds to the intent of the draft Future Directions Report for Neutral Bay Town Centre;*
- *deliver a high-quality mixed-use development that exhibits design excellence on a site earmarked for high density residential development;*
- *assist in facilitating the evolution of the Neutral Bay Town Centre towards a high-amenity mixed-use centre;*
- *enhance pedestrian amenity and connectivity by providing new north-south pedestrian linkages between Yeo Street and Rangers Road;*
- *enhance the quality of the retail environment within Neutral Bay Town Centre*
- *maintain a viable commercial and retail presence on the site, at a scale that will meet the future needs of permanent new jobs to support the strengthening of the Neutral Bay Town Centre local economy;*
- *deliver residential development in a desirable location that receives ample access to iconic views, public transport and surrounding civic amenities;*
- *deliver a diverse mix of residential apartments that will enjoy excellent amenity, taking advantage of the site's strategic location, proximity to services and the exceptional bus network along Military Road with direct connections to other major employment destinations;*
- *Enable the development of a high performance building in terms of amenity and sustainability.*

The intent of the proposal, as reflected in the accompanying indicative reference design, is to facilitate the delivery of a part 2, 7 and 10 storey mixed-use development comprising a supermarket at a subterranean level, retail and commercial floor space within the podium and 91 apartments located above, and basement car park for 350 cars.

A numerical overview of the applicant's indicative reference design is outlined in Table 1.

Building Height	41.3m (10 storeys) 30.7m (7 storeys) 9.9m (2 storeys)
Podium Height	
– Rangers Road	4 storeys

TABLE 1 – Numerical overview of applicant’s reference design	
– Yeo Street	4 storeys
– Military Lane	3 storeys
– Around plaza	2 storeys
Setbacks	
– North (Rangers Road)	Podium Nil Tower 5.5m
– South (Yeo Street)	Podium Nil Tower 3.5m
– West (Military Lane)	Podium Nil Tower 3.0m
– East (9-11 Rangers Road)	Podium Nil Tower 9.0m
Gross Floor Area (GFA)	
– Non-residential GFA	7,260m (includes 3,311m ² supermarket; 1,846m ² retail; 2,103m ² commercial)
– Residential GFA	12,682m ² (91 apartments)
– Total	19,218m ²
Car Parking	350 car spaces over 4 basement levels

To provide increased certainty over a future built form on the site, the Planning Proposal is accompanied by a suite of suggested site-specific development controls to be incorporated within North Sydney Development Control Plan 2013 (NSDCP 2013). These include provisions relating to site layout, land use, public domain, heights, setbacks and podium treatment, building design, active frontages and parking, access and servicing.




FIGURE 3: Proposed building massing diagrams (source: Applicant’s Urban Design Report, p. 28)



FIGURE 4: Photomontage proposed development concept (as seen from the intersection of Rangers Road and Military Road)

The Planning Proposal is also accompanied by non-binding letter of offer to enter into a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) with Council to deliver a number of public benefits. This includes provision of 65 car spaces for public use located within the basement of the future development, a public plaza and pedestrian through-site link connecting Rangers Road to Yeo Street, and streetscape upgrades adjacent to the site.

PANEL REFERRAL

On 23 February 2018, the Minister for Planning released a Section 9.1 Direction which outlines the instances when a planning proposal must be referred to a Local Planning Panel for advice prior to a council determining whether that planning proposal should be forwarded to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for the purposes of seeking a Gateway Determination.

All planning proposals are required to be referred to the Local Planning Panel, unless they meet any of the following exemptions:

- the correction of an obvious error in a local environmental plan;
- matters that are of a consequential, transitional, machinery or other minor nature;
or
- matters that Council's General Manager considers will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjacent land.

The Planning Proposal does not meet any of the exemption criteria and therefore must be referred to the Local Planning Panel for advice prior to Council making any determination on the matter.

MINISTERIAL ORDER (STATEMENT OF EXPECTATIONS)

On 26 November 2021, the Minister for Planning made the Environmental Planning and Assessment (Statement of Expectations) Order 2021. The Order establishes various expectations in relation to Council's development assessment, planning proposal and strategic planning roles and expected levels of performance. In particular, the Minister confirms his expectations that Councils should:

...make a decision as to whether to support or not a proponent led planning proposal as soon as practical and no longer than 90 days.

The Order includes an explanatory note which states:

...if a Council is found not to be meeting these expectations, the Minister can take these matters into consideration as part of determining if it is appropriate to appoint a planning administrator or regional panel to exercise Council's functions.

In the context of this Order and the various assessment requirements including internal assessment, referral to the Local Planning Panel and formal reporting to Council to occur within the 90-day threshold, amended plans and negotiations have not been invited and the application has been assessed on the basis of the information as lodged.

DETAIL

1. Applicant

The Planning Proposal was lodged by Fabcot Pty Ltd (Woolworths) on behalf of the landowners of 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay. Owners consent has been obtained from all three landowners.

2. Site Description

The subject site comprises of three (3) parcels of land. The legal property description and existing development is outlined in the Table 2.

Property Description	Legal Description	Existing Development
50 Yeo Street	Lot 1, DP 1091373	6-storey commercial building with frontage to Yeo Street.
1-7 Rangers Road	Lot 2, DP 1091373	Single-storey supermarket with frontage to Rangers Road and vehicular access to underground car park from Yeo Street and access to loading dock from Military Lane.
	Lot 3, DP 1091373	



FIGURE 5: Subject Site



FIGURE 6: Aerial Photo

The subject site is bound by Rangers Road to the north-east, Yeo Street to the south and Military Lane to the west. The site is irregular in shape with a 75m frontage to Rangers Road and 89m frontage to Yeo Street. The site also has a frontage to Military Lane, which functions as a service lane. The total site area is approximately 4,207m². There is a gradual fall of approx. 1.5m across the site from north (Rangers Road RL83.5) to south (Yeo Street RL82).

The site contains a single storey supermarket at 1-7 Rangers Road and a 6-storey commercial building at 50 Yeo Street. Both buildings are constructed to address their respective street frontages. Vehicular access to the existing basement carpark at 1-7 Rangers Road is provided from Yeo Street, whilst loading and servicing is provided via loading dock on Military Lane.



FIGURE 7: Existing Woolworths (1-7 Rangers Road)



FIGURE 8: Existing Woolworths underground carpark entry (Yeo Street)



FIGURE 9: Existing development at 50 Yeo Street



FIGURE 10: Military Lane

3. Local Context

The subject site is located in the south-eastern portion of the 'Neutral Bay Town Centre' (refer to Figure 8), which is identified as a 'local centre' under the relevant District Plan and Local Plan.




FIGURE 11: 'Neutral Bay Town Centre' Locality Area under Part C of NSDCP 2013

Military Road is located approximately 20m to the north, which provides regular bus services to the south to North Sydney CBD and Sydney CBD, and to the north to Mosman, Chatswood, St Leonards and Manly.

To the north of the subject site, on the adjacent lot at 183-185 Military Road, is a three-storey commercial building constructed in the 1970s with ground level retail and commercial uses above. Further to the north, along Military Road are predominantly two-storey buildings constructed between the 1960s-1980s with small scale retail tenancies at the ground level and commercial uses above.

To the south of the site, on the opposite side of Yeo Street are a series of two-three storey residential flat buildings with north-facing windows and balconies. Adjacent to the subject site, at the corner of Yeo Street and Rangers Road, is a recent 6-storey mixed-use development with ground level retail and residential apartments above with basement parking accessed from Yeo Street.

To the east of the site, on the opposite side of Rangers Road, is a recently completed five-storey mixed-use development.

To the west of the site, is Military Lane providing rear access for a number of buildings fronting Military Road and Wycombe Road. Further to the west of the site, on the corner of Military Lane and Yeo Street, is a recently completed four-storey mixed-use development.



FIGURE 12: Adjacent development to north (183-185 Military Road)



FIGURE 13: Adjacent development to south (9 Rangers Road)



FIGURE 14: Residential development on southern side of Yeo Street



FIGURE 15: Residential development on southern side of Yeo Street



FIGURE 16: Mixed-use development to east along Rangers Road



FIGURE 17: Mixed-use development to west at corner Military Lane and Yeo Street

4. Current Planning Provisions

The following subsections identify the relevant planning instruments that apply to the subject site.

4.1 NSLEP 2013

NSLEP 2013 was made on 2 August 2013 through its publication on the NSW legislation website and came into force on the 13 September 2013. The principal planning provisions relating to the subject site are as follows:

- Zoned *B4 - Mixed Use* (refer to Figure 18);
- A maximum building height of 16m (refer to Figure 19); and
- A minimum Non-residential Floor Area of 0.5:1 (refer to Figure 20).




FIGURE 18:
NSLEP 2013 Zoning Map extract

The subject site is zoned B4 Mixed Use.




FIGURE 19:
NSLEP 2013 Height of Buildings
Map Extract

The subject site has a maximum height of 16m.




FIGURE 20:
NSLEP 2013 Non-residential Floor
Space Area Map extract

The subject site has a minimum Non-residential Floor Space Area of 0.5:1.

5. Proposed Planning Provisions

5.1 Amendment to NSLEP 2013


The Planning Proposal seeks to achieve its objectives and intended outcomes by amending NSLEP 2013 as follows:

- Amend the Height of Buildings Map from 16m to part 30m (50 Yeo street) and 40m (1-7 Rangers Road);
- Amend the Non-Residential Floor Space Ratio Map from 0.5:1 to 1.7:1.

The Planning Proposal anticipates that the Maps to NSLEP 2013 would be amended similar to those depicted in Figures 21 and 22.



FIGURE 21:
 Extract of proposed amendment to
 Height of Buildings map to NSLEP
 2013
 (source: Applicant's Planning
 Proposal)

 Land subject to a change in
 maximum building height.

Two new keys would be required as
 follows:




-  30
-  40



FIGURE 22:
 Extract of proposed amendment to
 Non-Residential Floor Space Ratio
 Map to NSLEP 2013
 (source: Applicant's Planning
 Proposal)

 Land subject to a change in
 minimum non-residential
 floor space area.

A new key would be required as
 follows:

-  1.7

5.2 Amendment to NSDCP 2013

To provide greater certainty over a future built form on the site, the Planning Proposal is accompanied by a suite of suggested site-specific development controls to be incorporated within Part C of NSDCP 2013.

A summary of the applicant's proposed DCP provisions is provided in Table 3 below.

TABLE 3: Proposed site-specific DCP controls	
	Proposed controls
Site Layout	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development within site to be configured as per Figure 23.
Land Use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retail and commercial uses to be located at ground floor. Supermarket to be located below ground.
Public Domain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A public plaza be provided that is open-to-sky and publicly accessible at all times • A north-south arcade link be provided between Yeo Street and the future public plaza that is publicly accessible at all times, with minimum 7m height and 8m width.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combined area of public plaza and arcade link to be no less than 1,000 sqm.
Heights, Setbacks & Podium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building heights and setbacks to be provided as per Figure 25.
Building Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buildings to incorporate design measures to visually break long building facades.
Active Frontages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Location of active frontages at ground floor to be provided as per Figure 26.
Parking, Access and Servicing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vehicular access, basement parking and service entry points to be provided as per Figure 27. • A minimum 65 car parking spaces to be provided for public use. • Future development to incorporate a direct-to-boot facility for supermarket at ground level.



FIGURE 23: Proposed Site Layout Plan




FIGURE 24: Proposed Public Domain Plan



LEGEND

- Site Boundary
- Public Plaza / Arcade
- 10 Storeys
- 9 Storeys
- 7 Storeys
- 4 Storeys
- 3 Storeys
- 2 Storeys


FIGURE 25: Proposed Building Heights and Setbacks Plan



LEGEND

- Site Boundary
- Public Plaza / Arcade
- Active Frontage

FIGURE 26: Proposed Active Frontages Plan



LEGEND

- Site Boundary
- Public Plaza / Arcade
- Pedestrian / Cyclist Access
- Vehicular / Carpark Access
- Truck / Loading Access

FIGURE 27: Proposed Access and Movement Plan

6. Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA)

The Planning Proposal is accompanied by a non-binding letter of offer to enter into a VPA with Council to deliver:

Proposed public benefits		Applicant's Estimated value
Public car parking	Construction and provision of 65 parking spaces within the basement for public use at all times in perpetuity.	\$4,947,587.
Publicly accessible square and public art	Construction and provision of a new publicly accessible square and through-site link comprising approximately 1,103 sqm of paved landscaped area for public recreation, events and cultural activities.	\$1,219,137 (construction cost)
Public Wi-Fi	Provision of free Wi-Fi service within site for public use.	\$200,000 (over 10 years)
Public Domain Improvements and landscaping	Provision of paving upgrades to footpaths adjacent to site, including street trees and furniture.	\$248,000

The applicant's estimated total value of the proposed offer is in the order of \$6,614,724. This is in addition to applicable section 7.11 and/or section 7.12 local infrastructure contributions.

7. STRATEGIC POLICY CONTEXT

7.1 Greater Sydney Regional Plan

In March 2018, the NSW Government released *A Metropolis of Three Cities – Greater Sydney Region Plan* (Regional Plan). It provides a long-term vision and plan to accommodate Sydney's anticipated population growth of 1.7 million people and deliver 725,000 new dwellings and 817,000 new jobs by 2036.

A Metropolis of Three Cities is built on the vision of three cities – the Western Parkland City, the Central City and Eastern Harbour City – where residents can live within 30 minutes of their jobs, education and health facilities, services and great places. It sets out the framework for five districts within Greater Sydney, and seeks to deliver an infrastructure and collaboration, liveability, productivity and sustainability framework. The District Plans, consistent with the Regional Plan, were released at the same time as the Regional Plan.

7.2 North District Plan

In March 2018, the NSW Government released the North District Plan, which covers the LGAs of North Sydney, Hornsby, Ku-ring-gai, Ryde, Hunter Hill, Lane Cove, Willoughby, Mosman and Northern Beaches.

The North District Plan sets the following targets:

Housing Target	North Sydney LGA	North District
5 year (2016-2021)	+3,000 new dwellings	+25,950 new dwellings
20 year (2016-2036)	+11,870 new dwellings (North Sydney Local Housing Strategy)	+92,000 new dwellings

Jobs Target	North Sydney CBD	St Leonards/Crows Nest
20 year (2016 –2036)	+15-600-21,1000 new jobs	+6,900-16,400 new jobs

The North District Plan identifies Neutral Bay as a ‘local centre’ and highlights the importance of sustaining local centres to provide jobs, services and amenity to deliver the Regional Plan’s vision of a 30-minute city.

A key priority of the North District Plan is:

Planning Priority N6: ‘Creating and renewing great places and local centres, and respecting the District’s heritage.’

This priority recognises the important role of local centres as social connectors, and their role in providing local employment opportunities, and the need to co-locate social infrastructure with daily needs and other services to build social connections. It also emphasises the importance of place-based, design-led planning to deliver high-quality places that engage and connect people and communities.

The North District Plan envisages the preparation of place-based planning studies for local centres to be led predominantly by local councils, specifically *‘the resolution of which local centres are important to each council will need to be assessed as part of the preparation of local strategic planning statements.’* It also requires place-based planning for local centres to address the following principles:

- *Provide a public realm and open space focus;*
- *Provide community facilities, services, arts and cultural facilities;*
- *Deliver transit-oriented development and co-locate facilities and social infrastructure;*
- *Protect or expand retail and/or commercial floorspace; and*
- *Increase residential development in, or within a walkable distance of, the centre.*

Following the directions of the Greater Sydney Commission (GSC), North Sydney Council has put in place its Local Housing Strategy (LHS) and Local Strategic Planning Statement (LSPS), which forms part of the hierarchy of plans and provides alignment with the North District Plan.

7.3 Local Strategic Planning Statement (LSPS)

The North Sydney LSPS was adopted by Council on 25 November 2019, and subsequently ‘assured’ by the GSC on 20 March 2020.

One of the key roles of the LSPS is to draw together, in one document, the priorities and actions for future land use planning, and present an overall land use vision for the North Sydney LGA for the next 20 years. The LSPS is required to be consistent with the Regional Plan and North District Plan and provide a clear line-of-sight between the key strategic priorities identified at the regional and district level and the local and neighbourhood level.

The LSPS identifies the preparation and implementation of several precinct-based planning studies, including the Military Road Corridor Planning Study (MRCPS), in recognition that the Neutral Bay precinct is experiencing significant development pressure.

7.4 Military Road Corridor Planning Study – Stage 1 (Rescinded)

On 22 February 2021, Council resolved to adopt a finalised *Neutral Bay Town Centre – Future Directions Report (also known as the Military Road Corridor Planning Study – Stage 1)*.

Consistent with the District Plan’s principles for local centres, the key objectives of the rescinded MRCPS were to:

- address the decline in employment floor space in the centre;
- facilitate carefully planned development while maintaining the village atmosphere of the centre; and
- leverage a range of community benefits and public domain improvements from planned and limited growth.

At its meeting on 24 January 2022, Council resolved to rescind this Study amidst concerns on the height and scale of development envisaged within the Study and further engage with the community and stakeholders to prepare *‘a revised recommendation that has a better balance between development height and the provisions of additional public open space.’*

On 23 May 2022, Council endorsed a scoping framework as the basis for a revised planning study for the Neutral Bay Town Centre. Given that Council has spent the best part of 3 years preparing the MRCPS, including extensive consultation and understanding of the local values, issues and aspirations gained from this process, the revised work is intended to be an extension rather than a completely new and independent planning study. This work is now under way.

The same objectives will be retained in the renewed study as they remain relevant, however, a new objective is to be included relating to *‘targeted modest increases in height and density while maintaining and enhancing the village atmosphere of the centre.’* Whilst this will require adjusted expectations for community benefits to achieve a better balance between height and public benefits, the provision of public open space/plaza will remain a priority in the renewed study as *‘beautiful public spaces’* was the highest ranked future need identified by the community.

It is estimated that the required studies, modelling, consultation and reporting processes will take in the order of 18 months to finalise.

8. ASSESSMENT

8.1 Planning Proposal Structure

The Planning Proposal has been prepared generally in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.33 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment (EP&A) Act 1979 and *Local Environmental Plan Making Guidelines* (DPE 2021). In particular, the Planning Proposal adequately sets out the following:

- A statement of the objectives or intended outcomes of the proposed local environmental plan;
- An explanation of the provisions that are to be included in the proposed local environmental plan;
- Justification of the proposal’s strategic and site specific merit;
- Identification of associated mapping amendments;
- Details of the community consultation that is to be undertaken in relation to the Planning Proposal;

- A project timeline identifying how the planning proposal is to be implemented, should it progress.

8.2 Need for Planning Proposal

A core objective of the Planning Proposal is to *'deliver a built form outcome that responds to the intent of the draft Future Directions Report for Neutral Bay Town Centre.'* Whilst the proposal acknowledges Council's resolution from 24 January 2022 to rescind the *Future Directions Report* as the strategic development framework for the Neutral Bay Town Centre, it states that the applicant does not want to pause the project for another 12-24 months for Council to prepare a renewed study.

The current planning controls do not enable the site to be redeveloped to the requested height, and the intent of the proposal cannot be achieved under *clause 4.6 – Exceptions to development standards* due to degree of variation in height sought.

8.3 Assessment Criteria

Part 3 of the DPE's *'LEP Making Guideline'* (December 2021) outlines the criteria for assessing Planning Proposals. For a Planning Proposal to be supported to proceed to a Gateway Determination it must demonstrate both strategic and site-specific merit.

A planning proposal is deemed to have strategic merit where it:

- *gives effect to the relevant District Plan within the Greater Sydney Region, and/or corridor/precinct plans applying to the site; or*
- *demonstrates consistency with the relevant LSPS; or*
- *Responds to a change in circumstances that has not been recognised by the existing planning framework.*

To demonstrate the proposal is suitable for the site, the proposal must give regard and assess impacts to:

- *the natural environment on the site to which the proposal relates;*
- *existing uses, approved uses, and likely future uses of land in the vicinity of the land to which the proposal relates; and*
- *services and infrastructure that are or will be available to meet the demands arising from the proposal.*

Planning Proposals are also required to be consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and section 9.1 Ministerial Directions.

Despite Council resolving to rescind the MRCPS and pursue a revised planning study, the principles of the rescinded MRCPS remain a valid consideration in the absence of an endorsed Study for the reasons outlined in section 7.4 to this report.

8.4 Building Height, Massing and Transition

A core objective of the rescinded MRCPS was to carefully manage increases in building height in a limited number of locations:

- *to maintain a viable level of employment and residential capacity;*
- *in a manner that does not detract from the village character of the centre; and*
- *that can deliver the public benefits identified within the Study.*

Increases in height were recommended on larger, amalgamated sites where new community facilities and public domain projects could be delivered. As such, the Study clearly expressed that Council would only consider Planning Proposals that seek to increase the heights for sites 1-3 to enable a development up to the maximum number of storeys shown within the Study (refer to Figure 29). For 'site 3', the rescinded MRCPS identified a maximum building height of 12-storeys along Military Road (this site is not included within the subject proposal), 8-storeys along Rangers Road and 6-storeys along Yeo Street, with the proposed heights subject to the solar and setback controls identified in the Study.



FIGURE 28: Proposed building height controls (in storeys) for Neutral Bay town centre (source: Rescinded MRCPS, p. 45)

The intent of the staggered building heights and setbacks was to optimise solar access to existing and future public domain. The taller elements to be located away from Yeo Street and along Military Road-Rangers Road to provide an appropriate transition down from Military Road to protect the existing village character of Neutral Bay and minimise impact to surrounding residential areas (refer to Figure 30).




FIGURE 29: Cross section of 'Site 3' – Rangers Road (source: Rescinded MRCPS, p. 62)

The Planning Proposal seeks a maximum building height of 40m (at 1-7 Rangers Road) and 30m (at 50 Yeo Street) to accommodate a part 10-storey and part 7-storey building (refer to Figure 31). This exceeds the maximum building heights identified in the rescinded MRCPS by 2 storeys along Rangers Road and up to 4-storeys along Yeo Street.

The proposed 10-storey height along Rangers Road and Yeo Street does not provide a human-scale frontage to the plaza nor an appropriate transition in height and scale to surrounding low-scale residential areas to the south. The proposed height and continuous length of the building along Yeo Street results in a 'long and tall' barrier between the proposed plaza and residential dwellings along Yeo Street (refer to Figure 31).




FIGURE 30: Proposed Massing Diagram (Applicant's Urban Design Report, p. 28)

In addition, the requested height of 40m and 30m appears excessive for the number of storeys and could potentially result in a building greater than 10-storeys and 7-storeys respectively across the site.

The applicant's architectural drawings show floor-to-floor heights within the podium well in excess of that required to be provided for commercial spaces (typically 3.7m) and residential spaces (typically 3.1m). In particular, the following floor-to-floor heights are considered excessive and could be reduced:

- Fronting Rangers Road, floor-to-floor heights of 5.5m are proposed at the Ground Level for retail and 4.4m at Levels 1-3 for commercial uses; and
- Fronting Yeo Street, floor-to-floor heights of 7m at the Ground Level are proposed for retail and 4.4m at Levels 1-3 for residential uses.

8.5 Non-Residential Floor Space Ratio

A minimum non-residential FSR of 0.5:1 currently applies to the site under NSLEP 2013. When the preparation of the now rescinded MRCPS commenced in 2018, it was acknowledged Council's existing controls were facilitating the ongoing erosion of employment floor space in the precinct. As part of a broader strategy to protect the employment function of the Neutral Bay Town Centre, the rescinded MRCPS recommended a minimum non-residential FSR of 2:1 for the subject site. The revised planning study will seek to protect and address the decline in employment floor space within the precinct, consistent with the North District Plan's priorities for local centres.

The Planning Proposal seeks a minimum non-residential FSR of 1.7:1 (or 7,152 sqm non-residential GFA). This is approximately 1,262 sqm (or 15%) less than that contemplated under the rescinded MRCPS.

The applicant's reference scheme provides a total 7,260 sqm non-residential GFA (including 3,311m² supermarket; 1,846m² retail; 2,103m² commercial). No details have been provided indicating the extent of non-residential floorspace or the number of jobs currently provided on the subject site. The applicant's Economic Impact Assessment states that the proposed development will result in a net loss of commercial office floorspace (-2,103 sqm) and a net gain of retail floorspace (+1,661 sqm).

The justification provided for the proposed net reduction in office space is that the proposed development still provides for 2,103 sqm of contemporary commercial office space that will be better aligned to modern customer and tenant requirements, and that the development has the potential to generate an additional 113 jobs (FTE) when fully occupied and operational.

8.6 Bulk and scale

To ensure the bulk and scale of buildings reinforces the existing village character of Neutral Bay and maintains an appropriate human scale, the rescinded MRCPS identified podium and setback requirements for 'Site 3'.

An assessment of the proposal's compliance with the rescinded MRCPS podium and setback requirements is provided in Table 5 below.

TABLE 5: Podium and setback requirements for 'site 3'		
	Rescinded MRCPS	Planning Proposal
Rangers Road	4 storey podium Nil whole of building setback 3m setback above podium	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 storey podium <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5.5m setback above podium
Yeo Street	3 storey podium Nil whole of building setback 3m setback above podium	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 storey podium <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.5m setback above 4 storeys
Military Lane	3 storey podium 1.5m whole of building setback 3m setback above podium	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 storey podium <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nil setback at podium <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3m setback above podium
Around plaza	2 storey podium with setback above podium	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 storey podium <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Setback above podium

8.6.1 Podium

The applicant’s architectural plans show an indicative 4-storey podium to Rangers Road and Yeo Street, 3-storey podium to Military Lane and 2-storey podium around the plaza. For the reasons outlined in section 8.4 of this report, the proposed podium heights are considered excessive for the number of storeys and could be reduced.

The proposed podium height of 19.9m-20.2m for 4-storeys fronting Rangers Road and Yeo Street will create an oversized podium, the equivalent of 5-6 storeys in height that does not appropriately respond to the prevailing or desired scale and village character of Neutral Bay. As shown in Figures 31 and 32 below, the height of the podium exceeds the height of the adjacent 5-6 storey mixed-use development at 9-11 Rangers Road.

The proposed podium height of 16.9m for 3 storeys fronting Military Lane is excessive and equivalent to 4-storeys. The proposed podium height of 9.9m for 2-storeys around the plaza could also be reduced to provide a more appropriate scale.



FIGURE 31: Applicant elevation plans – Rangers Road
 (source: Applicant’s Architectural Plans, p. 19)




FIGURE 32: Applicant elevation plans – Yeo Street
(source: Applicant’s Architectural Plans, p. 20)

It should be noted that the now rescinded MRCPS also envisaged the provision of a minimum 6m wide, open-to-sky pedestrian link to Yeo Street located on the western boundary of 1-7 Rangers Road to provide a visual break in the development fronting Yeo Street, maximise solar access to the public domain and create a more permeable, village atmosphere. The proposal provides an 8m wide arcade-style link to Yeo Street with residential development located above, resulting in an excessively long (approximately 77m), bulky and visually dominant built form in a lower scale context.

8.6.2 Setbacks

To improve pedestrian amenity to the public domain, the rescinded MRCPS identified setback requirements to the street frontages for ‘Site 3’. Whilst the proposal provides compliant whole of building setbacks to Rangers Road and Yeo Street, the proposed nil setback to Military Lane does not comply with the rescinded MRCPS and NSDCP 2013. The recommended 1.5m setback is to provide the opportunity to widen the laneway, to facilitate improved vehicular turning movement whilst maximising pedestrian safety and amenity and to facilitate future connections between Rangers Road Plaza and Yeo Street.

8.6.3 Building separation

The rescinded MRCPS clearly stated that additional setbacks may be required to comply with SEPP 65 and the associated Apartment Design Guidelines (ADG). Similar provisions are also currently included within NSDCP 2013.

The applicant’s reference scheme shows residential apartments with habitable rooms and balconies above the podium (5-10 storeys) along the eastern boundary. SEPP 65 and the associated ADG requires a minimum 9m setback at 5-8 storeys and 12m setback at 9-10 storeys. The applicant’s reference scheme only provides a 9m setback from 5-10 storeys.

Whilst it is noted that the majority of the adjacent building façade at 9-11 Rangers Road is a blank wall, there is a small internal courtyard along the joint boundary which provides solar access to apartments within the development (refer to Figures 33-34). A 10-storey building height with non-compliant building separation at the upper levels (9-10 storeys) will result in unacceptable amenity impacts (solar access) to adjacent apartments.




FIGURE 33: 9-11 Rangers Road - Top view



FIGURE 34: 9-11 Rangers Road – Street view along Rangers Road

In addition, the proposal does not comply with minimum ADG building separation requirements along its western boundary to Military Lane, where residential apartments with habitable rooms and balconies are proposed from 2-7 storeys.

The ADG requires a minimum 6m setback measured from the centreline of Military Lane up to 4 storeys and 9m setback at 5-8 storeys. The proposed setbacks along the western boundary to Military Lane are 4m at 2-3 storeys, 5.5m at the 4th storey and 7m at 5-7 storeys. Along the northern boundary to Military Lane, the proposed setbacks are 4.5m at the 2nd storey, 5.5m at the 3rd storey, and 7.5m at 4-7 storeys.

Considering the site benefits from two unobstructed street frontages and a significant site area, non-compliance with minimum ADG building separation requirements is unacceptable.

8.7 Overshadowing

One of the detailed design considerations for 'Site 3' in the rescinded MRCPS was that the proposed built form should demonstrate improvements to solar access to the surrounding public domain compared to existing controls. It expressly identified solar access to the building facades along the southern side of Yeo Street and the plaza to be of particular importance (refer to Figure 35).




FIGURE 35: MRCPS solar access requirements for 'site 3'
(source: Rescinded MRCPS, p. 65)

8.7.1 Residential facades along Yeo Street

The applicant's shadow diagrams (refer to Figure 36) clearly indicate that the proposal will result in a significant reduction in solar access to residential dwellings on the southern side of Yeo Street between 10am to 3pm in mid-winter. The overshadowing impacts of the proposal are evidently greater than that contemplated under the rescinded MRCPS.

The most significantly impacted residential properties include:

- 53 Yeo Street, between 10 am – 1pm;
- 55 Yeo Street, between 11am – 2pm;
- 57 Yeo Street, between 12 noon – 3pm;
- 59 Yeo Street, between 11am – 1pm;
- 61-63 Yeo Street, between 12 noon – 1pm.

The justification provided by the applicant for the proposed impact is that for the most part, the additional shadows caused by the proposal only falls on rooftops and that shadows are already partially cast by high fencing and street canopy. This justification is immaterial and not accepted. It is evident from the analysis submitted, that existing levels of solar access to the primary living areas, balconies and bedrooms of predominantly north facing dwellings will be significantly reduced and to some dwellings (57-59 Yeo Street) will be in shadow for the entire day, contrary to the objectives and outcomes of the rescinded MRCPS.

It should also be noted that there is an error or misrepresentation in the applicant's shadow diagrams. The rescinded MRCPS recommended a 6m wide open-to-sky pedestrian through-site link along the western boundary of 1-7 Rangers Road which is not included. Therefore, the shadow impacts resulting from the rescinded MRCPS should be less than what is indicated in the diagrams below.




FIGURE 36: Applicant shadow diagrams (source: Applicant's Architectural Plans, pp. 29-30)


KEY

- NSDCP 2013
- Rescinded MRCPS
- Planning Proposal
- Residential dwellings with additional shadow impacts compared to rescinded MRCPS

8.7.2 Public plaza

The applicant has submitted shadow diagrams to illustrate the proposal's shadow impacts on the new public plaza. To test the shadow, the approved DA scheme for the adjacent 'Equitibuild' site to the north (at 183-185 Military Road) has been applied. The approved DA is for a 6-storey building that is built to the boundary without the 2.5m whole of building setback and 3m upper-level setback envisaged by the rescinded MRCPS for the site (refer to section 8.8 for further details).

The applicant's shadow diagrams indicate that the Planning Proposal and approved DA at the 'Equitibuild' site would provide limited solar access to the public plaza, particularly between 1-4pm in mid-winter when the public space is likely to be most used. Between 10am-12pm in mid-winter approximately 50% of the plaza would receive solar access. At 1pm, solar access is reduced to 23%. From 2pm, solar access is further reduced to 6% and 2% at 3pm. At 4pm, the plaza is completely in shadow (refer to Figure 37).






FIGURE 37: Applicant shadow diagrams of proposed public plaza between 9am-4pm, 21 June.
(source: Applicant's Architectural Plans, p. 42)

As shown in Figures 38-39 below, a 'compliant' scheme under the rescinded MRCPS would enable 80% of the public plaza to receive solar access at 10am in mid-winter, compared to 50% under the applicant's proposed scheme.



FIGURE 38: Solar impact on Rangers Road Plaza under existing planning controls.
(10am, 21 June).




FIGURE 39: Solar impact on Rangers Road Plaza under rescinded MRCPS controls.
(10am, 21 June).

8.8 Site isolation

The rescinded MRCPS envisaged the amalgamation of the subject site with the adjacent 'Equitibuild' site to the north (at 183-185 Military Road) to increase the ability to deliver the public benefits identified in the Study and achieve a more contiguous design that reduces overshadowing impacts to the public domain. It specifically emphasised the importance of delivering the public plaza together with the adjacent 'Equitibuild' site and applying the following built form controls:

- 2.5m whole of building setback to Military Road and corner of Rangers Road; and
- 2-storey podium and 3m setback above the podium along Military Road and corner of Rangers Road.

The intent of these controls was to deliver a larger, more open and connected public plaza. As shown in Figure 40 below, the recommended setbacks would open the plaza frontage to Military Road and widen the footpath to provide a legible visual connection from the Rangers Road-Military Road intersection to Yeo Street and maximise solar access to the public domain.



**FIGURE 40: Applicant landscape plan for proposed plaza
(source: Applicant's Urban Design Report, p. 38)**

Whilst a renewed Study is still in progress, the proposal in its current form may, limit the development potential of the adjoining 'Equitibuild' site (which had been identified as suitable to accommodate some increased height and density) and potentially undermines the ability to leverage community benefits and public domain improvements from any future potential growth on that site. By proposing a narrow, two-storey retail strip on the joint northern boundary, the proposal prevents consideration of a genuinely 'public,' high-amenity plaza and the potential for the 'Equitibuild' site to provide a community facility fronting directly onto, and better activate, the public plaza.

The proposed retail strip has a narrow building depth of approximately 4-6.3m which limits the flexibility of use of these spaces. In addition, it results in a narrow and poorly connected plaza. As shown in Figure 40, the proposed plaza is oriented perpendicular to Rangers Road, away from Military Road intersection as the proposed two-storey retail strip along the northern boundary pushes the plaza further east and does not deliver a successful arrival point to the plaza from the Military Road intersection. It also blocks the envisaged connection between Military Lane and the new plaza and undermines the opportunity to potentially upgrade this connection and improve accessibility to the plaza.

8.9 Public benefits

As discussed in section 7.4 of this report, one of the driving considerations when preparing the rescinded MRCPS was to ensure tangible public benefits can be derived from any future development to revitalize and enhance the precinct.

The rescinded MRCPS explored a range of changes to the planning controls and identified potential public benefits achievable through different built form options. The finalised Study identified that the subject site, together with the adjacent site to the north (Equitibuild site), could deliver the following public benefits:

- a 1,000 sqm new community facility
- 1,250m² new public domain including:
 - a 1,000m² new public plaza
 - a through-site link to Yeo Street
 - a 2.5m whole of building setback along Military Road and the corner of Rangers Road that includes deep soil zones for large trees
- a 14-space public commuter bicycle parking facility

Section 6 of this report provides a detailed summary of the applicant's proposed public benefits, which incorporates 1,103 sqm of public domain including an 880 sqm public plaza and arcade-style pedestrian link to Yeo Street, which falls short of the rescinded MRCPS public domain specifications.

In addition, it is questioned whether the proposed 65 'public' car parking spaces within basement level 4, serve as a genuine public benefit as no justification has been provided for the provision of these spaces, no land use has been assigned to these spaces nor have they been included in the applicant's traffic modelling to determine the impact of the additional loading on the local road network (refer to section 8.10 of this report). The submitted plans also show basement levels 1-5 located in part under Military Lane, which is Council owned land. It may be that given the location of the 'additional' public parking, that it may simply benefit a future supermarket. No commitment has been provided for the encroachment of Council owned land, nor is it supported in the absence of an endorsed study and direct public benefit.

8.10 Transport & Traffic Impacts

The Planning Proposal is accompanied by a Transport Assessment prepared by JMT Consulting. A review of the applicant's transport assessment has been undertaken with the key issues discussed in the subsections below.

8.10.1 Parking

The existing Woolworths supermarket contains approximately 100 on-site car parking spaces with access to the car park provided via Yeo Street.

A total 350 car parking spaces are proposed comprising 87 residential, 167 non-residential and 65 'public' spaces with access provided from Yeo Street. This exceeds the maximum parking rates specified in NSDCP 2013 by 64 spaces as indicated in Table 6 below.

TABLE 6: Parking provision rates applying to site under NSDCP 2013				
Type of Use	DCP Max. Parking Rate	DCP Max. Parking Provision	Proposed Parking Provision	Shortfall/surplus
Residential				
8x 1 bedroom	0.5 space/dw	4	4	
36x 2 bedroom and 47x 3 bedroom	1 space/dw	83	83	
Visitor, Car wash bay (residential component)	4+ dwellings development	1	0	
	Subtotal	88	87	-1
Commercial				
Office (2,103m2)	1 space/60m2 GFA	35	35	
Supermarket (3,311m2)	4 spaces/100m2 GFA	132	132	
Retail (1,846m2)	1 space/60m2 GFA	31	31	
	Subtotal	198	198	0
'Public' Parking				
No known use	N/A	N/A	65	+65
	TOTAL	286	350	+64

The total of the residential and non-residential components comprises 99.6% of the maximum parking rate specified in the NSDCP 2013 with a shortfall of 1 parking space for the residential element. However, the proposal is 64 parking spaces over the maximum 286 spaces. Whilst these parking spaces are dedicated for use as 'public' parking this results in a significant oversupply of parking spaces. Additionally, as these spaces do not have a land use it is unknown whether they will function as overflow parking for the retail/supermarket use and in effect induce more traffic in the area.

8.10.2 Traffic generation

According to the calculations within the applicant's Transport Assessment Report, the net trip generation during the PM peak is a total 103 vehicles per hour and during Saturday PM peak is a total 84 vehicles per hour. The approximate percentage increase during the PM peak is 39.5% and on Saturday a 38.96% increase. It should be noted that these trips do not consider the trips associated with the 65 additional 'public' parking spaces as there is no land use associated with these spaces and trip generation rates have not been applied. If applied and a land use is assigned, this would significantly alter the results of the model with significant impacts to the level of traffic generated.

It should also be noted that the applicant's Transport Assessment Report does not consider the AM Peak in its trip generation modelling.

The applicant has provided a Sidra Modelling summary to compare the network performance with different scenarios (existing and after the proposed development). The result indicates that after an existing + development scenario there would be an increase in traffic flow and the operation of the Military Road-Wycombe Road intersection would change from a Level of Service (LOS) C, free-flow conditions with moderate congestion to (LOS) D, "operating near capacity" condition. Therefore, loading extra traffic from the development (i.e. trips generated by the additional 65 'public' spaces) will further delay the network over and above this level and the overall performance of the intersection, especially the Wycombe Road-Military Road intersection.



FIGURE 41: Forecast traffic distribution (source: JMT Transport Assessment, p. 23)

In addition, whilst it is acknowledged that the majority of trips for the other retail uses would likely be associated with the major anchor tenant (Woolworths), the provision of a further 65 'public' parking spaces may encourage users to drive to utilise retail services. This is contrary to Council's endorsed *North Sydney Transport Strategy*, which seeks to minimise the number and distance of vehicular trips generated by prioritising walking and cycling infrastructure within centres, where there is good access to public transport. Given the site's proximity to Military Road, which is well serviced by high-frequency bus services, the proposed number of car parking spaces is considered excessive and could be reduced.

8.10.3 Cycling facilities

In accordance with the requirements of *section 10.5 - Bicycle Parking and Associated Facilities* to Part B of NSDCP 2013 a total of 162 bicycle parking spaces are proposed. The submitted plans have not identified the location or type of bicycle parking facilities (i.e. the location of customer bicycle facilities as compared with employee and resident accessibility). Such details should be provided at this concept stage as it could impact the number of parking spaces and the basement layouts and the public domain.

8.10.4 Servicing facilities

According to *section 10.4 - Loading and Servicing Facilities* to Part B of NSDCP 2013, 'Developments containing more than 60 dwellings must provide at least 1 service delivery space, capable of accommodating at least:

- a) 1 Heavy Ridge Vehicle (HRV); or
- b) 2 Medium Rigid Vehicles (MRV)

The proposed loading dock can accommodate up to three HRV, MRV and SRV vehicles. This has been identified for supermarket loading only. If this area is to be used by other service vehicles, further information would need to be provided. This could be further refined at any future detailed Development Application on the site.

8.10.5 Conclusion – Transport and Traffic

It is clear from the analysis undertaken that the proposed number of car parking spaces and associated net traffic generation will have a significant impact on the efficiency and performance of the surrounding road network, in particular the Wycombe Road-Military Road intersection. This is inconsistent with *Ministerial Direction 5.1 – Integrating Land Use and Transport*.

The proposal does not demonstrate how it adequately responds to the site’s public transport accessibility to reduce car dependency and subsequent congestion in accordance with the principles of sustainable transit-oriented development. A draft Green Travel Plan should be prepared for the site to support reduced parking provision and ensuing traffic generation should the Planning Proposal proceed to the next stage.

9. Strategic Merit Assessment

The Planning Proposal is not considered to satisfy the following aspects of the strategic merit test:

- The proposal is not underpinned by an endorsed comprehensive strategic planning study for the locality;
- The proposal undermines the ability to achieve the objectives and actions of high-level strategic planning policies relating to the site, including the Greater Sydney Regional Plan and North District Plan;
- The proposal would set an unacceptable precedent prior to the establishment of a desired position for the future development in the locality and undermine the future strategic planning work for the Neutral Bay Town Centre as identified in the North Sydney LSPS.

Further details are provided in the following subsections.

9.1 Regional & District Plan Consistency

The Regional and North District Plans emphasise the importance of place-based, design-led planning to deliver liveable, high-quality urban environments that engage and connect people. This is clearly expressed in the following Directions, Objectives and Actions:

Great Sydney Regional Plan	
<i>Direction: A City of great places - Designing places for people</i>	<p><i>Objective 12: Great places that bring people together</i></p> <p><i>Strategy 12.1: Using place-based and collaborative approach throughout planning, design, development and management, deliver great places.</i></p>
North District Plan	
<i>Planning Priority N6: Creating and renewing great places and local centres and respecting the District’s heritage</i>	<p><i>Objective 12: Great places that bring people together</i></p> <p><i>Action 19: Using place-based and collaborative approach throughout planning, design, development and management, deliver great places by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – <i>prioritising a people-friendly public realm and open spaces as a central organising design principle;</i>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- <i>recognising and balancing the dual function of streets as places for people and movement;</i>- <i>providing fine grain urban form, diverse land use mix, high amenity and walkability in and within a 10-minute walk of centres;</i>- <i>integrating social infrastructure to support social connections and provide a community hub;</i>- <i>recognising and celebrating the character of a place and its people.</i> <p><i><u>Action 22:</u> Use place-based planning to support the role of centres as a focus for connected neighbourhoods.</i></p>
--	---

As discussed in section 8.3 to this report, the North District Plan requires place-based studies for local centres to address a number of principles including: providing a public realm and open space focus, providing community facilities and services, and protecting and expanding retail and/or commercial floorspace.

The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with the above applicable Directions, Objectives and Actions of the Regional and North District Plan insofar that it:

- is not underpinned by an endorsed comprehensive place-based study for the locality;
- requests amendments to existing planning controls that will facilitate an overly excessive and intense built form in its context with significant and unreasonable impacts to the public and private domain;
- will result in a net reduction in employment floorspace across the site;
- will deliver public spaces of compromised amenity; and
- undermines the development potential of the immediately adjoining site to the north and the opportunity to leverage and co-locate community facilities in the precinct.

If progressed, the proposal would set an unacceptable precedent and undermine the future strategic planning work for the Neutral Bay Town Centre and the ability to achieve the objectives and actions of the Regional and North District Plan.

9.2 North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement

North Sydney Council has a strong and consistent history of preparing comprehensive planning studies that are the subject of strong community consultation and technical analysis. This is to ensure growth is delivered in a structured and co-ordinated manner, meets the needs and expectations of the community, is supported by adequate infrastructure and respects the future desired character and amenity of the surrounding area.

Key actions in the LSPS include:

Action L3.2 – Continue to prepare and implement place-based planning studies to ensure the delivery of growth and development is balanced and well-managed and has a strong focus on placemaking and community benefit. This includes:

- *Undertake/implement the Military Road Corridor Planning Study – Stages 1 and 2 (short-medium term)*

Action L1.5 - Council will only support Planning Proposals that are consistent with Council's endorsed planning studies, that have identified growth being delivered in locations that support the role of centres and have critical infrastructure and services in place to support the North Sydney community.'

These actions were put in place to ensure growth is responsibly managed by Council and *ad hoc* planning proposals are not supported without an endorsed framework that foreshadows and guides changes to existing planning controls.

In the absence of a current endorsed planning study to coordinate and manage growth in the precinct, any decision which significantly increases the development potential on a key site within the precinct ahead of the revised strategic review would be premature and undermine the future strategic planning work for the Neutral Bay Town Centre, and in turn, the ability to achieve the objectives and actions of the high-level strategic planning policies relating to the site.

9.3 State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP)

The Planning Proposal is considered to be generally consistent with all applicable SEPPs, with the exception of SEPP No. 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development.

SEPP No. 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development

The concept proposal appears to comply with some of the key development standards under SEPP No. 65 and the associated ADG, however, there are a number of non-compliances.

As discussed in section 8.6.3 of this report, the concept scheme does not comply with minimum ADG building separation requirements along the eastern and western boundaries. It is also observed that a number of proposed apartments do not comply with minimum ADG building depth requirements and it is questioned whether these apartments are naturally cross ventilated as shown in the submitted plans.

The proposal does not comply with minimum communal open space and deep soil zone requirements. The proposed public plaza should not be included in communal open space calculations and no deep soil zones are proposed within the development including the public plaza. Furthermore, the proposed apartment mix does not comply with the requirements of NSDCP 2013. The lack of studio and 1-bedroom apartments and over provision of 3-bedroom apartments is not supported.

These non-compliances could be addressed at any future detailed Development Application stage.

9.4 Section 9.1 Ministerial Directions

Section 9.1 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* enables the Minister for Planning to issue directions regarding the content of planning proposals. Each Planning Proposal must identify which Section 9.1 Ministerial Directions are relevant to the proposal and demonstrate how they are consistent with that Direction.

The Planning Proposal is considered to be generally consistent with all relevant Ministerial Directions, with the exception of:

- *Direction 1.1 – Implementation of Regional Plans*
- *Direction 4.4 - Remediation of Contaminated Land*
- *Direction 5.1 - Integrating Land Use and Transport*
- *Direction 7.1 – Business and Industrial Zones*

Direction 1.1 – Implementation of Regional Plans

Refer to section 9.1 of this report.

Direction 4.4 - Remediation of Contaminated Land

Council records indicate that the subject site may have been used in the past for a potentially contaminating activity.

Direction 4.4 – Remediation of Contaminated Land states a Planning Proposal authority must be satisfied that the land is suitable (or will be suitable, after remediation) for all permitted uses within the zone, and of the land requires remediation to be made suitable, the Planning Proposal authority is satisfied that the land will be so remediated before it is used for its intended purpose.

The Planning Proposal is accompanied by a Preliminary Site Investigation Report (PSI) by Geo-Logix, which identifies a number of potential contamination sources on-site and within the vicinity, including hazardous building materials and dry-cleaning operations. The report states there is potential for soil and groundwater contamination from mishandling or inappropriate disposal of dry-cleaning waste.

Due to the presence of a basement car park in the existing building (1-7 Rangers Road), the PSI report states that it is likely that any potentially hazardous building materials would have been removed during its construction. The report also indicates that buried hazardous materials are highly unlikely.

Council's Environmental and Building Compliance Officer has reviewed the submitted PSI report and noted that a further detailed site investigation will be required. Notwithstanding, the presence of dry-cleaning chemicals in soil or groundwater, its presence is unlikely to prevent the development going ahead, and that the land can be made suitable for its intended use. These issues can be further satisfactorily addresses at the Development Application stage following additional investigations.

Direction 5.1 - Integrating Land Use and Transport

Direction 5.1 – Integrating Land Use and Transport seeks to ensure that urban structures, building forms, land use locations, development designs, subdivision and street layouts achieve the following planning objectives:

- a) Improving access to housing, jobs, and services by walking, cycling and public transport, and*
- b) Increasing the choice of available transport and reducing dependence on cars, and*
- c) Reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distances travelled, especially by car, and*
- d) Supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services, and*
- e) Providing for the efficient movement of freight.*

The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with Direction 5.1, as the proposed number of car parking spaces and associated net traffic generation is considered unreasonable given the site's proximity to high-frequency public transport (refer to section 8.9 of this report).

Direction 7.1 – Business and Industrial Zones

Direction 7.1 – Business and Industrial Zones seeks to encourage employment growth in suitable locations and protect employment land in business and industrial zones. In particular, subclause (1)(c) states that a Planning Proposal must '*not reduce the total potential floor space area for employment uses and related public services in business zones.*'

Direction 7.1 states that a Planning Proposal may be inconsistent with the terms of the Direction only if the relevant planning authority can satisfy the Planning Secretary that the provisions of the Planning Proposal that are inconsistent are justified by a strategy or study which gives consideration to the objectives of this direction; or in accordance with a relevant Regional or District Plan; or the inconsistency is of minor significance.

The proposal is inconsistent with Direction 7.1 as it will result in a net reduction in floorspace for employment uses (approximately 442 sqm) across the site and the proposed reduction is not justified by an endorsed strategic planning study for the locality. In the context of the North District Plan's objectives for local centres '*to protect and expand retail and/or commercial floorspace*' and the absence of an endorsed study to guide growth and change in the precinct, any reduction in employment generating floorspace across the site would be premature and potentially undermine the ability to achieve the objectives of the future revised MRCPS and high-level strategic planning policies relating to the site.

10. Site-specific Merit Assessment

The Planning Proposal is not considered to satisfy the following aspects of the site-specific merit test:

- The indicative concept design does not demonstrate how the site could be acceptably developed to the requested heights insofar as it will result in an overly excessive and intense built form in its context with unreasonable impacts on the public and private domain;
- The proposal undermines the development potential of the immediately adjoining site to the north; and
- The proposal will deliver public spaces of compromised amenity.

10.1 Environmental Impacts

As discussed in section 8 of this report, the proposed increase in height and density across the site will result in an excessively tall, bulky and visually dominant built form in its context with unreasonable amenity impacts to the public and private domain (overshadowing, traffic).

10.2 Social Impacts

The proposal, if progressed would result in the creation of approximately 91 additional dwellings (estimated at 191 persons). This is a considerable increase in density on the subject site and is likely to place additional demand on existing services and facilities. The applicable section 7.11 and 7.12 local infrastructure contributions will help support growth and demands on existing local infrastructure.

Whilst the proposal is accompanied by a non-binding letter of offer to enter into a VPA to deliver a range of public benefits including a new public plaza, the proposed benefits would fall short of those identified in the previously adopted MRCPS.

10.3 Economic Impacts

The proposal is accompanied by an Economic Impact Assessment prepared by Ethos Urban, which estimates that the proposed development would generate an additional 113 local job opportunities and contribute an additional \$12.7 million per annum to the economy (GDP).

It is estimated that the additional 113 workers will generate \$0.27 million per annum in local retail expenditure and the additional residents will generate \$4.1 million in retail expenditure per annum. An overall estimated 749 jobs (direct and indirect) are also expected to be generated during the construction stage of the project.

Notwithstanding, a net reduction in employment generating floorspace is proposed on the site, as such the quantum of local employment opportunities generated by the proposal is less than what could be reasonably expected on the site considering its highly accessible location within the Neutral Bay Town Centre.

10.4 Adequacy of Public Infrastructure

The site is located in close proximity to transport infrastructure, including existing road connections and high frequency public transport. As discussed in section 8.10 to this report, the proposal does not adequately respond to the site's public transport accessibility to reduce car dependency and associated impacts on the surrounding road network. A Green Travel Plan should be prepared for the site to support reduced parking provision and encourage future residents and workers to capitalise on the wide range of infrastructure and services available within the area.

Consultation would be required with utility providers if the proposal progresses and at the Development Application stage to ensure that sufficient capacity exists in water, sewer, gas, telecommunications and other utility services. There is likely to be adequate services and infrastructure in the area to accommodate the proposed increases in demand, alternatively the applicant will be required to pay for any upgrades required.

SUBMISSIONS

There are no statutory requirements to publicly exhibit a planning proposal before the issuance of a Gateway Determination. However, Council sometimes receives submissions in response to planning proposals which have been lodged but not determined for the purposes of seeking a Gateway Determination. The generation of submissions at this stage of the planning process arise from the community becoming aware of their lodgement through Council's application tracking webpage and on-site signage.

At the time this report was completed, 170 submissions had been received from local residents and the Willoughby Bay, Neutral, Brightmore, Harrison and Combined Precinct Committees objecting to the Planning Proposal. A summary of their key concerns is provided in Table 7. These issues are largely addressed in the body of this report.

TABLE 7: Summary of submissions received		
Issue	Key points raised	Council response
Building height and scale	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The requested height of 40m is excessive for the number of storeys and could potentially accommodate a 12-storey building resulting in an overbearing scale with significant overshooting impacts to residential dwellings on the southern side of Yeo Street; Reduced setbacks are proposed on eastern and western boundaries. 	Refer to section 8.4, 8.6 and 8.7 of this report.
Traffic impacts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed number of parking spaces will result in unacceptable traffic impacts to the local road network, in particular Yeo Street and Wycombe Road-Military Road intersection; The submitted Traffic Assessment does not consider impacts to the road network during AM peak or the cumulative impact of future development in Neutral Bay and Cremorne; A Transport Management and Assessment Plan (TMAP) is needed for the Neutral Bay and Cremorne town centres that considers the cumulative effects of increased densities before setting any increase in densities. 	<p>Refer to section 8.10 of this report.</p> <p>The preparation of a TMAP can be undertaken as part of the renewed Planning Study.</p>
Public benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed public benefits are not commensurate to the quantum of uplift in height sought; Basement parking is proposed to be located in part under Military Lane (a public road); The proposed public plaza does not provide connections to Military Lane or Military Road and will be overshadowed by future development along Military Road; The public plaza is designed to be more of a 'food court' with commercial benefits than genuine public open space; The proposed 65 'public' car spaces is inconsistent with Council's transport strategies and provide commercial benefits, not public benefits. 	Refer to section 8.8, 8.9, 8.10 of this report.
Reduced size of supermarket	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal seeks to reduce the size of the existing supermarket. A larger supermarket is needed to support Neutral Bay's growing population. 	The concept scheme can be further refined to address these concerns at the detailed DA stage.
Justification for proposal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The primary justification for the proposal is that it responds to the intent of the now rescinded MRCPS. The proposal has the potential to set an undesirable precedent. 	Refer to section 9 of this report.

CONCLUSION

The Planning Proposal (PP6/22) seeks to amend North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 as it relates to land at 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay as follows:

- Increase the maximum building height control for the site from 16m to part 30m (at 50 Yeo Street) and part 40m (at 1-7 Rangers Road); and
- Increase the minimum non-residential floor space ratio control from 0.5:1 to 1.7:1.

The proposal is accompanied by a suite of suggested site-specific development controls to be incorporated within North Sydney Development Control Plan (NSDCP 2013).

Having consideration of the assessment criteria outlined in the Department of Planning and Environment's '*LEP Making Guideline*' (December 2021), the Planning Proposal is not considered to demonstrate strategic and site-specific merit for the following reasons:

- The proposal is not underpinned by an endorsed comprehensive strategic planning study for the locality and if implemented, will undermine the ability to achieve the objectives and actions of high-level strategic planning policies relating to the site, including:
 - Greater Sydney Regional Plan – *A Metropolis of Three Cities*;
 - North District Plan; and
 - North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement.
- The proposal is inconsistent with the following section 9.1 Ministerial Directions under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*:
 - *Direction 1.1 – Implementation of Regional Plans*
 - *Direction 5.1 – Integrating Land Use and Transport*
 - *Direction 7.1 – Business and Industrial Zones*
- The indicative concept design fails to demonstrate how the site could be acceptably developed to the requested heights insofar that it does not respond adequately to the site attributes and context and will result in significant level of public and private amenity impacts including dominance of the public domain, overshadowing and traffic generation;
- The proposal would set an unacceptable precedent prior to the establishment of a finalised policy position for future development in the locality, and undermine the future strategic planning work for the Neutral Bay Town Centre; and
- The proposal undermines the development potential and public benefit opportunities of the immediately adjoining site to the north.

RECOMMENDATION

1. **THAT** the Planning Proposal (PP6/22) not be supported to proceed to a Gateway Determination.
2. **THAT** the applicant be invited to comment on the future revised Military Road Corridor Planning Study.

ETHOS URBAN


Planning Proposal

1-7 Rangers Road & 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay

*Amendments to the North Sydney Local
Environmental Plan 2013*

Submitted to North Sydney Council
On behalf of Fabcot Pty Ltd

9 May 2022 | 2190541



CONTACT

Ben Craig	Director	bcraig@ethosurban.com	0416 917 365
-----------	----------	-----------------------	--------------

Reproduction of this document or any part thereof is not permitted without prior written permission of Ethos Urban Pty Ltd.

This document has been prepared by:

This document has been reviewed by:




Kimberley Bautista	11 March 2022	Ben Craig	11 March 2022
--------------------	---------------	-----------	---------------

Reproduction of this document or any part thereof is not permitted without written permission of Ethos Urban Pty Ltd. Ethos Urban operates under a Quality Management System. This report has been prepared and reviewed in accordance with that system. If the report is not signed, it is a preliminary draft.

VERSION NO.	DATE OF ISSUE	REVISION BY	APPROVED BY
2	06 MAY 2022	KB/BC	BC

Ethos Urban Pty Ltd
 ABN 13 615 087 931.
 www.ethosurban.com
 173 Sussex Street, Sydney
 NSW 2000 t 61 2 9956 6952

Contents

Executive Summary	5
1.0 Introduction	8
1.1 Overview	8
1.2 Proponent and Vision	10
1.3 Project Team	11
2.0 Background	12
2.1 Military Road Corridor Planning Study (MRCPS)	12
2.2 Pre-lodgement Consultation	15
3.0 The Site	16
3.1 Site Location and Context	16
3.2 Site Description	16
3.3 Existing Development	17
3.4 Surrounding Development	18
3.5 Transport, Access and Connectivity	20
3.6 Heritage	22
4.0 Current Planning Controls	23
4.1 North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013	23
4.2 North Sydney Development Control plan 2013	25
5.0 Strategic context	28
5.1 Strategic Planning themes	28
5.2 Metropolitan, District and Local Planning Strategies	29
6.0 Indicative Development Concept	32
6.1 The Vision	32
6.2 Indicative Reference Scheme	32
6.3 Numerical summary	33
6.4 Proposed Built Form	34
6.5 Through site link and public plaza	36
6.6 Landscape concept	37
6.7 Access and Transport	38
7.0 Planning Proposal	40
7.1 Overview of proposed amendments	40
7.2 Objectives and Intended Outcomes	40
7.3 Explanation of Provisions	41
7.4 Mapping	43
7.5 Site-specific Development Control Plan	43
7.6 Public Benefit Offer	44
8.0 Strategic Justification	45
8.1 Section A -The Need for a Planning Proposal	45
8.2 Section B - Relationship with the Strategic Planning Framework	47
8.3 Environmental, Social and Economic Impacts	57

Contents

8.4	Section D - State and Commonwealth Interests	57
8.5	Community Consultation	58
<hr/>		
9.0	Technical assessment	59
9.1	Built form and Scale	59
9.2	Visual Impact	62
9.3	Residential Amenity	62
9.4	Overshadowing	63
9.5	Transport	64
9.6	Contamination	67
9.7	Social and Economic Impacts	68
<hr/>		
10.0	Conclusion	69
11.0	Project Timeline	71

Figures

Figure 1	Visualisation of the supporting Indicative concept	5
Figure 2	Indicative reference scheme	9
Figure 3	MRCPS indicative building envelopes	13
Figure 4	Artist's impression of the future Ranger Road Plaza	14
Figure 5	Site Context Map	16
Figure 6	Site Aerial	17
Figure 7	Existing Woolworths and bottle shop	18
Figure 8	Existing rear Woolworths parking entry	18
Figure 9	Existing development at 50 Yeo Street	18
Figure 10	Military Lane	18
Figure 11	Development on the northern side of Military Road	19
Figure 12	Development further north at Grosvenor St	19
Figure 13	Residential properties located south of the site	19
Figure 14	Existing residential development on the south side of Yeo St	19
Figure 15	Development on the eastern side of Rangers Road	20
Figure 16	Development on the eastern side of Rangers Road	20
Figure 17	Development on the eastern side of Rangers Road	20
Figure 18	Development on the eastern side of Rangers Road	20
Figure 19	Land Zoning map, North Sydney LEP 2013 (site in red outline)	24
Figure 20	Height of Buildings map, North Sydney LEP 2013 (site in red outline)	24
Figure 21	Floor Space ratio map, North Sydney LEP 2013 (site in red outline)	24
Figure 22	Non-residential Floor Space ratio map, North Sydney LEP 2013	25
Figure 23	Neutral Bay Town Centre character area (identified in red)	25
Figure 24	Site identified as a key area for the Neutral Bay town Centre	26

Contents

Figure 25 Northern City District - Structure Plan	30
Figure 26 Artist impression of built form from Rangers Road	35
Figure 27 Proposed floorplan concept for the lower-ground supermarket	36
Figure 28 Artist impression of future through-site link	37
Figure 29 Landscape reference scheme	38
Figure 30 Proposed Height of Building LEP Control for the site	42
Figure 31 Proposed Non-residential Floor Space Control for the site	43
Figure 32 Height and massing controls for the site nominated as 'Site 3'.	60
Figure 33 Proposed built form compared to MRCPS masterplan (blue outline) (PLACEHOLDER)	61
Figure 34 Indicative reference scheme from Rangers Road	61
Figure 35 indicative reference scheme public plaza	62
Figure 36 Existing road network	64
Figure 37 Indicative reference scheme access	65

Tables

Table 1 Bus network along Military Road	21
Table 2 Current North Sydney LEP 2013 provisions	23
Table 3 North Sydney DCP 2013 - Neutral Bay Town Centre Controls	26
Table 4 Key numeric development information	33
Table 5 Car parking allocation per land use proposed	38
Table 6 Existing and proposed NSLEP 2013 controls	41
Table 7 Supporting studies	45
Table 8 Consistency with Greater Sydney Region Plan	47
Table 9 Consistency with the North District Plan	48
Table 10 Consistency with the MRCPS: Neutral Bay Town Centre – Future Directions Planning Strategy	49
Table 11 Consistency with the North Sydney LSPS	52
Table 12 Consistency with State Environmental Planning Policies	53
Table 13 Consistency with section 9.1 directions	54
Table 14 Overshadowing impacts	63
Table 15 Anticipated timeframe to Planning Proposal process	71

Contents

Appendices

- A** Architectural Plans
Koichi Takada Architects
- B** Urban Design Report
Koichi Takada Architects
- C** Landscape Report
Arcadia
- D** Transport Impact Assessment
JMT Consulting
- E** Social and Economic Report
Ethos Urban
- F** Public Benefit Offer
Ethos Urban / Fabcot
- G** LEP Mapping
Ethos Urban
- H** Draft Site-specific Development Control Plan
Ethos Urban
- I** Preliminary Site Investigation
Geo-logix

Executive Summary

This Planning Proposal requests amendments to the North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 relating to 1-7 Rangers road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay. Ethos Urban has prepared this Planning Proposal on behalf of Fabcot Pty Ltd (Woolworths Group).

The broad intent of the Planning Proposal is to achieve a mixed-use redevelopment outcome, including an upgraded full-line Woolworths supermarket, together with supporting retail, commercial and residential land uses as part of an integrated mixed use development. The future redevelopment of the site within the framework established by this Planning Proposal aligns with the objectives and intended outcomes of Council's Military Road Corridor Planning Strategy (MRCPS). It supports the ongoing growth of the area and delivers significant public benefits to Neutral Bay Town Centre and its users, whilst ensuring the retention of public amenity.

The Planning Proposal seeks Council's support for a site-specific amendment to the LEP for the following:

- Provide new mapping to reflect an adjustment of maximum height of building provisions on 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay to increase from 16m to a mix of 30m and 40m.
- Provide new mapping to increase the non-residential floor space of floor space 0.5:1 to 1.7 applying to the site.
- No changes are sought for the site's underlying land zoning controls.

Amendments to the North Sydney DCP 2013 are also proposed to support the Planning Proposal and proposed future redevelopment. These amendments will address key design and development outcomes, including bulk and scale, design excellence, activation and access, landscaping, residential amenity and sustainability.

The indicative reference scheme totals some 19,218m² of Gross Floor Area (GFA), comprising a 3,311m² of supermarket GFA, 1,846m² of retail GFA, 2,103m² of commercial GFA and 12,682m² of residential floorspace, consisting of circa 91 dwellings. A 350 space basement car park is provided that will accommodate the need for all uses within the proposal, while a ground level public plaza and through-site link will help activate the site and provide a new civic heart and focal point for Neutral Bay Town Centre.



Figure 1 Visualisation of the supporting Indicative concept

Source: Koichi Takada Architects

This Planning Proposal responds to the Council's historical work on Neutral Bay and the Military Road Corridor, in aligns with the MRCPS by supporting jobs and housing growth within the Neutral Bay Town Centre, whilst delivering necessary public domain upgrades and public benefits. Specifically it is noted that:


- The proposal is by and large consistent with the strategic vision under the MRCPS to appropriately serve the surrounding area with a varied character and experience;
- The future built form and land uses will cater to the local daily needs of the community by enhancing walking and cycling opportunities with suitable infrastructure and activation to enhance the attractiveness of Military Road as an urban high street;
- The site is well positioned with access to well-connected cycling and pedestrian infrastructure, major roads, bus services and ferry services linking to other key transport hubs.
- The concept redevelopment for the site, together with site specific DCP controls propose a new public plaza – providing opportunity for deep soil canopy tree planting, screening and feature plants, seating, public art and e-bike charging facilities for community usage;
- The future built form will demonstrate high quality design to enhance the enjoyment of homes and public places through generous planted terraces and facades. This will contribute to enhancing people's overall health and wellbeing.
- The proposal is designed to accommodate a variety of sustainability features and deliver beyond its required targets for sustainability, energy efficiency and water and waste management;
- The indicative scheme provides a range of apartment sizes with high amenity, which will create a level of activation that will support future success of the local centre;
- The proposal is consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in that it promotes the orderly and economic use and development of land;
- The proposal is consistent with the applicable SEPPs and Ministerial Directions;
- Traffic modelling undertaken indicates that the proposal is not anticipated to result in unacceptable traffic impacts on the surrounding road network subject to mitigation measures identified.
- The proposal will deliver significant economic benefits to the locality, including:
 - An estimated 749 FTE jobs (direct and indirect) are expected to be generated during the construction stage of the project.
 - An estimated 113 FTE ongoing jobs on an ongoing basis will be generated once the development is complete and fully occupied. These additional ongoing jobs would stimulate additional economic activity, estimated at \$12.7 million in Value Added each year.
 - The future mixed use development could also accommodate approximately 191 new residents who would generate around \$4.1 million in retail expenditure each year, to the benefit of retailers in the local and regional community.
- To this end, Woolworths' concept for the site is focused on a new-generation mixed-use exemplar development, to drive a positive outcome within the North Sydney LGA. Future development of the site will provide convenient shopping for customers while enhancing the liveability of the surrounding local communities.
- Accordingly, considering the proposal and the overall strategic nature of the site and justification provided in addressing planning issues, the Planning Proposal is considered to have sufficient strategic merit to support the proposed uplift within the Neutral Bay Town Centre.
- This Planning Proposal also demonstrates that the proposed land use mix and density sought can be appropriately accommodated on the site in an attractive urban form which maximises neighbourhood amenity and greening, improves social and economic outcomes and enhances vibrancy, whilst minimising amenity impacts of surrounding residential receivers. This supports the site-specific merit of the Planning Proposal.


For these reasons, we have no hesitation in recommending this Planning Proposal for Gateway Determination.


What does this redevelopment mean for Neutral Bay?




 Aligned with government strategies for an enhanced Military Road precinct


 Enhanced housing diversity through delivery of 91 apartments

 **Community Pick up** Respond to evolving customer requirements including 'Direct to Boot' service

 **+113** Generating +113 local employment opportunities

 \$147m of investment into the local area, generating +749 jobs during construction

\$12.7m Contributing \$12.7 million in value added to the economy annually

True live/work/play environment in an integrated community 

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Overview

This Planning Proposal is submitted to North Sydney Council (Council) to request amendments to the *North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013* (North Sydney LEP 2013) relating to land at 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay (the site). Ethos Urban has prepared this Planning Proposal on behalf of Fabcot Pty Ltd (Woolworths) the proponent.

The purpose of this Planning Proposal is to introduce a new non-Residential Floor Space Ratio (FSR) development standard for the site and amend the height limit to facilitate a future mixed-use development outcome including a full-line supermarket, supporting retail/commercial and residential land uses. The future redevelopment of the site within the framework established by this Planning Proposal aligns with the objectives and intended outcomes of Council's Military Road Corridor Planning Strategy (MRCPS). It supports the ongoing growth of the area and delivers significant public benefits within Neutral Bay Town Centre whilst ensuring the protection of public amenity.

The Planning Proposal will facilitate the rejuvenation of the site with an indicative reference scheme accommodating a mixed-use development, including a full-line Woolworths supermarket (3,311m²) in a subterranean level, together with a podium comprising ground floor retail and servicing, with upper level commercial floorspace and residential apartments. The proposal also includes the delivery of significant community benefits in the form of a new public plaza and through site link (1,013m²) as well as a range of streetscape and footpath upgrades.

The indicative reference scheme totals some 19,218m² of Gross Floor Area (GFA), comprising a 3,311m² of supermarket GFA, 1,846m² of retail GFA, 2,103m² of commercial GFA and 12,682m² of residential floorspace, consisting of circa 91 dwellings. A 350 pace basement car park is provided that will accommodate the need for all uses within the proposal, while a ground level public plaza and through-site link will help activate the site and provide a new civic heart and focal point for Neutral Bay Town Centre.

As part of this Planning Proposal, the proponent intends to deliver, a new public plaza, external public domain works and through site link, which will be secured via a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) that is proposed to be entered into with Council. The public benefits are outlined in the Public Benefit Offer, which is included under separate cover at **Appendix F**.

A concept sketch of an indicative built form character which could be delivered by the proposed controls is provided in **Figure 2**.

To achieve the strategic vision and indicative redevelopment concept presented, this planning proposal seeks to amend the *North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013* as follows:

- Increase the maximum building height from 16m to mix of 30m and 40m; and
- Increase the minimum non-residential floor space from 0.5:1 to 1.7:1.

Amendments to the North Sydney DCP 2013 are also proposed to support the Planning Proposal and proposed redevelopment. These amendments will address key design and development outcomes, including strategic provisions related to local centre planning and site-specific provisions related to the future built form, the provision of the publicly accessible through site link and plaza, design excellence and sustainability.

This Planning Proposal has been prepared having regard to *The Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline* published by the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) in December 2021. In particular, this Planning Proposal addresses the following specific matters in the guideline and the requirements of Section 3.33(2) of the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act):

- A statement of the objectives or intended outcomes of the proposed instrument;
- An explanation of the provisions that are to be included in the proposed instrument;

- The justification of those objectives, outcomes and provisions and the process for their implementation (including whether the proposed instrument will comply with relevant directions under Section 9.1EP&A Act); and
- Details of community consultation.

This Planning Proposal describes the site, the proposed amendments to the North Sydney LEP 2013 and provides an environmental assessment of the proposed height and FSR controls, building envelope and indicative reference design.

The report should be read in conjunction with the Urban Design Report prepared by Koichi Takada Architects (**Appendix B**) and specialist consultant reports appended to this proposal (refer Table of Contents).



Figure 2 Indicative reference scheme

Source: Koichi Takada Architects

1.2 Proponent and Vision

The proponent, Fabcot Pty Ltd, is the operating entity of Woolworths's property development division and is the owner of the subject site within the Neutral Bay Town Centre.



Our vision for Neutral Bay, is to deliver an integrated, design led, retail and residential project that provides a leading customer experience, embracing the latest in technology and innovation, strong sustainability principles and sees us respond to the needs of the Neutral Bay community with convenience, quality and community in mind.” – Woolworths

Woolworths' concept for the site is focused on a new-generation mixed-use exemplar development, to drive a positive outcome within the Neutral Bay community. The development will provide convenient, local shopping for customers while enhancing the liveability of the surrounding local communities.

Woolworths has developed a vision for the retail ecosystem, which is based on a new concept that:

- Evolves Woolworths' offering to deliver a world leading customer experience;
- Integrates the latest in technology, innovation and convenience;
- Embraces sustainability in design and operations;
- Delivers great placemaking outcomes through design excellence;
- Allows flexibility to accommodate future evolution and activation; and
- Combines a generic supermarket and mixed-use development with emerging concepts such as 'Direct to Boot' and E-commerce.

Woolworths is keen to further invest in the North Sydney LGA and sees potential opportunities to deliver innovative, sustainable and best-in-class retail formats.

1.3 Project Team

This Planning Proposal is a collaboration which has been informed by the following firms:

Koichi
Takada
Architects

Urban Design and Architecture

ETHOS
URBAN

Town Planning
Social and Economic Impact Assessment

ARCADIA

Landscape Architecture

JMT
Consulting

Traffic and Transport

2.0 Background

2.1 Military Road Corridor Planning Study (MRCPS)

On 28 May 2018, Council resolved to prepare a planning study for the Military Road Corridor. This was determined based on trends in the built environment identifying commercial uses being replaced with residential development, resulting in an 11.5% decline in employment related uses since 2006. It was noted that if nothing is changed, the number of local jobs in Neutral Bay is estimated to drop further from 2,850 to 1,500 jobs, rather than meeting the forecast demand of 4,000 jobs by 2036.

Council have also identified that the Neutral Bay Town Centre is dominated by heavy commuter traffic on Military Road, a major barrier between the northern and southern sides of the centre. The B-Line construction has removed the parking buffer between pedestrians and the road carriageway, creating a less safe environment for pedestrians and a perception of increased danger. With a decline in employment related uses and a dangerous pedestrian environment, the vibrancy and diversity of the town centre is in jeopardy. As a result, pressure is being placed on improving the existing condition of the public domain.

The purpose of the MRCPS is to guide future development and facilitate increased density opportunities to meet the growing needs of the community within Neutral Bay Town Centre.

Neutral Bay Town Centre is the first stage of the planning study. Following community input into a survey conducted in mid-2018, two discussion papers were prepared for exhibition:

1. Objectives & Ideas Paper, examining a range of built form and public benefit options;
2. Analysis Paper, providing an evidence base and impact assessment.

The purpose of these papers was to further engage the community and relevant landholders in a discussion on the future of the Neutral Bay Town Centre. They presented a range of built form options that supported, in principle, new jobs and homes close to public transport, and discussed the types of community public benefits that may be needed in the future to protect the highly valued village character of Neutral Bay.

Fabcot Pty Ltd (the landholder group for the subject site) made a submission in October 2019 in response to the Stage 1 Objectives and Analysis Paper of the Military Road Corridor Planning Study (the MRCPS). The submission exhibited strong support for Council's future vision for the Neutral Bay Town Centre – that is, to facilitate public domain improvements, create jobs and deliver community facilities to meet the changing needs of the area and provide general support for the built form options proposed by Council for the subject site. The submission sought to increase the height and FSR built form options on site to provide additional non-residential and residential floor space, with the requested changes to be incorporated into the third and final stage of the planning study being the *Future Directions Report*.

Council resolved at its meeting of 23 March 2020 (held 6 April 2020) to place the draft *Future Directions Report* on public exhibition until 31 July 2020. The draft Future Directions Report was the third and final document of the MRCPS, and considered the request made by the landholder group for the site.

On 22 February 2021, the *Future Directions Report* following exhibition was formally adopted by Council, finalising the future vision and controls for the subject site through the following requirements:

- *Provide additional non-residential floor space with a minimum 2.0:1 FSR*
- *Residential towers should appear slender, maximise above podium habitable facades to all sides*
- *Provide a new community facility (1,000m²)*
- *Deliver 1,250m² new public domain including:*
 - *A 1,000m² new public plaza with an underground supermarket*
 - *A through-site-link to Yeo Street*
 - *A 2.5m whole of building setback that expands the tree canopy along Rangers Road and Military Road*

- *Deliver a 14-space public commuter bicycle parking facility*
- *Activate street edges along Rangers Road, Military Road, the new plaza, through-site-link and part of Yeo Street*
- *Deliver loading and basement access through Military Lane or potentially along Yeo Street, subject to a transport study*
- *Mitigate wind impacts to the public plaza*
- *Provide access to underground uses within a building façade to a limit structures on the plaza*

Figure 3 demonstrates the identified building envelope for the site looking south and showing a new public plaza and pedestrian through-site link from Military Road to Yeo Street. **Figure 4** illustrates the envisaged new public plaza that would provide open space and expand retail opportunities to support population growth. It is expected that the future plaza will be activated by retail, cafes and restaurants along the edges to create a vibrant and safe open space for the community.



Figure 3 MRCPS indicative building envelopes

Source: North Sydney Council



Figure 4 Artist's impression of the future Ranger Road Plaza

Source: North Sydney Council

On the back of this extensive work by Council and its endorsement in April 2021, Fabcot Pty Ltd advanced its plans for the site to commence the process of delivering the vision espoused in these documents. In doing so Fabcot Pty Ltd had regular discussions and meetings with Council officers to discuss the proposal and work through design matters.

Following the local elections in December 2021, a new Council was formed and a meeting held on 10th January 2022 to elect the new Mayor. During this same meeting the new Council unsuccessfully attempted to rescind the Council resolution on the *Military Road Corridor Strategy* and *Future Directions Report*. Subsequent to this, and extraordinary meeting was then held on 24 January 2022 and the decision to adopt the *Future Directions Report* was rescinded by the newly elected Council.

According to that same resolution, an updated strategic development framework for the Military Road Corridor including the Neutral Bay Town Centre is to now be prepared by Council officers with further community and stakeholder engagement to enable a “*better balance between development height and the provision of additional public open space*”.

Whilst the *Future Directions Report* has been rescinded, Fabcot Pty Ltd has been actively preparing plans for the site since 2019 in direct response to the previously endorsed MRCPS and *Future Directions Report*. The decision to rescind the strategy was made by the newly elected 2022 Councillors and occurred one month prior to Fabcot's Plans to lodge its Planning Proposal. Given the extensive work and time that has gone into preparing the Planning Proposal, Fabcot has been left with no choice but to push ahead with its Planning Proposal as the Woolworths business is not able to wait for a further 1-2 years for Council to update its MRCPS and the Future Directions Report for Neutral Bay. This Planning Proposal has been designed to reflect the development intent of the planning study,

aimed at delivering a substantial amount of public benefit for the area and delivering a built form outcome that is generally consistent with that espoused within the previous Council endorsed documents. Fabcot Pty Ltd group is committed to delivering a high-quality built outcome that will significantly improve the existing amenity of the site and contribute to the overall vibrancy of the Neutral Bay Town Centre and its ability to serve local community needs.

2.2 Pre-lodgement Consultation

Since early 2020, Fabcot has engaged with Council as part of the process of preparing the MRCPS and Future Directions Report, as well as more targeted discussions regarding this site-specific Planning Proposal. Specifically, Fabcot has:

- Actively participated as a key stakeholder and landowner in the preparation of the Military Road Corridor Planning Study and Future Directions Report for the Neutral Bay town centre.
- Held numerous meetings with Council regarding the site-specific planning proposal, including a number of meetings in 2020 and subsequent further meetings in 2021, including:
 - A meeting with Council officers on 26 August 2021 to provide Council with an update on progress of the Planning Proposal and updated design following discussions in 2020 and the Council's endorsement of the MRCPS and the Future Directions Report in April 2021.
 - A meeting with Council officers on 29 October 2021 to present an indicative concept for the site and provide Council with an update on progress of negotiations with adjacent landowners at 185 Military Road. Council provided feedback to that indicative concept following the meeting.
 - A meeting on 16 December 2021 to present a further updated design concept to Council that responded to their feedback from the previous meeting.

On the back of these meetings, Fabcot were in the process of advancing plans and preparation of the Planning Proposal, with the intention of formally submitting the Planning Proposal to Council in February 2022. This was however delayed following the surprise resolution of the newly elected Council to rescind the previous Council's endorsement of the MRCPS and the Future Directions Report for Neutral Bay town centre. As a result of this resolution discussions with the Council officers was unable to be further advanced and Fabcot was left with no clear option other than to finalise the Planning Proposal package on the back of discussions and advice received up to that point.

Had the Council not made that resolution then Fabcot would have looked to continue the productive discussions that had been held up to that point with a view to taking the concept to the North Sydney Design Review Panel prior to formal lodgement. Fabcot are however still open to and eager to continue constructive dialogue with the Council, with the view to deliver a suitable outcome for the site and the town centre.

3.0 The Site

3.1 Site Location and Context

The site is located at 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay. It is situated within the North Sydney Local Government Area (LGA) on a prominent corner within the Military Road Corridor as defined by North Sydney Council.

Located just 5km north of the Sydney CBD, within Sydney's Lower North Shore, Neutral Bay has a key role to play in the provision of housing and employment, given its proximity to the commercial centres of the Sydney CBD, North Sydney, St Leonards and Chatswood. The high frequency bus network along Military Road links the site to these strategic centres via the Bus Stands A, B and C, which are located approximately 20m to the north at the site frontage. Refer to **Figure 2**.




Figure 5 Site Context Map

Source: Ethos Urban / Google Maps

3.2 Site Description

The site is irregular in shape and comprises of 3 allotments identified as Lots 1, 2 and 3 in DP 1091373. Woolworths owns the stratum-subdivided lot at 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay, providing a total site area of 4,207m². The site identified in red represents 'site 3' under the MRCPS. The site for this application is identified in red as shown in **Figure 6**.



Figure 6 Site Aerial

Source: Ethos Urban / Nearmap

The site has a frontage to 2 primary roadways, a 75m frontage to Rangers Road and an 89m secondary frontage to Yeo Street at the rear. The site also has a frontage to Military Lane at the rear, which acts as a service lane.

3.3 Existing Development

1-7 Rangers Road is currently occupied by an existing single storey Woolworths supermarket and adjoining bottle shop. Customers currently access the supermarket from both Rangers Road and Yeo Street. Basement car park access is located on Yeo Street, with loading and servicing currently via a loading dock on Military Lane. 50 Yeo Street currently contains a six-storey commercial building occupied by WOTSO Workspace. The existing Woolworth supermarket village and 50 Yeo Street commercial building are part of a stratum arrangement.



Figure 7 Existing Woolworths and bottle shop

Source: Ethos Urban



Figure 8 Existing rear Woolworths parking entry

Source: Ethos Urban



Figure 9 Existing development at 50 Yeo Street

Source: Ethos Urban



Figure 10 Military Lane

Source: Ethos Urban

3.4 Surrounding Development

North

Development north of the site on the northern side of Military Road comprises commercial and retail tenancies accessed from Military Road pedestrian footpaths. The built form is predominantly two storey buildings comprising small scale fine grain retail tenancies with commercial uses above. Development further north along Grosvenor Street comprises medium density development and multi storey commercial buildings.



Figure 11 Development on the northern side of Military Road

Source: Google Maps



Figure 12 Development further north at Grosvenor St

Source: Google Maps

South

To the south of the site on the opposite side of Yeo Street are a number of residential flat buildings. These residential flat buildings are typically three storeys and contain some dwellings with north-facing windows and balconies. A number of smaller scale residencies are also located on the southern side of Yeo Street, while they have windows facing Yeo Street, plans obtained of these dwelling indicate that their internal orientation is to the south.



Figure 13 Residential properties located south of the site

Source: Ethos Urban



Figure 14 Existing residential development on the south side of Yeo St

Source: Ethos Urban

East

The site at the corner of Yeo Street and Rangers Road is a more recent 6 storey residential flat development (**Figure 13**).

To the east on the opposite side of the road is 9-11 Rangers Road, a recently completed five-storey residential building. The building has ground floor retail tenancies and basement car parking, and is built to the boundary with a blank wall. Further east of the site, on the eastern side of Rangers Road, comprises a series of residential development of varying typologies including a residential flat building with ground floor retail on the corner of Military Road and Rangers Road.



Figure 15 Development on the eastern side of Rangers Road

Source: Ethos Urban



Figure 16 Development on the eastern side of Rangers Road

Source: Ethos Urban

West

Immediately west of the site is Military Lane providing rear access for a number of buildings. Development located directly west of the site comprise a four storey residential flat building with ground floor retail towards Yeo Street (Figure 15).



Figure 17 Development on the eastern side of Rangers Road

Source: Ethos Urban



Figure 18 Development on the eastern side of Rangers Road

Source: Ethos Urban

3.5 Transport, Access and Connectivity

Vehicular Access and Parking

Rangers Road accommodates one lane in each direction and provides on-street car parking on both sides. The road provides a one-way carriageway from Military Road at the north and terminates at the Spofforth Street intersection.

Yeo Street accommodates one lane in each direction and provides on-street car parking on both sides. Yeo Street - west connection runs parallel to Military Road, extending from Rangers Road in the east and terminating at the Bent

Street intersection in the west. Yeo Street provides access to the existing main basement level parking for the Woolworths site.

Public Transport

The site is within convenient proximity on Military Road outside three (3) separate bus stops known as Stand A, Stand B and Stand C. Each bus stand provides numerous high-frequency bus services that travel to the Sydney CBD, greater North Shore, Northern Beaches and Western Suburbs. The bus services with stops located close to the site are illustrated in **Table 1**.

Table 1 Bus network along Military Road

Stand	Route	Route Description
Stand A	151	Mona Vale to City QVB
	168X	North Balgowlah to City Wynyard via North Balgowlah (Express Service)
	169	Manly to City Wynyard via Narrawenna
	171X	Manly to City Wynyard via Clontarf (Express Service)
	175X	Warringah Mall to City Wynyard (Express Service)
	178	Cromer Heights to City Wynyard
	180	Collaroy Plateau to City Wynyard
	185X	Mona Vale to City Wynyard via Warriewood
	188	Mona Vale to City Wynyard
	188X	North Avalon Beach to City Wynyard
	189X	Avalon to City Wynyard (Express Service)
	190X	Palm Beach to City Wynyard
	243	Spit Junction to City Wynyard
	244	Chowder Bay Mosman to City Wynyard
	245	Balmoral to City Wynyard
	246	Balmoral Heights to City Wynyard
	247	Taronga Zoo to City Wynyard via Mosman
	248	Seaforth to City Wynyard
	249	Beauty Point to City Wynyard
	430	Sydenham to Taronga Zoo
B1	B-Line Mona Vale to City Wynyard	
Stand B	154X	Mona Vale to Milsons Point (Express Service)
	168	North Balgowlah to Milsons Point
	173	Narraweena to Milsons Point
	227	Mosman Junction to Milsons Point
	228	Clifton Gardens to Milsons Point
	229	Beauty Point to Milsons Point via Balmoral
	230	Mosman Wharf to Milsons Wharf via North Sydney
	246	Balmoral Heights to City Wynyard
	257	Chatswood to Balmoral via Crows Nest
Stand C	143	Manly to Chatswood via Balgowlah & St Leonards

Stand	Route	Route Description
	144	Manly to Chatswood via Royal North Shore Hospital
	257	Chatswood to Balmoral via Crows Nest

Pedestrian Connectivity and Accessibility

The site is located within less than 40m of Bus Stands A to C which provide excellent access to numerous bus services shown in **Table 1**, providing direct connectivity to the northern suburbs, Chatswood, St Leonards and Milsons Point railway stations and the Sydney CBD. Current pedestrian access into the Woolworths site is obtained via Rangers Road and vehicular access is obtained via the basement car park accessed from Yeo Street, which is also used for the Wotso office building on Yeo Street. Servicing access for Woolworths and the Wotso commercial building is obtained via Military Lane.. Although the site currently enjoys excellent access to the bus network at Military Road, there is an opportunity to substantially improve access across the wider site to provide a north-south pedestrian connection from Military Road and Rangers Road through to Yeo Street and surrounds.

3.6 Heritage

The site is not subject to any statutory heritage listing, nor is it within a heritage conservation area. Several heritage items are in the vicinity of the site, being:

- “Former CBC Bank”, 28 Military Road, Neutral Bay. North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013. Heritage Item No. I0677;
- “Shop”, 196 Military Road, Neutral Bay. North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013. Heritage Item No. I0676;
- “Shop”, 194 Military Road, Neutral Bay. North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013. Heritage Item No. I0675;
- “House”, 144 Wycombe Road, Neutral Bay. North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013. Heritage Item No. I0761.

4.0 Current Planning Controls

4.1 North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013

The *North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013* (NSLEP 2013) is the principal environmental planning instrument applying to the site. The provisions of the NSLEP 2013 and the key development controls as they relate to the site are outlined below in **Table 2**.

Table 2 Current North Sydney LEP 2013 provisions

LEP Clause	Provision
2.1 Land use	The site is zoned B4 Mixed Use. Development for the purposes of retail, commercial and residential uses are permissible with development consent.
4.3 Height of Buildings	The maximum height of buildings is 16m.
4.4 Floor Space Ratio	There is no stipulated maximum FSR for the site.
4.4A Non-residential Floor Space Ratio	The minimum non-residential FSR for the site is 0.5:1.
6.12A Residential flat buildings in Zone B4 Mixed use zones	Development consent must not be granted for development for the purpose of a residential flat building on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that the residential flat building is part of a mixed-use development, and no part of the ground floor of the building that is facing a street is used for residential accommodation.




Figure 19 Land Zoning map, North Sydney LEP 2013 (site in red outline)

Source: Land Zoning Map – Sheet LZN_003 and Sheet LZN_004, NSLEP 2013




Figure 20 Height of Buildings map, North Sydney LEP 2013 (site in red outline)

Source: Height of Buildings Map – Sheet HOB_003 and Sheet HOB_004, NSLEP 2013



Figure 21 Floor Space ratio map, North Sydney LEP 2013 (site in red outline)

Source: Floor Space Ratio – Sheet FSR_003 and Sheet FSR_004, NSLEP 2013



Figure 22 Non-residential Floor Space ratio map, North Sydney LEP 2013

Source: Non-Residential Floor Space Ratio – Sheet LCL_003 and Sheet LCL_004, NSLEP 2013

4.2 North Sydney Development Control plan 2013

The North Sydney DCP 2013 provides additional detailed design guidance which builds upon the provisions of the North Sydney LEP 2013. The site is located on land within the Neutral Bay Town Centre character area which are subject to character area specific DCP provisions. Part of the site is also listed as a key site. Refer to **Figure 23**.

Neutral Bay Town Centre

The site is located within the Neutral Bay Town Centre. The North Sydney DCP (which is tailored to align with the incumbent LEP controls) envisages the Centre to provide a good mix of commercial, retail, restaurants and cafes to address the local community’s needs. The desired future character seeks an activated ground plane with commercial activities maintained along street frontages to stimulate pedestrian activity with non-residential and residential uses above.

The North Sydney DCP highlights 1-11 Rangers Road as a key area as shown in **Figure 24**. Relevant controls applicable to the Centre, including the key area under the North Sydney DCP 2013 are identified in **Table 3**.



Figure 23 Neutral Bay Town Centre character area (identified in red)

Source: North Sydney Council




Figure 24 Site identified as a key area for the Neutral Bay town Centre

Source: Ethos Urban

Table 3 North Sydney DCP 2013 - Neutral Bay Town Centre Controls

Control	Provision
5.1.1. Significant Elements	
Land Use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> P1 Predominantly mixed commercial and residential development.
Streetscape	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> P8 Wide fully paved footpaths incorporating outdoor dining areas. P9 Buildings built to street and laneway frontages. P10 Continuous awnings along Military Road. P11 Irregular planting of street trees. P12 Active frontages to Military Road, Grosvenor Street. P13 90 degree on-street parking to Parraween Street.
Public transport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> P14 Development is to take advantage of high levels of accessibility to high frequency public bus services along Military Road.
5.1.2 Desired Future Character	
Diversity of activities, facilities, opportunities and services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> P1 Mixed commercial and residential development, primarily focused on Military Road. P2 A variety of commercial, retail, restaurants and cafes are provided at footpath level, non-residential or residential on the first floor and residential only on the upper floors. P3 Commercial activities should be maintained to all street frontages at ground level to stimulate pedestrian activity. P4 Activities should not have a detrimental impact to the safety and efficiency of vehicular traffic on Military Road.
5.1.3 Desired Built Form	
Form, massing and scale	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> P2 Generally 4-5 storeys. P3 5-6 storeys in the block bounded by Military Road, Cabramatta Road and Spofforth Street. P4 Larger facades are broken up with changes in building frontage alignment and architectural detailing to reflect the former subdivision patterns, especially fronting Military Road.

Control	Provision
Public spaces and facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P5 Outdoor dining areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) are located within clearly defined spaces; b) are located away from main roads; c) are weather protected; and d) provide equal and unobstructed pedestrian movement. • P6 Pedestrian arcades should be provided between Military Road and parallel laneways/streets to the north and south of Military Road to enhance pedestrian connectivity. • P7 Views of shop fronts should not be obstructed from footpaths and roadways. • P8 Encourage the retention and enhancement of trees within the public domain to improve public amenity.
Setbacks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P9 Buildings should be built to all street frontages at ground level, except as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Setback 1.5m from the northern side of Military Road, at ground level between Young Street and Waters Road, and b) Setback 1.5m at ground level from all laneways.
Podiums	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P10 Podium of 8.5m (two storeys) to Military Road, east of Hampden Avenue, with a setback of 3m above the podium. • P11 Podium of 10m (three storeys) to Military Road, west of Hampden Avenue, with a setback of 3m above the podium. • P12 Podium of 8.5m (two storeys) to laneway frontages and frontages not to Military Road, with a setback of 3m above the podium. • P13 Podium of 8.5m (2 storeys) to the east and west of Barry Street Plaza, with a setback of 3m above the podium. • P14 Provide adequate podium setbacks where a site adjoins residential or open space zones
Building Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P15 Ground floor access to shops is to be provided to all properties with a frontage to Military Road, a frontage to the Grosvenor Lane car park / plaza, and those with a dual frontage between Military Road and Parraween Street. • P16 Building elements, materials, finishes, and windows should relate to neighbouring buildings. • P17 Laneways should be provided with active frontages, wherever possible
5.1.5 11 Rangers Road	
Diversity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P1 Supermarket (if possible), small shops at footpath level fronting streets and lanes, non-residential/residential on lower floors, residential above.
Form, massing and scale	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P3 Modern commercial building generally built to the boundary. • P4 Development addresses laneways with active uses
Setbacks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P5 Buildings should be built to all street frontages at ground level, except as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Setback 1.5m at ground level from Rangers Road.
Podiums	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P6 Podium of 13m (four storeys) to Rangers Road, with a setback of 3m above the podium • P7 Podium of 10m (three storeys) to Yeo Street and Military Lane, with a setback of 3m above the podium.
Landscaping	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P8 Internal spaces provide a safe and pleasant meeting place.
Access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P9 Vehicular access to be provided from Military Lane.

5.0 Strategic context

5.1 Strategic Planning themes

This chapter demonstrates how the vision and intended outcomes for the site, enabled by the Planning Proposal, are consistent with a range of strategic planning objectives established by both Council and the NSW State Government. Specifically, the Planning Proposal supports key strategic planning moves relating to the following themes:



Liveability

The concept of liveability is well established in contemporary planning dialogue and is used to refer to the aspects of a place that leads to a high quality of life and wellbeing. As defined in the Region Plan 2018, Northern District Plan 2018 and North Sydney LSPS 2020, these aspects, comprising both physical and social dimensions, include:

- A diversity of uses and users, with place based planning;
- The co-location of goods and services, to service the communities daily needs;
- The provision of diverse housing;
- Inclusive and accessible public spaces; and
- Walkable neighbourhoods with links for walking and cycling.

The Region Plan 2018 recognises that liveability not only contributes to productivity and sustainability but is also an important influence on individual wellbeing and community cohesion. Improving liveability is about creating and renewing great places, neighbourhoods and centres with improved access to local goods and services (Region Plan 2018; Northern City District Plan 2018).

The Planning Proposal presents the opportunity to deliver an active mixed-use hub, underpinned by liveability attributes at its core. In line with the strategic outcomes identified in the Region Plan (Objectives 7 & 10), the Northern City District Plan (Priorities N4, N5 & N6) and the North Sydney LSPS 2020 (Priorities L1, L2 and P3), the Planning Proposal will:

- a) Facilitate the provision of day to day goods and services, including fresh food and personal items, through the provision of retail floor space;
- b) Generate local employment opportunities;
- c) Deliver housing, in an accessible and well-serviced location. Notably, the Region Plan acknowledges increasing residential development within walking distance of centres with a supermarket, is a desirable liveability outcome;
- d) Provide high-quality publicly accessible spaces and shared communal areas to foster community connection;
- e) Improve the accessibility and connectivity of the public domain, to promote walking and cycling; and
- f) Incorporate sustainable design and building initiatives.

Employment & Productivity

The Region Plan (Objective 14) and the Northern City District Plan (Priority N12) as well as the North Sydney LSPS (Planning Priority N12) support the concept of a 30-minute city, where residents have greater access to jobs, shops

and services, within 30-minutes of their homes. Development of the site presents the opportunity to deliver a mixed-use local hub, that supports a 30-minute city.

Achieving optimum placemaking outcomes and supporting a diverse range of employment opportunities is crucial to a productive and active centre. Council envisages their centres to be flexible and exhibit longevity to evolve and adapt over time to changing needs (North Sydney LSPS 2020 Priority P3 and P5).

Specifically, the Planning Proposal will:

- Revitalise the current ageing site for a new high quality mixed-use scheme for the Neutral Bay Town centre on a key site.
- Provide local employment opportunities for residents in a strategic local centre consistent with the outcomes in the North Sydney LSPS (Priority P4 and P6) as well as the North City District Plan (Priority N10 and E11);
- Enhance the Neutral Bay Town Centre with the inclusion of a small-medium sized urban supermarket which will support long-term success of the mixed-use centre;
- Increase the residential population through the provision of housing which will benefit local business; and
- Facilitate the delivery of development that will support the 30-minute city concept by providing high quality retail offerings as well as job opportunities within close proximity to housing.

Diverse Housing

As North Sydney's population steadily continues to grow, diverse housing will have a key role in supplying the growth and particularly in supporting an ageing population with smaller dwelling options. The Region Plan (Objective 10), Northern City District Plan (Priority N5) and North Sydney LSPS 2020 (Priority L1) recognise the importance of diverse housing supply in suitable locations, such as centres. It is integral that the future housing supply is located in suitable locations, such as that included in the proposal, to maintain residential amenity of the surrounding community and to ensure housing is accessible to transport and services to create sustainable living.

5.2 Metropolitan, District and Local Planning Strategies

5.2.1 Greater Sydney Region Plan 2018

To manage growth and change across Sydney, the Greater Sydney Commission released the Greater Sydney Regional Plan (the Region Plan) and the Northern City District Plan (the District Plan) in 2018.

The Region Plan guides integrated land use planning and infrastructure delivery over the next 20 years, with longer term vision extending 40 years. The Plan seeks to reposition Sydney as a metropolis of three cities and encourage land use planning and infrastructure integration to deliver a 30-minute city. Objectives in the Regional Plan include creating diverse jobs and developing infrastructure and services that align with forecast growth.

5.2.2 North City District Plan

The District Plan contains the planning priorities and actions for implementing the Regional Plan at a district level. The Regional Plan sets the 20-year vision for the district through 24 'Planning Priorities' that are linked to the Region Plan. The purpose of the District Plan is to support councils in planning for growth and to align the Local Strategic Planning Statements (LSPS) with place-based outcomes. The strategic intent of the District Plan is to provide for services, shops, cultural infrastructure, education and transport within close reach of residents. The District Plan acknowledges that the area is evolving and that infrastructure and services need to adapt to meet people's changing needs.

The District Plan also highlights an objective to foster healthy, creative, culturally rich and socially connected communities which are provided with housing supply in close access to jobs, services and public transport. Creating and renewing great places and local centres for these communities are also a key driver of change within the District Plan.

The District Plan indicates Neutral Bay as a local centre, it also concludes that the Northern City will require 80,000sqm of additional retail floorspace over the next 20 years. Specifically, the District Plan recognises the

importance of improving access to local jobs and services that support the growing population. Neutral Bay will continue to provide a thriving centre that supports people living in close distances to jobs and local services.

Woolworths is looking to enhance the Neutral Bay local centre, through providing a new full-line supermarket, large retail offering, and commercial floorspace to deliver a diverse mix of uses and employment opportunities in close proximity to residents. This includes the integration of emerging trends such as 'direct to boot', to fulfil the changing needs of the community.




Figure 25 Northern City District - Structure Plan

Source: Greater Sydney Commission

5.2.3 North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement

Under changes made to the EP&A Act, all Councils were required to prepare a Local Strategic Planning Statement (LSPS) to give effect to the Region and District Plan. The LSPS sets out a 20-year vision and planning priorities for the LGA that intend to manage future land uses across the area and implement the community's values and visions. The LSPS will inform changes to Councils' LEP, and other planning policies.

The North Sydney LSPS outlines an estimated population of 91,659 in 2036 from 72,150 in 2016. The vision for North Sydney is an integrated area ensuring people of all ages have access to local places with strong transport connections. As well as access to a range of employment opportunities with the local North Sydney centres to be hubs for jobs, shopping, dining, entertainment, and community activities. The vision for North Sydney includes the support and enabling of placemaking to create safe, liveable, sustainable, and economically productive areas.

This is outlined through the four themes of the LSPS, Infrastructure & Collaboration, Liveability, Productivity and Sustainability. The Neutral Bay local centre, which the proposal site is located within, has a role to be play in achieving the objectives of these themes. The proposal will also contribute to meeting the vision for the following priorities of the North Sydney LSPS 2020 by:

- Delivering diverse housing options that meet the needs of the North Sydney Community (Planning Priority L1)
- Providing a range of community facilities and services to support a healthy, creative, diverse and socially connected North Sydney community (Planning Priority L2)
- Enhancing the commercial amenity and viability of North Sydney's local centres (Planning Priority P3)
- Supporting walkable centres and a connected, vibrant and sustainable North Sydney (Planning Priority P6)
- Developing buildings and places that will contribute to net-zero emissions by 2056 to mitigate climate change, reduce waste generation, energy and water usage (Planning Priority S3).

The development of a mixed-use building comprising a full-line urban supermarket and retail ground plane with commercial and residential dwellings above, will enhance the Neutral Bay local centre which will further add to the realisation of the vision outlined in the LSPS. The proposal will facilitate a future development that directly responds to Planning Priority P3 to enhance the commercial amenity and viability of North Sydney's local centres. It will respond to the identified need for new high quality office space through the provision of mixed use developments comprising ground floor retail and residential uses above. Further, an increase in housing in the Neutral Bay local centre will further strengthen the centre's businesses as the residents utilise the services the centre offers.

6.0 Indicative Development Concept

This chapter of the report describes the Planning Proposal and the urban design principles that set the foundation for its structure. Further detail is provided throughout the environmental assessment in the following chapters.

A number of planning and design principles were established for the site taking into consideration the site-specific opportunities and constraints including but not limited to its locational attributes, surrounding built form context, strategic planning policy context, and the design intent under the MRCPS and Neutral Bay Town Centre Future Directions Report. These principles were then used to guide and inform how the site may be redeveloped in the future under the proposed planning controls. Specifically, it was established that any future redevelopment of the site was to:

- Provide an open, permeable and activated public domain through the inclusion of a new pedestrian plaza and through site link, ensuring any future development would provide a new additional focal point for Neutral Bay, serving residential catchments surrounding the town centre;
- Strengthen the local economy through the inclusion of new employment space, to increase local employment opportunities and reduce the need for local residents to travel to other employment centres.
- Improve pedestrian and vehicular access and movement by concentrating vehicular movement away from Military Road and providing new activated pedestrian links through the site, enhancing connectivity between Military Road, Rangers Road and Yeo Street.
- Provide a new built form that is compatible with the intent and desired character for Neutral Bay as set out within the MRCPS and Neutral Bay Future Directions Report.
- Enhance vibrancy and activation within Neutral Bay Town Centre through providing a new best in class Woolworths supermarket supported by cafés, shops and restaurants.
- Encourage sustainable modes of transport by delivering an envelope capable of accommodating bicycle parking and a through-site link that will encourage walkability; and
- Achieve high levels of sustainability through the adoption of market leading practices into any future detailed design.
- Ensure that any future built form will deliver a high quality design outcome.

6.1 The Vision

The concept vision for the site and its future redevelopment is centred on fulfilling the intent of the MRCPS, enabling the future delivery of a high quality mixed-use development including a contemporary full-line supermarket together with an active and inviting ground floor plane, above ground commercial employment space and residential on the upper levels, all of which is focussed around a new public plaza and through-site link that will act as a new community heart for Neutral Bay Town Centre and serve local community needs.

The proposal will capitalise on the site's size and strategic location at a prominent location within the Neutral Bay Town Centre and in proximity to the B-Line and mass transit Bus Stands along Military Road. The proposal seeks to deliver a much improved public domain and increase permeability with the incorporation of a new through-site link connecting Rangers Road and Yeo Street. It will also enable the future delivery of a best in class full-line supermarket, provide additional boutique retail opportunities for shops and dining as well as new commercial floor space that will retain and increase employment opportunities within the Neutral Bay Town Centre.

Woolworths' aim is to support the revitalisation of the Neutral Bay Town Centre by providing a new high quality public domain supported by convenient shopping facilities and fine grain retail and dining opportunities that help activate and bring vibrancy to the centre.

6.2 Indicative Reference Scheme

Koichi Takada Architects (KTA) have prepared an Indicative Reference Scheme for the site (refer to **Appendix A** and **Appendix B** and **Figure 20 and 22**) that seeks to achieve the aforementioned design principles and intended outcomes of the Planning Proposal. The Indicative Reference Scheme demonstrates how the site could be redeveloped in the future under the proposed amended LEP controls, whilst ensuring an outcome that is broadly

consistent with the intent and desired outcomes espoused within the Neutral Bay Future Directions Report. Full details of the Indicative Concept Scheme are contained in **Appendix B**, however the key components of the scheme include:

- A singular integrated built form consisting of a basement level car park and subterranean supermarket, a two (2) story building along the site's northern boundary that helps frame the centralised town square, a ten (10) storey building along the site's eastern frontage to Rangers Road and southern frontage to Yeo Street, dropping down to 7 storeys as it moves to the west at the corner of Yeo Street and Military Lane. A building form along Yeo Street that has been designed to terrace back in its setback to the street as it increases in height, whilst also including recesses along the façade to help modulate the buildings appearance and reduce its visual massing when viewed from Yeo Street.
- Building heights that have been informed by the MRCPS, and which have been articulated to break down their linear scale, while the upper levels of the building include a setback to reduce bulk and appearance when viewed from the street. Suitable building footprint depths ensure apartment configurations that optimise solar access, natural cross ventilation and visual amenity.
- A central town square fronting Yeo Street that acts as the focal point for the site and which provides a new gathering place for the community.
- A new double height covered through site link connecting from Yeo Street through to the town square and out to Rangers Road, providing through site access and increasing pedestrian permeability through the town centre.
- Provision of a fully activated ground floor plane across the site through a combination of primarily active retail edges together with commercial and residential lobby entrances.
- Alfresco dining opportunities within the town square and along the covered through site link.
- Above ground commercial uses that will ensure the site increases employment floorspace on site and supports the delivery of more jobs within the town centre.
- New residential apartments on the upper levels of the building that will help provide housing opportunities in a well located part of Sydney with excellent access to public transport and amenities.
- Provision of basement level parking via Yeo Street providing an access outcome consistent with the existing arrangement and helping to minimise congestion along Rangers Road and Military Road.

It is important to note that the Indicative Concept Scheme represents just one possible solution for how the site might be redeveloped under the proposed planning controls. It does not represent the only possible solution to the site's future design which would be subject to further design development and detailed analysis at the future development assessment stage.

6.3 Numerical summary

The key numeric development information is summarised in **Table 4** below.

Table 4 Key numeric development information

Component	Proposed Development Concept
Site Area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4,207m²
Maximum overall height (storeys)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2, 7 and 10 storeys
Maximum overall height	39.8m (RL123.3)
Gross Floor Area (GFA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commercial: 2,103m² • Woolworths: 3,311m² • Retail: 1,846m² • Residential: 12,682m²

Component	Proposed Development Concept
Public Plaza	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1,013m²
Floor space ratio (FSR)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-residential = 1:1.71 Residential = 1:2.6 Total = 1:4.57
Apartments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 bed: 8 2 bed: 36 3 bed: 47 Total: 91
Car parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Commercial: 35 spaces Woolworths: 132 spaces Retail: 31 spaces Residential: 87 spaces Public: 65 spaces Total: 350
Loading and servicing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Direct to boot: 6 pick-up bays Truck loading: 2 bays

6.4 Proposed Built Form

The building envelope proposed under the indicative reference scheme has been informed by the aforementioned design principles. It comprises a mixed use development comprising a singular connected built form focussed around a new town square and pedestrian through site link connecting Rangers Road and Yeo Street. The northern portion of the proposed built form fronting Rangers Road has a maximum building height of 39.8m (10 storeys), stepping down to 30m (7 storeys) at the corner of Yeo Street and Military Lane. The built form has been designed to respond to site specific constraints whilst still achieving a viable development outcome capable of supporting the delivery of a town square, through site link and street upgrades.

A two-storey retail podium sleeving the eastern boundary is also proposed and is intended to support the creation of a highly activated ground plane, consistent with the desired character and intent for the site under the Future Directions Report for the Neutral Bay Town Centre.

An indicative image of the proposal is shown in **Figure 26**.

Beneath the town square, the lower ground floor will comprise a full line best in class Woolworths supermarket and the upper ground floor will comprise a series of individual retail tenancies and commercial and residential lobbies surrounding public pedestrian plaza. Travelators and elevator access to the lower ground Woolworths and basement car parking will be provided.

The ground floor plane will provide a continuous through site link from Rangers Road in the north to Yeo Street in the south. The pedestrian plaza and through site link will be activated by the retail tenancies on the upper ground floor which will increase the amount of active retail frontages within the Neutral Bay Town Centre, allowing for more fine-grain retail shopping experience commensurate to the existing nature of retail along Military Road.



Figure 26 Artist impression of built form from Rangers Road

Source: Koichi Takada Architects

6.4.1 Residential component

The indicative reference scheme contains a total of 91 apartments in a variety of 1-bed, 2-bed and 3-bed apartment configurations above a retail, commercial and servicing podium.

The residential component has been designed to begin from Level 3 and has been designed to configure the residential dwellings to maximise casual surveillance of the public plaza, Rangers Road and Yeo Street. The apartments are serviced by six lift cores and common corridors will have access to lifts and ventilation. A communal terrace space and open recreational rooftop offering will also be provided to enhance residential amenity and ensure appropriate building separation and outlook to Yeo Street. All apartments have been configured to take into account high-level Apartment Design Guide amenity considerations such as internal and external size, solar access, cross ventilation and other amenity requirements.

6.4.2 Commercial component

The proposal aims to facilitate commercial land uses on site which will be accommodated across 3 level. Commercial floorspaces amounting to 2,103m² will be concentrated at the eastern portion of the development and will be accessed via commercial lobbies that are entered from Rangers Road.

6.4.3 Retail component and supermarket

The proposed development at the ground floor plane aims to deliver an extension of the pedestrian shopping experience at Military Road onto the subject site by providing a total of 1,846m² of retail GFA.

Ground floor retail development is proposed within the mixed-use building and sleeved along the northern site boundary on the ground floor and level 1.

The proposed retail podium will comprise small scale retail tenancies (45m² to 226m²) that will be occupied by a range of shops, cafes and restaurants, and which together will support the creation of an attractive, vibrant and welcoming neighbourhood hub centred around the town square.

A full-line Woolworths supermarket located beneath the town square will anchor the development and will span the entirety of the site, amounting to 3,311m². The subterranean arrangement at the lower ground floor is proposed to allow for the creation of the town square at ground level and ensure a fine grain and engaging, people focused town centre environment. The supermarket will be able to be accessed from the ground level by pedestrians via a traveller along the Yeo Street frontage.

Figure 27 shows an extract of the lower ground floor plan demonstrating the supermarket intent.




Figure 27 Proposed floorplan concept for the lower-ground supermarket

Source: Koichi Takada Architects

6.5 Through site link and public plaza

A key component of this Planning Proposal is to establish a highly permeable and connected ground floor plane that prioritises pedestrians needs and accessibility. The indicative reference scheme consists of generous internal public spaces connected via a new through-site link and upgraded footpaths with landscaped setbacks.

The proposed public plaza serves to increase the amount of active frontages provided by the site, increasing ground plane activation and allowing for more fine-grain retail and an enhanced pedestrian experience within the town centre. The new plaza will feature a new landscaped area for passive recreation and outdoor space to create a vibrant meeting place.

The proposed through-site link is intended to be a minimum width of 8.1m connecting Rangers Road in the north to Yeo Street in the south. The indicative reference scheme will provide seamless north south connections and the though site link will provide opportunities for outdoor dining in a high quality public domain setting. In doing so it will

become a destination for the local community, enhancing the retail and dining experience within Neutral Bay, and breathing new life into the town centre.

The proposed plaza and through site link will provide a genuine focal point for the local community, and fulfil the role of providing a true town centre for the southern side of Neutral Bay, serving the local community and creating better accessibility to the shopping, dining and transit options at Military Road. **Figure 28** provides an indicative sketch of the proposed through site link.



Figure 28 Artist impression of future through-site link

Source: Koichi Takada Architects

6.6 Landscape concept

A Landscaping Concept Scheme has been prepared by Arcadia and is appended at **Appendix C**. Key design principles for the scheme have been established to guide the design of the future landscaping for the site:

- Diversity ground plane experience
- Establishing a community heart
- Connecting a series of destinations

The indicative landscape scheme will include an active through site link, defined entry, outdoor seating with a new plaza and community rooftop garden. The following design elements are envisaged for the site to achieve a vibrant marketplace offering:

- **Community lawn:** A raised lawn fronting Rangers Road will provide passive recreational space and accommodate intimate dining experiences to be enjoyed by future residents and the existing Neutral Bay community.
- **Social canopy:** An outdoor seating area that is freeform and flexible for social gathering and small group events.

- **Market place square:** The heart of the future development which will be multi-purpose and designed to encourage use by the Neutral Bay community for live performances and key celebrations.
- **Flavour lane:** A fine grain activated pedestrian link between Yeo Street to the market place. The through site link will provide opportunities for outdoor dining and public art.
- **Roof terrace:** A secluded retreat for the residents to enjoy and relax. At the heart of the terrace a lawn area where residents can gather for communal activities is proposed.

Landscape Master Plan
Concept Plan

Neutral Bay Marketplace design elements:

- 1 ALFRESCO DINING
- 2 COMMUNITY LAWN
- 3 MARKET SQUARE
- 4 SOCIAL CANOPY
- 5 FLAVOUR LANE - ARCADE WITH INTERACTIVE ARTWORK
- 6 SHAREDWAY (3M - 1 LANE)
- 7 ROOFTOP GARDEN WITH INFINITY EDGE SWIMMING POOL (REFER TO PAGE 39)



Figure 29 Landscape reference scheme

Source: Arcadia

6.7 Access and Transport

Vehicular Access and Parking

Vehicular access is proposed to be provided via a driveway basement entry located on Yeo Street. The driveway will provide a controlled two-way ramped entrance to the shared five (5) level basement carpark spanned across the entirety of the site. The indicative development concept provides 350 car parking spaces and allocates a proportion of parking spaces to each land use, as outlined below.

Table 5 Car parking allocation per land use proposed

Land Use	Parking Spaces	Parking Level
Woolworths	132 parking spaces	Basement Level 02 & 03
Commercial	35 parking spaces	Basement Level 04
Retail	31 parking spaces	Basement Level 04
Residential	87 parking spaces	Basement Level 05
Public	65 parking spaces	Basement Level 04
Total	350 parking spaces	

Pedestrian Access

The public domain proposed for the site will provide a new through-site link access from surrounding streets to the new public plaza, residential, commercial and retail development. The new through site link will provide greater connectivity across the site, connecting the southern side of Neutral Bay to the site through to Military Road. Access to the residential and commercial lobbies will be made available at the ground floor via the new public domain pedestrian concourse. Public access to the new Woolworths retail component of the site at the lower ground level will be made available by travelators and elevators from the new ground floor plaza pedestrian concourse.

7.0 Planning Proposal

This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the North Sydney LEP 2013 to facilitate the site's future redevelopment. The proposed planning controls will enable the achievement of a mixed use development consistent with the Indicative Reference Scheme described in **Section 5.2**, comprising a new town square, through site link, full-line supermarket, commercial floorspace and speciality retail.

This Planning Proposal has been prepared in accordance with Section 3.33 of the EP&A Act and 'The Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline', which requires the following matters to be addressed:

- Part 1 – A statement of the objectives and intended outcomes of the proposed instrument.
- Part 2 – An explanation of the provisions that are to be included in the proposed instrument.
- Part 3 – The justification for those objectives, outcomes and the process for their implementation.
- Part 4 – Maps, where relevant, to identify the intent of the planning proposal and the area to which it applies.
- Part 5 – Details of the community consultation that is to be undertaken on the planning proposal.
- Part 6 – A project timeline outlining the key steps and timeframes of implementing the changes.

The following section outlines the objectives and intended outcomes and provides an explanation of provisions in order to achieve those outcomes. The justification and evaluation of impacts is set out in **Section 8.0** and **Section 9.0** of this report.

7.1 Overview of proposed amendments

To achieve the strategic vision and indicative redevelopment reference scheme presented at section C, this planning proposal seeks the following amendments to the North Sydney LEP 2013:

- Increase the maximum building height from 16m to 30m and 40m; and
- Increase the minimum non-residential floor space from 0.5:1 to 1.7:1.

7.2 Objectives and Intended Outcomes

The objective of this planning proposal is to seek amendments to the building height control and minimum non-residential floorspace controls as they apply to the site in order to facilitate a mixed-use development outcome consistent with the objectives of the site's B4 Mixed Use Zone. The intended outcome of the Planning Proposal is to enable a future mixed use development comprising a best in class supermarket at lower ground level, new ground level retail tenancies and new above ground commercial floorspace and residential apartments, all of which is to be centred around the creation of a new town square and through site link between Rangers Road and Yeo Street.

The proposal seeks to deliver on Council's intent for the site as outlined within the draft *Future Directions Report* which sets an aspiration to deliver a high level of public benefit for the community, stimulate local employment opportunities and contribute to the supply of residential housing with proximity to key metropolitan transit links.

A summary of the key objectives of this Planning Proposal is provided below:

- deliver a built form outcome that responds to the intent of the draft *Future Directions Report for Neutral Bay Town Centre*.
- deliver a high-quality mixed use development that exhibits design excellence on a site earmarked for high density residential development.
- assist in facilitating the evolution of the Neutral Bay Town Centre towards a high-amenity mixed-use centre
- enhance pedestrian amenity and connectivity by providing new north-south pedestrian linkages between Yeo Street and Rangers Road.
- enhance the quality of the retail environment within Neutral Bay Town Centre.
- maintain a viable commercial and retail presence on the site, at a scale that will meet the future needs of permanent new jobs to support the strengthening of the Neutral Bay Town Centre local economy; and

- deliver residential development in a desirable location that receives ample access to iconic views, public transport and surrounding civic amenities.
- deliver a diverse mix of residential apartments that will enjoy excellent amenity, taking advantage of the site's strategic location, proximity to services and the exceptional bus network along Military Road with direct connections to other major employment destinations.
- Enable the development of a high performance building in terms of amenity and sustainability.

7.3 Explanation of Provisions

7.3.1 Land to which the plan will apply

This Planning Proposal applies to the site known as 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay and is formally described as Lots 1, 2 and 3 in DP 1091373.

The North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 sets out the local planning controls across North Sydney LGA. This planning proposal seeks to amend the NSLEP 2013 to facilitate the proposed mixed-use development outlined in this report.

The existing and proposed NSLEP 2013 controls as well as the amendments are outlined below.

Existing and Proposed NSLEP 2013 Controls

The existing and proposed NSLEP controls are outlined in **Table 6** below.

Table 6 Existing and proposed NSLEP 2013 controls

Provision	Existing NSLEP 2013 Control	Proposed NSLEP 2013 Control
Zoning	B4 Mixed-Use	B4 Mixed-Use (No change)
Height of Building	16m	30m and 40m
Non-residential Floor Space	0.5:1	1.7:1

7.3.2 Zoning

No change is proposed to the zoning application under the current NSLEP 2013 land zoning map. The proposed true mixed use nature of the site will envision residential, commercial and retail development which can all be facilitated under the current zone.

7.3.3 Maximum Building Height

It is proposed to amend the maximum height of building (HOB) control on the site to reflect an increase from 16m to between 30m and 40m within the site (between 7 to 10 storey) to redistribute massing to achieve the indicative mixed-use development. Accordingly, this Planning Proposal seeks amend the Height of Buildings Map under the North Sydney LEP 2013, as identified in **Figure 30** which is an indicative representation of the amended Height of Buildings Map (Sheet 003 and Sheet 004 extract).




Figure 30 Proposed Height of Building LEP Control for the site

Source: Ethos Urban and NSLEP 2013

7.3.4 Non-residential Floor Space Ratio

As North Sydney LEP 2013 does not prescribe Floor Space Ratio controls, it is proposed to amend the non-residential floor space control on the site to increase the minimum requirement of non-residential floor space from 0.5:1 to 1.7:1. It is proposed to amend the Non-residential Floor Space Map under the North Sydney LEP 2013, as identified in **Figure 31** which is an indicative representation of the amended Non-residential Floor Space Map (Sheet 003 and Sheet 004 extract).




Figure 31 Proposed Non-residential Floor Space Control for the site

Source: Ethos Urban and NSLEP 2013

7.4 Mapping

As shown in **Figure 30** and **Figure 31** above, this Planning Proposal seeks to amend the following maps of the NSLEP 2013:

- Height of Building Map Sheet 003
- Height of Building Map Sheet 004
- Non-residential Floor Space Ratio Map 003
- Non-residential Floor Space Ratio Map 004

7.5 Site-specific Development Control Plan

A draft site specific DCP has been prepared and is attached at **Appendix H**. It contains site-specific provisions relating to the following;

- Site layout
- Land uses
- Public Domain
- Built Form
- Building Design
- Active Edges
- Parking, access and servicing

- Landscaping
- Noise
- Wind

The site-specific DCP has been prepared to provide clarity on the intended development outcome for the site through the creation of a set of site specific planning controls that the Council will be able to use in the assessment of any future development proposal.

7.6 Public Benefit Offer

An offer to enter in a Planning Agreement has been prepared by Ethos Urban on behalf of Fabcot Pty Ltd and is included under separate cover (**Appendix F**).

The offer proposes the following contributions:

The offer proposes the following contributions:

- Contributions to a total value of \$6,614,724 comprising:
 - Publicly Accessible Square
 - Construction and provision of a new publicly accessible square and through site link comprising approximately 1,013sqm of paved and landscaped area for public recreation, events and cultural activities. The space will include landscaping, outdoor furniture, lighting as well as all associated engineering works to make sure it functions appropriately. The proposed new square has been included in direct response to Council's stated ambitions for a new town square on this site, and will be designed to meet Council's aspirations for the space and respond to the desires of local residents for a community meeting place.
 - Public car parking
 - Construction and provision of 65 parking spaces within the basement of the future development for use by the public. It is proposed that the 65 spaces will be constructed and handed over to Council in perpetuity by way of a covenant prepared on title that will ensure the 65 spaces are held in the control of Council and made accessible to the public at all times. The provision of the parking is aimed at supporting the functions and operation of the broader town centre, and is expected to assist with reducing on street parking demand.
 - Public Wi-Fi
 - Provision of a new publicly available free Wi-Fi service within the Site.
 - Public Domain Improvements and landscaping
 - Provision of paving upgrades to the footpaths along Rangers Road and Yeo Street, including new street trees, street furniture and public parking bays adjacent to the development on Targo Road.
 - Public Art
 - Provision of money for the commissioning and installation of public art within the Site, with a focus on connecting with Country.

8.0 Strategic Justification

8.1 Section A -The Need for a Planning Proposal

8.1.1 Q1 – Is the Planning Proposal a result of an endorsed local strategic planning statement, strategic study or report?

The planning proposal responds to a number of strategic studies and reports, specifically the Military Road Corridor Planning Study and Draft Future Directions Report for Neutral Bay Town Centre, that set the intent for the future revitalisation of the Neutral Bay town centre. It is important to note that at the time of finalising this Planning Proposal, after some two (2) years working in consultation with the Council, the newly elected Council made an unexpected decision to rescind the Council's prior endorsement of both these documents. Unfortunately, given the extent of work undertaken to date and the advanced nature of the Planning Proposal, Woolworths are in a position where they are unable to pause the project to wait for another 12-24 months while the Council reviews the previously endorsed strategy to decide how it wants to proceed. The previously endorsed MRCPS and Future Directions Report provide a clear indication of the Council's and community's desire to see revitalisation and renewal of Neutral Bay town centre. This Planning Proposal has been designed to respond to that aspiration and in doing so provides an outcome consistent with the MRCPS, specifically the proposal:

- Seeks to deliver new retail, commercial and residential floor space to support additional local jobs and housing opportunities, which are identified as the preferred land uses given the site's strategic location;
- Provides a significant public benefit through the provision of a new public plaza and covered through site link connecting Rangers Road and Yeo Street, together this new high quality public domain will contribute to improved pedestrian accessibility, activation and amenity within Neutral Bay town centre; and
- Contributes to the wider 'village atmosphere' through providing inviting spaces to encourage people to stay and enjoy the amenities of the town centre and in turn breathe vibrancy and vitality into town centre.

Further, the Planning Proposal aims to give effect to several planning priorities and actions within the North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement (LSPS), including the LSPS planning priority to enhance the commercial amenity and viability of Neutral Bay town centre through facilitating a mixed use development comprising ground floor retail and residential to support the future population.

In addition to the MRCPS and the draft Future Directions Report, the Planning Proposal is also the result of several specialist studies that have been prepared by the project team including:

Table 7 Supporting studies

Study	Consultant	Reference
Planning Proposal	Ethos Urban	
Urban Design Report and Architectural Plans	Koichi Takada Architects	Appendix A and B
Landscape Report	Arcadia	Appendix C
Transport Impact Assessment	JMT Consulting	Appendix D
Social and Economic Report	Ethos Urban	Appendix E

Together, the consultant studies provide a strong and compelling strategic planning case for the Planning Proposal on the following grounds:

- The accompanying landscape works represent an opportunity to provide a built form that better integrates with the surrounding public domain by providing a new town square and pedestrian through site link that is activated on all sides with retail, café and restaurant uses. Together the new high quality public domain and active ground plane will make a major contribution to the vitality and vibrancy of Neutral Bay town centre, and stimulate further investment and renewal of the broader centre.
- The existing road network in the immediate vicinity of the site is adequate to accommodate the proposed concept, and will not result in any undesirable traffic and parking implications.

- The Indicative Reference Scheme is capable of complying with the key amenity standards established by the ADG.
- The overshadowing impacts are considered to be acceptable given the site context, the limited number of impacted properties, and the aspiration to deliver a enhanced town centre with significant new public benefits including the new town square and through site link.

8.1.2 Q2 – Is the Planning Proposal the best means of achieving the intended outcome, or is there a better way?

This planning proposal is the best means of achieving the objectives and intended outcomes. In order to achieve renewal of the site and the delivery of the proposed public domain improvements, the controls under the North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 must be amended to facilitate approval of future development at the site.

This Planning Proposal achieves the intended outcomes for the site and has been prepared in response to the requirements of the key opportunity site identified in the MRCPS.

In preparing this Planning Proposal, three options were considered to facilitate the intended outcomes as set out in **Section 5.1**. These are listed and discussed below:

- Option 1: do nothing
- Option 2: redevelop the site under the existing planning controls; or
- Option 3: Submit a planning proposal to demonstrate the site's capability for additional uplift value.

Option 1 – Do nothing

Council's MRCPS establishes the need for the site to be redeveloped to support the increased demand for commercial, residential and community facilities. As a key opportunity site, the MRCPS identifies the opportunity for greater housing density, a new community facility and reinvigorated ground plane comprising an active commercial ground plane and public plaza, including a through site link. The statutory planning framework has not been amended to realise the strategic direction outlined in the MRPS and therefore the current planning framework that applies to the site will not enable renewal to occur as identified in the MRCPS.

Therefore, under option 1, the site would remain in its current state, with existing commercial development that does not respond to the growing population, nor fit the strategic role of an urban renewal precinct. Do nothing is therefore not considered an appropriate outcome for the site.

Option 2 – redevelop the site under the existing planning controls

Option 2 involves redevelopment of the site under existing planning controls. This would an outcome that would result in the supermarket being built at ground level and occupy the entire ground plane. Residential uses would then be located above up to the maximum height of 16m. Under this option the Council's aspiration for a new town square, through site link and an increase in the minimum non-residential floorspace would not be able to be realised. Activation of the ground plane would also be compromised as the ground floor supermarket would not be able to provide the same level of fine grain activation as could occur under the Indicative Reference Scheme.

Improving access to jobs and local services through a 30-minute city are key areas of focus in the district and regional strategic plans. The site is currently occupied by a mix of commercial uses that does not optimise the site's ideal location within close proximity to public transport, local services, recreation, education and job opportunities. Retaining the current planning controls would not enable renewal of the site for new local services, diverse housing and improvements to the public domain in a strategic location in the North City District.

Option 2 would therefore not maximise the site's ability to respond to the district and regional strategic plans.

Option 3 – Submit a planning proposal to demonstrate the site's capability for additional uplift value

This Planning Proposal is considered the best means of achieving the objectives and intended outcomes in accordance for the site identified within the MRCPS. This is the preferred option as it represents the best combined outcome because:

- It gives effect to the intended outcomes identified under the MRCPS report, unlocking additional mixed use uplift to support local retail and residential land uses.
- It optimises the site's capacity to accommodate identified demand for retail and housing growth, greater than what is achievable through the existing planning controls. The site under the existing controls is limited to a height of 16m, which restricts its ability to support greater density on site and in turn deliver the new town square and through site link. By contrast, the proposed planning controls will provide the opportunity to:
 - Deliver local employment growth through increased high quality commercial floorspace and new retail facilities;
 - Deliver significant public benefits in the form of new high quality public domain that will revitalise Neutral Bay town centre and act as a catalyst for further investment and renewal;
 - Ensure the highest and best use of the site;
 - Deliver new high quality housing in a location with very high public transport accessibility; and
 - Support increased retail capacity across the Neutral Bay town centre, helping to reinforce its primary retail and employment function within the local community.
- The Planning Proposal will give both Council and the landowner certainty as to the development outcomes expected on the site.
- The Planning Proposal does not seek to identify an overall maximum FSR control for the site. The reason for this is to ensure it remains consistent with the North Sydney LEP 2013 and to prevent the site from becoming an anomaly within the maximum North Sydney LEP Floor Space Ratio Map. Consistent with the rest of North Sydney, the overall gross floor area for any future development on the site will be controlled through a combination of the maximum height of buildings controls, the provisions under the North Sydney Council DCP and requirements under the Apartment Design Guide.

8.2 Section B - Relationship with the Strategic Planning Framework

8.2.1 Q3 – Will the Planning Proposal give effect to the objectives and actions of the applicable regional, sub-regional or district plan or strategy (including any exhibited draft plans or strategies)?

This Proposal is consistent with the *Greater Sydney Region Plan*, North District Plan and the MRCPS report. The Proposal's compliance with the stated objectives and actions of both plans is discussed in greater detail below.

Greater Sydney Region Plan: A Metropolis of Three Cities

The *Greater Sydney Region Plan* is the overarching strategy for growing and shaping the Greater Sydney Area. It sets a 40-year vision (to 2056) and establishes a 20-year plan to manage growth and change for Greater Sydney in the context of social, economic and environmental matters. The plan was adopted in March 2018 and seeks to reposition Sydney as a metropolis of three cities – the western parkland city, central river city, and the eastern harbour city. In the same vein as the former *A Plan for Growing Sydney*, the Plan provides 10 high level policy directions supported by 40 objectives that inform the District Plans, Local Plans and Planning Proposals which follow in the planning hierarchy.

The proposal is consistent with the following directions under the Plan, which govern growth and development in Sydney (refer to **Table 8**).

Table 8 Consistency with Greater Sydney Region Plan

Direction	Consistency of the proposal with the Direction
<i>A city supported by infrastructure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposal supports the delivery of higher capacity development in line with the recent infrastructure completion of the B-Line and associated bus priority upgrades undertaken by local and state government (i.e TfNSW).
<i>A city for the people</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposal supports people to walk, cycle and use public transport through improved pedestrian connections (including a through site link to Yeo street), end of trip facilities and provision of bicycle parking and maintenance facilities.

Direction	Consistency of the proposal with the Direction
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The delivery of 1,013m² new public plaza will be activated by retail uses to create a vibrant and safe open space for the community and include opportunities for major artwork and street furniture to promote a great place to stay.
<i>Housing the city</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal will facilitate 91 residential dwellings comprising a mix of 1, 2 and 3 beds and affordable housing. The development seeks to optimise the site to continue its existing role as a key retail anchor, but now supported by new residential dwellings that will further cement the vibrancy and vitality of Neutral Bay town centre.
<i>A well-connected city</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal seeks to deliver additional retail, commercial and residential floorspace, and in doing so will connect new jobs to high frequency public transport services. This will take advantage of substantial investment in public transport infrastructure and support the achievement of a '30-minute city.'
<i>Jobs and skills for the city</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal will deliver a new high quality retail anchor supported by new boutique retail stores, cafes and restaurants, which will create significant new additional jobs in these industries. In addition to this new high quality commercial floorspace will ensure the ongoing role of Neutral Bay as a commercial centre and promote jobs growth in white collar industries.
<i>A city in its landscape</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal does not affect any protected biodiversity or remnant or significant vegetation. Increased public domain and landscaping will be provided within the site that will contribute to increased landscaping, greater biodiversity outcomes, and help reduce the urban heat island effect.
<i>An efficient city</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A key initiative of the proposal is to deliver a more sustainable development that is presently provide. ESD targets will be set as part of the future detailed development application.
<i>A resilient city</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal has sought to minimise exposure to natural hazards by ensuring that future development is not affected by flooding. The environmental initiatives implemented through the development will contribute to enhanced environmental outcomes and seek to mitigate impacts related to climate change.

North District Plan

The *North District Plan* underpins the Greater Sydney Region Plan and sets the 20-year vision for the District through 'Planning Priorities' that are linked to the Region Plan. Under this Plan, this site is strategically located within the Neutral Bay Town Centre of the North District. Key priorities of the District Plan which this Planning Proposal give effect to are elaborated below.

Table 9 summarises how the site will give effect to the relevant planning priorities.

Table 9 Consistency with the North District Plan

Planning Priority	Consistency/ Comment
A City supported by infrastructure	
N1. Planning for a city supported by infrastructure	Aligning land use and infrastructure planning ensures that infrastructure is maximised, and that growth and infrastructure provision are aligned. The Planning Proposal will facilitate the future delivery of residential dwellings in a location serviced by the B-line and other bus services. In this regard it is aligned with bus priority infrastructure upgrades delivered by TfNSW.

Planning Priority	Consistency/ Comment
	Woolworths wishes to collaborate with Council to deliver an enhanced public open space focused on activation and improving the public domain experience. It is envisaged that Rangers Road Plaza, in partnership with Council will provide a key public domain space activated by shops, restaurants and cafes along the edges, creating a vibrant open space for the community.
A city for people	
N4. Fostering healthy, creative, culturally rich and socially connected communities	The site benefits from the Neutral Bay Town Centre services and is within close proximity to open space. The proposed new town square will support social gatherings and foster strong social connections through creating a key place for social gathering. The site is also well connected to local bus routes, cycling links and walkable services to promote a healthy community.
Housing the city	
N5. Providing housing supply, choice and affordability with access to jobs, services and public transport	The site is strategically positioned to accommodate residential uses, increasing supply within proximity to a local centre and public transport infrastructure. Redevelopment of the site presents the opportunity to deliver and increase housing diversity within the town centre. There is a need to ensure housing supply and choice with greater diversity in housing products to meet the growing needs of the community and future population.
A city of great places	
N6. Creating and renewing great places and local centres, and respecting the District's heritage	The proposal will facilitate social cohesion and celebration of community through the delivery of the new town square close to cycling and public transport connections. This will include an active ground plane with the opportunity to support and enhance the local night time economy, as well as respond to the community's social and recreational needs.
A well-connected city	
N12. Delivering integrated land use and transport planning and a 30-minute city	The '30-minute city' model is a long-term aspiration for Sydney whereby jobs and services and strategic/metropolitan centres are accessible within 30 minutes by public transport. This site is in walking distance to local services and is well-placed to benefit the '30-minute city' model, by providing housing supply and retail opportunity within a highly accessible location and thereby improve access to jobs and services.
A city in its landscape	
N20. Delivering high quality open space	The proposal will include the delivery of open space through the new town square and through site link. This will improve walking and cycling connections and contribute to the overall open space network of the local government area.

MRCPS: Neutral Bay Town Centre – Future Directions Planning Study

Despite Council rescinding the Neutral Bay Town Centre - Future Directions Planning Study in January 2022, the MRCPS outlines Council's intent for key planning control changes to facilitate improved build form outcomes in the interest of the community. The site is identified as a key opportunity site to support taller mixed use buildings and new open space and community facilities.

Table 10 illustrates how the proposal will support the delivery of a future outcome for the site's that is largely consistent with the identified objectives and requirements:

Table 10 Consistency with the MRCPS: Neutral Bay Town Centre – Future Directions Planning Strategy

Objective/ requirement	Consistency of the proposal
Objectives	

Objective/ requirement	Consistency of the proposal
<i>Support local jobs, local shops and housing opportunities</i>	The site is strategically positioned to accommodate residential uses, increasing supply within close proximity to public transport and local services. Redevelopment of the site will deliver a mix of 1, 2 and 3 bed apartments, contributing to meeting the need of greater diversity in housing products. The proposal will also provide a mix of retail and commercial uses to support local jobs and strengthen the local economy.
<i>Create a new public plaza fronting Rangers Road</i>	A 1,013m ² town square and through site link comprising a unique landscaping concept and tree canopy is proposed. The town square will provide recreational passive spaces and contribute to enhancing the vibrancy and sense of place for Neutral Bay Town Centre.
<i>Improve pedestrian amenity and access between Rangers Road and Yeo Street</i>	A through site link is proposed between Rangers Road and Yeo Street, providing north-south connections and increased accessibility to the south of Neutral Bay Town Centre.
<i>Deliver a new community facility, bicycle parking and public parking</i>	Bicycle and public parking are capable of being delivered on site. The proposal will deliver improved community benefit through the new town square and through site link. It is anticipated that the adjacent north-western site (185 Military Road, Neutral Bay) will be redeveloped in the future to include the new community facility that is envisaged by the Future Directions Report. Given that the site that is subject of the Planning Proposal is delivering the town square and through site link, it is considered appropriate and equitable for this site and 185 Military Road to share the responsibility for funding and delivering the community benefits.
<i>Support the village atmosphere</i>	The proposal will revitalise the site to enhance the Neutral Bay town centre through increase public benefits and improved public domain with the delivery of a new town square and through site link. The proposed development aligns with the study direction to ensure future growth that results in a more attractive place for workers, residents and visitors.
Requirements	
1. Provide additional non-residential floor space with a minimum 2.0:1 FSR	A minimum non-residential FSR of 1.7:1 is proposed for the site. While this is slightly below the figure espoused in the MRCPs and Future Directions Report, the amount of non-residential floorspace significantly exceeds that which is provided on site at present and will make a significant contribution to local job creation and reinforcing the primary retail and commercial role of the town centre.
2. Residential towers should appear slender, maximise above podium habitable facades to all sides	The proposal reflects appropriate massing that is sympathetic with the existing and desired future character of the area. The proposed residential built form is appropriately setback and comprises an appropriate bulk and scale appearance when viewed from the streetscape.
3. Provide a new community facility (1,000m ²) (see Chapter 2.1)	The proposal will deliver a new 1,013m ² town square that will include new centralised open space and a through site link. This will provide significant public benefit through providing increased north-south connections as well as new open public space for passive recreation. Given the proposal is delivering this major public benefit it is considered appropriate that the new community facility be delivered as part of the future redevelopment of the adjacent north-western site (185 Military Road, Neutral Bay). This approach allows for the equitable delivery of public benefit across the two parcels of land that form as part of the Opportunity Site and which are identified for uplift under the Future Directions Report.
4. Deliver 1,250m ² new public domain including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A 1,000m² new public plaza with an underground supermarket • A through-site-link to Yeo Street 	A key component of the proposal is the delivery of a new town square (1,013m ²) and through site link. The new town square will comprise a unique landscaping concept and form a centrally located open space within the broader Neutral Bay Town Centre. The proposed through site link running through the site will provide seamless north-south connections and encourage increased foot traffic into the public plaza. The detailed design of landscaping will be determined within a future development application.

Objective/ requirement	Consistency of the proposal
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A 2.5m whole of building setback that expands the tree canopy along Rangers Road and Military Road 	While the proposed town square is slightly smaller in area than that anticipated under the MRCPS and Future Directions Report, the scale of the space is still significant and will enable the delivery of a high quality centralised open space as illustrated in the Landscape Report (Appendix C). Importantly, the town square will support opportunities for play, social gathering, live performances, alfresco dining etc. It is therefore sufficient to support a wide variety of functions to service the community and will play an important role in focussing activity and a sense of community within the town centre.
5. Deliver a 14-space public commuter bicycle parking facility	The proposal is capable of accommodating future bicycle parking which will be delivered as part of a future detailed development application.
6. Activate street edges along Rangers Road, Military Road, the new plaza, through-site-link and part of Yeo Street	The proposal will revitalise the site through a mix of retail spaces fronting Rangers Road, Yeo Street and the new public plaza. The proposal will result in a significant improvement to the amenity and quality of the public domain as well as generate increased pedestrian activity and interaction. Outdoor seating is also envisioned along the frontages facing the public plaza and Yeo Street to achieve more genuine activation.
7. Deliver loading and basement access through Military Lane or potentially along Yeo Street, subject to a transport study	Basement access for loading is proposed off Military Lane. Access for car parking is provided off Yeo street. (Refer to the Transport Impact Assessment at Appendix D for further detail).
8. Mitigate wind impacts to the public plaza	The proposal will deliver a positive urban design outcome with improved wind comfort, wind safety and daylight levels in the public open space. Detailed testing will be completed as part of a future detailed development application.
9. Provide access to underground uses within a building facade to limit structures on the plaza	Pedestrian access to the subterranean supermarket is proposed via travelators forming part of the Rangers Road frontage. The proposed access is well integrated within the building frontage and has been designed to open up into the public plaza.

Strategic Merit Test

The Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline sets out that in order to answer this question, a planning proposal needs to justify that it meets the Strategic Merit Test. The consistency of this Planning Proposal with the mandated assessment criteria is set out below.

8.2.2 Q3a) Does the proposal have strategic merit?

The site upholds high strategic value as it located in a well connected and serviced area of the North City District. The site is well-aligned with the growth directions of the Greater Sydney Regional Plan and North City District plan in supporting the vision of a 30-minute city.

- The site is located within an established centre at Neutral Bay and the Planning Proposal will facilitate a significant mixed-use development which will provide an opportunity to accommodate the future population with new housing and job opportunities within close proximity to public transport. The proposal directly unlocks residential floorspace to support future population's growing and changing needs.
- The site's location in close proximity to the local bus routes, provides an opportunity to deliver land uses within the local centre commercial and retail services as well as the surrounding strategic centres, aligned with the 30-minute city vision.
- The infrastructure is already in place to support activation. The proposal will deliver intensified land uses given walking distance to the B-line local bus route.
- The proposal's future land uses will promote social cohesion and celebration through the delivery of a new high quality town square and through site link that will bring together the community and reinforce the role that the town centre plays for its local community. The place led approach adopted for the project will therefore ensure that the proposal makes a significant contribution to achieving great places to live, work and play.

- The proposal responds to the increasing growth pressures for increased local job opportunities and improved public domain and community facilities.

8.2.3 Q3b) Does the proposal have site-specific merit?

The proposal is considered to have site-specific merit because:

- The proposed planning controls (building envelope) generally align with the planning controls outlined in the Neutral Bay Town Centre Future Directions Report 2021, strategically identified as a key opportunity site to support taller mixed use buildings and new open space and community facilities.
- The proposal effectively meets the site requirements and objectives identified under the Neutral Bay Town Centre Future Directions Report 2021 and promotes the orderly and economic use of the site, allowing for the best possible urban design and public amenity outcome to be delivered, whilst delivering on Council's wider strategic objectives for local employment and residential floorspace opportunities.
- The proposed development will be supported by adequate car parking within its basement, and detailed traffic modelling and analysis confirms that it will not result in unacceptable traffic impacts on the local road network (see **section 8.5** and **Appendix D**).
- The proposed development will not have an unacceptable amenity impact on the surrounding area when taking into consideration the site's location and physical context together with the intent and aspirations for the future renewal and revitalisation of the Neutral Bay town centre.
- The proposal will deliver a significant public benefit in the form of a new 1,013m² town square and through site link, as well as streetscape improvements along Rangers Road and Yeo Street. These major public benefits will elevate the town centre's ability to serve its local community and provide a catalyst for much needed further investment and renewal.
- A draft site-specific Development Control Plan has been prepared that sets out detailed planning controls to provide certainty that the key design and development principles set out within this Planning Proposal and Indicative Reference Scheme are achieved in the future.
- In addition to the above, the proposed building envelope has been subject to a broader environmental assessment (refer to **section 9.0**). In particular, it has been determined that the existing infrastructure and services on the site will be capable of supporting the future redevelopment of the site.

8.2.4 Q4 – Is the planning proposal consistent with a council LSPS that has been endorsed by the Planning Secretary or GSC, or another endorsed local strategy or strategic plan?

The Planning Proposal will give effect to Council's Local Strategic Planning statement, Council's community strategic plan and the previously endorsed, but just recently rescinded MRCPS and Future Directions Report for Neutral Bay town centre.

North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement

The North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement (LSPS) was adopted in 2020. Neutral Bay is identified as a local centre which is expected to generate economic activity from the local resident population, and support local employment opportunities and retail needs. The local centre also serves an important function in the North Sydney LGA economy, supporting smaller businesses alongside the larger commercial hubs

Table 11 summarises how the site will give effect to the relevant planning priorities in the LSPS.

Table 11 Consistency with the North Sydney LSPS

Planning Priority	Consistency/comment
Infrastructure and collaboration	
Local planning priority 11: Align growth and development with infrastructure that supports the needs of the North Sydney community	The site contributes to the alignment of growth supported by infrastructure and has the capacity to deliver future land uses within walking distance of local bus routes.
Liveability	

Planning Priority	Consistency/comment
Local planning priority L1: Diverse housing supply, choice and affordability with access to jobs, services and public transport.	The proposal will deliver high density housing in close proximity to local bus routes, jobs and services, given the size of the site, there is an opportunity to provide housing that will respond to the community's changing housing needs.
Local planning priority L2: Provide a range of community facilities and services to support a healthy, creative, diverse and socially connected North Sydney community	The proposal includes the delivery of a 1,013m ² of town square that will contribute to increasing the provision of recreational facilities to meet the current and future needs of the community. This will specifically accommodate passive recreation users seeking a greenspace within the town centre, as well as cater to all abilities and ages.
Local planning priority L3: Create great places that recognise and preserve North Sydney's distinct local character and heritage	The site upholds the opportunity to build on the established identity and create a great place that represents the culture of the community, contributing to the overall renewal of the Neutral Bay town centre.
Productivity	
Local planning priority P3: Enhance the commercial amenity and viability of North Sydney's local centres	The proposal directly responds to improving the commercial amenity and viability of Neutral Bay through facilitating future retail and employment opportunities to support local jobs and businesses. The proposed new town square, through site link and public domain upgrades along Rangers Road and Yeo Street will also enhance the safety, amenity, services and overall appeal of the local centre.
Local planning priority L6: Support walkable centres and a connected and sustainable North Sydney	The site presents an opportunity to align future land uses and integrate with transport infrastructure so that more people can get to work, school or local services within 30 minutes.
Sustainability	
Local planning priority S2: Provide a high quality, well-connected and integrated urban greenspace system	The proposed 1,013m ² town square will contribute to the open space network and provide passive recreational opportunities.

8.2.5 Q5 – Is the planning Proposal consistent with any other applicable State and regional studies or strategies?

The future built form presents the opportunity to incorporate sustainable design initiatives and work towards mitigating climate change by 2050. The indicative reference scheme provides a range of Green Star targets of the commercial/community building and the fit-out of the supermarket.

In addition, high BASIX water and energy targets together with high NABERS ratings targets will be implemented. Woolworths intends to provide a range of measures aimed at ESD, which are to be further resolved as part of the future detailed design and DA process.

8.2.6 Q6 – Is the Planning Proposal consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies?

Yes. An assessment of the Planning Proposal against relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) is set out in **Table 12** below.

Table 12 Consistency with State Environmental Planning Policies

SEPP	Consistency		N/A	Comment
	Yes	No		
State Environmental Planning Policy No 55 – Remediation of Land	✓			SEPP 55 aims to promote the remediation of contaminated land for the purpose of reducing the risk of harm to human health or any other aspect of the environment. It specifically requires consideration when rezoning land and in determining development applications, and requires that remediation work meets certain standards and notification requirements.

SEPP	Consistency		N/A	Comment
				The site has been occupied by a commercial building with four basement levels for some time. Nevertheless, SEPP 55 and any potential contamination issues will accordingly be addressed as the planning process progresses through to a detailed DA. In any event, given the central CBD context and the age of the building stock there is considered to be a low likelihood of contamination
State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development	✓			The proposal's residential component has been designed to meet the requirements of SEPP65 and the accompanying Apartment Design Guide. High level consideration of key principles has been undertaken such as apartment size, layout, typology, solar access, natural cross ventilation, communal open space and the like, which is suitable for this stage of the planning process.
SEPP (Housing) 2021	✓			The proposal is capable of delivering future affordable housing options to contribute to the diversity of housing types available in Neutral Bay Town Centre.
SEPP (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021	✓			The future DA under the proposed planning controls will be considered traffic generating development requiring referral to TfNSW. Under pre and post-Gateway consultation however, TfNSW will be consulted with respect to the proposal and the intended access, traffic and parking measures to ensure an appropriate outcome.

8.2.7 Q7 – Is the Planning Proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.9.1 directions)?

Yes. An assessment of the Planning Proposal against applicable section 9.1 Directions is set out in **Table 13** below.

Table 13 Consistency with section 9.1 directions

Direction	Consistency		NA	Comment
	Yes	No		
1 Planning Systems				
1.1 Implementation of the Minister's Planning Principles			-	These were revoked on 14 March 2022.
1.2 Implementation of Regional Plans	✓			The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Regional Plan and District Plan as discussed in Section 8.2.1 above.
1.3 Development on Aboriginal Land Council Land			-	
1.4 Approval and Referral Requirements	✓			This Planning Proposal is consistent with this Direction in that it does not introduce any provisions that require any additional concurrence, consultation or referral.
1.5 Site Specific Provisions				A particular development scenario is envisaged for the site. The proposed provisions will allow for the envisaged development to be carried out on the site. The Planning Proposal will not contain or refer to drawings that show details of the development proposals.
1.6 Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy			-	Not applicable
1.7 Implementation of North-West Priority Growth Area Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan			-	Not applicable

Direction	Consistency	NA	Comment
1.8 Implementation of Greater Parramatta Priority Growth Area Interim Land Use and Infrastructure Plan		-	Not applicable
1.9 Implementation of Wilton Priority Growth Area interim Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan		-	Not applicable
1.10 Implementation of Glenfield to Macarthur Urban Renewal Corridor		-	Not applicable
1.11 Implementation to of the Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan		-	Not applicable
1.12 Implementation of Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan		-	Not applicable
1.13 Implementation of Planning Principles for the Cooks Cove Precinct		-	Not applicable
1.14 Implementation of St Leonards and Crows Nest 2036 Plan		-	Not applicable
1.15 Implementation of Greater Macarthur 2040		-	Not applicable
1.16 Implementation of the Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy		-	Not applicable
1.17 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy		-	Not applicable
3 Biodiversity and Conservation			
3.1 Conservation Zones		-	Not applicable
3.2 Heritage Conservation		-	Not applicable
3.3 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments		-	Not applicable
3.4 Application of C2 and C3 Zones and Environmental overlays in Far North Coast LEPs 26		-	Not applicable
3.5 Recreation Vehicle Area		-	Not applicable
4 Resilience and Hazards			
4.1 Flooding		-	Not applicable
4.2 Coastal Management		-	Not applicable

Direction	Consistency	NA	Comment		
4.3 Planning for Bushfire Protection			-	Not applicable	
4.4 Remediation of Contamination Land	✓			A Preliminary site investigation plan has been prepared by Geo-Logix and attached at Appendix I . The potential for contamination on the site is considered relative benign and therefore the site is suitable for the proposed amendment in height.	
4.5 Acid Sulfate Soils				-	Not applicable
4.6 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land				-	Not applicable
5 Transport and Infrastructure					
5.1 Integrated Land Use and Transport	✓			<p>This Direction applies due to this Planning Proposal relating to a business zone. The Direction states that a Planning Proposal must be consistent with the aims, objectives and principles of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improving Transport Choice – Guidelines for planning and development (DUAP 2001), and The Right Place for Business and Services – Planning Policy (DUAP 2001). <p>The Planning Proposal is broadly consistent with the aims, objectives and principles of the above documents in that it will provide a mix of retail, commercial and residential accommodation in an area well serviced by high frequency public transport. In doing so it will maximise the use of the public transport network.</p>	
5.2 Reserving Land for Public Purpose				-	Not applicable
5.3 Development near regulated airports and defence airfields				-	Not applicable
5.4 Shooting ranges				-	Not applicable
6 Housing					
6.1 Residential zones	✓			-	The site is zoned B4 Mixed use zone which permits residential development. The proposal integrates new housing that contributes to a diverse housing offering in the LGA that is in close proximity to infrastructure and services.
6.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured home estates				-	Not applicable
7 Industry and Employment					
7.1 Business and Industrial zones	✓				The proposal is consistent with the current B4 Mixed use zoning which is to be retained.
7.2 Reduction in non-hosted short term-rental accommodation period				-	Not applicable

Direction	Consistency	NA	Comment
7.3 Commercial and Retail Development along the Pacific Highway, North Coast		-	Not applicable
8 Resources and Energy			
8.1 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries		-	Not applicable
9 Primary Production			
9.1 Rural zones		-	Not applicable
9.2 Rural lands		-	Not applicable
9.3 Oyster Aquaculture		-	Not applicable
9.4 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast		-	Not applicable

8.3 Environmental, Social and Economic Impacts

8.3.1 Q8 – Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

The planning proposal will not result in any impact on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats, given the site's urban location.

8.3.2 Q9 – Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the Planning Proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

A detailed assessment of the environmental effects as a result of the Planning Proposal is identified in **Section 9.0** of this justification report. Relevant management measures are identified where appropriate and, on this basis, no unacceptable impacts are likely to result from the Planning Proposal or future development on the site.

8.3.3 Q10 – Has the Planning Proposal adequately addressed any social and economic impacts?

Yes. The social and economic impacts arising from the Planning Proposal is identified in **Section 8.0** of this justification report. The social and economic impacts will be positive.

8.4 Section D - State and Commonwealth Interests

8.4.1 Q11 – Is there adequate public infrastructure for the Planning Proposal?

The site is located in an established urban area and has access to existing public transport, infrastructure and services. Further investigations will be undertaken as part of the preparation of the DA to determine whether any upgrade of existing utilities is required to enable the proposal.

8.4.2 Q12 – What are the views of State or Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the Gateway determination?

The views of State and Commonwealth public authorities will be known once consultation has occurred in accordance with the Gateway determination of the Planning Proposal.

8.5 Community Consultation

The proponent has and continues to consult and keep a dialogue with key adjoining and adjacent landowners. Formal public consultation will also take place in accordance with Sections 3.34 and 3.35 of the EP&A Act. This is likely to involve notification of the proposal:

- On Council's website;
- In newspapers that circulate widely in the City of Sydney Local Government Area; and
- In writing to the adjoining and nearby landowners; relevant community groups; and the surrounding community in the immediate vicinity of the Site.

It is noted that confirmation of the public exhibition period and requirements for the Planning Proposal will be given by the Minister as part of the LEP Gateway determination. Any future DA for the site would also be exhibited in accordance with Council requirements, at which point the public and any authorities would have the opportunity to make further comment on the proposal.

It is also likely that Woolworths will undertake its own consultation and engagement process for the Planning Proposal in the future when it goes on public exhibition. The outcomes of this community engagement process will be report back to Council once complete.

9.0 Technical assessment

This section considers the key planning issues associated with the Planning Proposal as well as those associated with a future development generally consistent with the Indicative Reference Scheme.

As outlined in **Section 5.0**, an Indicative Reference Scheme (**Appendix A**) was developed by KTA to help inform the preparation of the Planning Proposal and to test and demonstrate how a future development could be accommodated on site in accordance with the proposed height control. Accordingly, the outcomes of these investigations and analysis have largely guided the content of this Planning Proposal.

By adopting this approach, the built outcomes and associated impacts of the Planning Proposal (and subsequent DA) can be defined, tested and clearly understood.

9.1 Built form and Scale

9.1.1 Height and Massing

The proposed built form and massing has been informed by the future vision for the site set out in MRCPS. The MRCPS identified that Neutral Bay town centre is losing local jobs in favour of new residential developments, affecting the vibrancy and diversity of the existing town centre. This has created immense demand for future development to provide commercially viable floor space and a strong activated public domain which is able to build on the existing café culture and night-time economy.

The proposed podium form will establish an appropriate scale that does not result in an overwhelming impact on the amenity of the public domain to create a welcoming human-scale neighbourhood centre. The proposed 2-storey podium sleeving the western boundary of the site will complement the proposed mixed use building to establish the site as a hub and create village feel with sufficient not-residential floorspace to generate interest and vibrancy.

Above podium level, the indicative height and massing of the proposed built form has responded to the desired land use outcomes and strategic intent of the MRCPS, including the delivery of a new public plaza (1,013m²), through site link and mixed-use commercial and residential development. The proposed massing for the site has been developed taking into consideration the built form outcomes espoused in the *Future Directions Report* for Neutral Bay town centre. Whilst this is the case, the indicative reference scheme height of seven and ten storeys results in a minor deviation of the identified six, eight and twelve storeys identified for the site under the *Future Directions Report* and MRCPS as shown in **Figure 32**. The variation in height along this frontage has occurred as a result of number of factors including but not limited to:

- Despite repeated attempts, Woolworths have been unable to acquire the land at 185 Military Road, which is identified under the MRCPS and *Future Directions Report* as being the site appropriate for a higher density building up to 12 storeys;
- The inability to acquire 185 Military Road and resulted in Woolworths acquiring land at 50 Yeo Street instead to create a single parcel of land of sufficient size to build a new town square and accommodate a full line supermarket below ground.
- Without the benefit of having the 185 Military Road, the massing and design outcome for the rest of Opportunity Site 3 has been required to be reconsidered to ensure that the future project is able to fund the desired public benefits including the new town square, through site link and streetscape improvements.

The minor deviation in building height from the MRCPS does result in some increased overshadowing impacts to the southern side of Yeo Street, however this is considered to be acceptable given that:

- a large proportion of the development aligns with the intended bulk and massing of the MRCPS (**Figure 33**);
- the proposed part seven (7) and part ten (10) storey building height is well below the maximum twelve (12) storey height suggested for Opportunity Site 3;
- The extent of additional overshadowing primarily affects a limited number of properties on the southern side of Yeo Street, with this additional overshadowing largely falling on rooftops areas of these buildings;

- Many of the dwellings that are impacted have internal configurations that are oriented to the south away from Yeo Street as they seek to capture amenity from this direction towards the city and harbour; and
- The additional height and massing enables the development to support and deliver on the significant public domain benefits that have been identified in the MRCPS and Future Directions Report as being key components of the future vision for a renewed and vibrant Neutral Bay town centre.

Figure 34 and **Figure 35** illustrates an appropriate bulk and scale outcome that delivers significant community benefit through a new public realm that will reinvigorate the southern portion of Neutral Bay Town centre being commensurate with the MRCPS.




Figure 32 Height and massing controls for the site nominated as 'Site 3'.

Source: North Sydney Council




Figure 33 Proposed built form compared to MRCPS masterplan (blue outline) (PLACEHOLDER)

Source: Koichi Takada Architects



Figure 34 Indicative reference scheme from Rangers Road

Source: Koichi Takada Architects



Figure 35 indicative reference scheme public plaza

Source: Koichi Takada Architects

9.2 Visual Impact

The indicative reference scheme has been designed to deliver a well-scaled and visually interesting built form. The proposal comprises high arch forms to create an inviting and open environment, leading to the public plaza.

Whilst the design is indicative, the proposal adheres to the desired future setbacks for the site contained within the MRCPS and Future Directions Report, providing a 2.5m podium setback from the Military Road streetscape and a further 3m setback from the podium to upper levels. A greater podium setback in this location allows for a greater public domain at Rangers Road interface. This is especially important as it will reclaim precious pedestrian space at the corner of Military Road and Rangers Road, which can become congested with commuters during the AM peak period due to the positioning of Bus Stands A, B and C.

Further, the indicative reference scheme comprises several soft landscaping opportunities and green features along the facades and roofing to reduce the overall visual impact as a dominant feature within the Neutral Bay skyline.

9.3 Residential Amenity

The indicative reference scheme has been developed having regard to the requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy 65 and the accompanying Apartment Design Guide (ADG). Although the ultimate built form on the site will be determined at a future detailed design stage, the concept design is capable of achieving an acceptable level of internal amenity for future residents with regard to solar access, natural ventilation and privacy. Based on the indicative apartment layout illustrated on the architectural plans, the following is noted:

- The residential component consists of 91 apartments suited to a variety of lifestyles. An indicative dwelling mix is 1 bedroom (9%) 2 bedroom (39%) and 3 bedroom (52%).

- Each apartment has access to a secure private open space such as a balcony or winter garden with minimum areas of 10-41m² based on apartment size. Additional communal open space facilitated by rooftop amenities will be provided for residents.
- A minimum of 2 hours direct sunlight between 9:00am and 3:00pm in mid-winter will be enjoyed by more than 78% of the apartments. Similarly, more than 60% of apartments will be naturally cross ventilated.

Further, the building separation distances envisaged in the proposal respond to the requirements of SEPP 65 and the ADG as they relate to habitable rooms facing habitable rooms in anticipation of the eastern and western building interfaces.

9.4 Overshadowing

An overshadowing analysis of the Indicative Reference Scheme has been prepared by KTA and is included at **Appendix A**. The study has examined the overshadowing resulting from the Indicative Reference Scheme compared to the shadow produced by the proposed built form under the MRCPS and *Future Directions* Report together with the existing commercial building at 50 Yeo Street.

The overshadowing analysis indicates that buildings on the southern side of Yeo Street are affected by some overshadowing and/or would be affected by overshadowing under the built form outcome set out within the MRCPS and the Future Directions Report. The analysis also shows that the Indicative Concept Scheme will result in some additional overshadowing to properties located at 53, 55, 57, 59, 61, and 63 Yeo Street. Refer to **Table 14** below for further details.

Table 14 Overshadowing impacts

Property	Additional overshadowing caused by the Proposal compared to the built form under the Military Road Corridor Planning Strategy
53 Yeo Street	Some additional shadowing will fall on the front façade of 53 Yeo Street from 10am to 1pm on 21 st June
55 Yeo Street	Some additional shadowing will fall on the front façade of 55 Yeo Street from approximately 11am through to 2pm on 21 st June
57 Yeo Street	Some additional shadowing will fall on the front façade of 57 Yeo Street from approximately 12 noon through to 2pm on 21 st June
59 Yeo Street	Some additional shadowing will fall on the front façade of 59 Yeo Street from approximately 12 noon through to 1pm on 21 st June
61-63 Yeo Street	Some additional shadowing will fall on the front façade of 61-63 Yeo Street from approximately 12 noon through to 1pm on 21 st June

While the proposal will result in some additional shadowing to these properties it is worth noting that:

- For the most part additional shadowing caused by the proposal will fall on the rooftops of buildings on the southern side of Yeo Street.
- Many of the buildings on the southern side of Yeo Street have their main living area facing away from the street, with bedrooms and utility rooms along their Yeo Street frontage.
- Some of these buildings have high solid street fencing, which when combined with the fall of the land away from Yeo Street, result in the lower levels of these buildings being in shade for much of the day.
- Existing mature street trees are positioned along the entirety of Yeo Street and in creates an existing shaded environment, particularly at the lower levels of the building facades.
- At all other times, the shadow cast from the proposal sits within either the existing shadow footprint or the shadow footprint cast by the built form under the MRCPS.

Taking into consideration the above the additional overshadowing impacts are considered acceptable when also factoring in the site specific context as part of the Neutral Bay town centre, and the significant public benefits that will be delivered as a result of the site's future redevelopment.

9.5 Transport

A Transport Impact Assessment has been prepared by JMT Consulting as attached at **Appendix D**. The report provides an analysis of existing transport conditions, including the surrounding road network, site access and parking and public transport provisions. The study also provides an assessment of the proposed access, parking and servicing/loading arrangements, proposed parking rates, additional traffic movements resulting from the Planning Proposal and impacts to the adjacent roads, together with public transport, walking and cycling measures.

9.5.1 Existing conditions

The site's existing supermarket use contains approximately 100 on-site car parking spaces with access provided from Yeo Street. On-site loading access is provided via Military Lane. Access to the site is primarily gained from the surrounding area is gained via the surrounding main road network including Military Road which is classified as a State Road as well as Regional Roads such as Spofforth Street, Belgrave Street, Ernest Street and Rangers Road located south-east of the site. Service vehicles will deliver goods to the site from Military Lane, which is accessed off Yeo Street, a local roads managed by Council (indicated in **Figure 36**).




Figure 36 Existing road network

Source: JMT Consulting

The site is located adjacent to the Military Road corridor which is one of Sydney's busiest and most important bus corridors that caters for a wide range of services to different destinations. The current bus network contains a variety of all-stops, limited stops and express services, joining and leaving the corridor at several locations. A number of bus stops are located directly opposite the site on Military Road as well as Wycombe Road.

The pedestrian environment in the vicinity of the site is quite high with good quality footpaths provided along all streets of all surrounding streets. This includes signalised pedestrian crossings on all legs of the signalised intersections at Military Road / Wycombe Road and Wycombe Road / Yeo Street. A zebra crossing is also provided adjacent to the site across Yeo Street near the intersection with Rangers Road. An existing cycleway network surrounds the site, specifically 'Route 5' which provides connection between the North Sydney CBD and Mosman via Neutral Bay.

9.5.2 Vehicular Access and car parking

Access points

Vehicles will access the on-site car parking area through a series of ramps on the eastern boundary of the site via Yeo Street. 'Direct to Boot' driveways to accommodate online order pickups will also be provided within the basement carpark that is accessed off Yeo Street as shown in **Figure 37**. Service vehicles accessing the loading dock will be separated from the general vehicles and enter the site via Military Lane in a forward direction.




Figure 37 Indicative reference scheme access

Source: Koichi Takada Architects and JMT Consulting

Retail car parking

The North Sydney DCP 2013 notes the following maximum parking rates for retail uses:

- Supermarkets - 4.0 spaces per 100m² GFA (1 space / 25m²)
- Speciality retail – 1.67 spaces per 100m² GFA (1 space / 60m²)

The indicative reference scheme proposes 163 parking spaces for retail uses which is consistent with Council's maximum parking rates for the proposed supermarket (132 parking spaces) and retail uses (30 parking spaces). It is noted that the catchment of the supermarket typically extends for a 3-5 km radius which is well outside walking distance. Many residents living in the immediate area, within viable walking distance of the retail centre, will also require car parking when undertaking a 'family shop' at the full line supermarket given the impracticalities of carrying multiple shopping bags back to their residences.

Given people undertaking weekly shopping trips are reliant on car as a mode of access, people will either park on surrounding streets or drive to nearby supermarkets where parking is more easily accessible. There is therefore a benefit in providing adequate parking to sustain a full line supermarket so that residents of the local area will not have to drive to other nearby regional shopping centres to undertake their weekly shopping trips. Other benefits to providing on-site basement parking for visitors include:

- 1) Providing the option of onsite car spaces allows customers to load their shopping into their car, thus reducing the litter of trolleys in the public realm and along surrounding streets.
- 2) Basement parking for customers reduces demand for parking on surrounding streets and encourages customers to park in the basement.

Residential car parking

For an indicative total of 91 residential apartments (with a unit mix as detailed within **Appendix A**), the North Sydney DCP 2013 provides a maximum of 87 parking spaces for the site. Analysis undertaken by JMT Consulting demonstrates that the proposed parking provision of 87 spaces under the indicative reference scheme complies with the maximum permissible parking provision allowable under the North Sydney DCP 2013. The proposed residential parking provision is therefore considered satisfactory.

Commercial car parking

The North Sydney DCP 2013 specifies a maximum car parking rate of 1 space per 60m². Based on the 2,103m² of commercial GFA provided up to 35 car parking spaces could be delivered on the site. The reference scheme includes 35 parking spaces for the commercial uses, complying with the requirements of the North Sydney DCP 2013.

Loading dock

The reference scheme includes an on-site loading dock which can accommodate up to three vehicles at any one time, including one heavy rigid vehicle (HRV) 12.5m in length, one medium rigid vehicle (MRV) 8.8m in length and one small rigid vehicle (SRV) 6.4m in length. A swept path analysis confirms that all vehicles have the ability to enter and exit Military lane in a forward direction. The loading dock has been designed in accordance with the requirements outlined in the relevant Australian Standard (AS2890.2, 2018) and is considered suitable to accommodate the needs of the site based on the development yields associated with the reference scheme.

9.5.3 Traffic Impact Assessment

Traffic generation

Trip generation rates for future traffic has been derived from comparable surrounding traffic and regional development:

- **Residential** – Per unit: PM peak hour: 0.19 vehicle trips and Saturday peak hour: 0.22 vehicle trips
- **Commercial** – Per trips/space: PM peak hour: 0.5 vehicle trips
- **Retail** – Per square meters: PM peak hour: 7.75 vehicle trips and Saturday peak hour 6.94 vehicle trips

The forecast arrival and departure directions for visitors to the supermarket, based on the trade catchment for the centre, have been determined to spread the traffic load and minimising the impact on the surrounding road network.

Modelling of intersection performance

In support of the Planning Proposal and indicative reference scheme, JMT Consulting has considered the following two scenarios for traffic modelling:

- 'Base Case' - Traffic flows as per the counts undertaken in November 2021
- 'Base Case + Development' - Traffic flows following the development of the subject site as envisaged in this Planning Proposal.

The modelling undertaken indicates that the proposal is not anticipated to result in unacceptable traffic impacts on the surrounding road network. Intersection level of service remains at Level of Service D or below for all intersections. Therefore, the proposal is not expected to unduly impact the operation of the surrounding road network.

It is also worthwhile noting that a significant level of traffic already travelling along key roads in the vicinity of the site such as Military Road do so for the purpose of accessing nearby retail centres such as Big Bear Shopping Centre.

While not specifically considered in the traffic analysis, all traffic associated with the proposal would not be 'new' – instead trips (particularly from those in the local area not currently served by a full line supermarket) would be intercepted at Neutral Bay that would otherwise have continued along Military Road towards these existing centres. Therefore, in this context the net traffic generation and associated road network performance as documented is considered to provide a conservative forecast of potential impacts.

9.5.4 Summary

Key findings of the transport assessment are as follows:

- Vehicle access to the site for general vehicles would be provided on Yeo Street, close to the existing access point for the site.
- Service vehicle access to an on-site loading dock is to be provided Military Lane to segregate cars in the basement and trucks using the loading dock – providing a strong safety outcome.
- Car parking and bicycle parking on the site for all uses will be delivered in accordance with the parking rates outlined in the North Sydney DCP, with the final number of spaces to be determined at the Development Application stage of the project.
- The proposal includes 65 public car parking spaces, to be dedicated to Council, which benefit existing businesses and visitors of the Neutral Bay town centre and align with recommendations of the Military Road Corridor Planning Study.
- Traffic modelling undertaken indicates that the proposal is not anticipated to result in unacceptable traffic impacts on the surrounding road network – with all intersections in the vicinity of the site continuing to operate at acceptable levels of service.
- The NSW Government's future Western Harbour Tunnel and Beaches Link project will benefit the Military Road corridor by reducing the extent of regional traffic flows, with Transport for NSW projecting a 10% reduction in traffic along the corridor.
- Travel demand management measures have been suggested to improve the mode share of public transport and active transport. These items should be considered further at subsequent stages of the project.

In the above context, the traffic and transport impacts arising from the Planning Proposal are considered acceptable.

9.6 Contamination

A Preliminary Site Investigation has been undertaken by Geo-Logix and is attached at **Appendix I**. Following a desktop analysis of the site, the report concludes:

- The site and surrounds have a mixed history of residential and commercial land use
- The site was used for the purposes of residential until the mid 1970s when it was developed as the Neutral Bay Shopping Village.
- In the mid 2000s the shopping centre was redeveloped as the current Woolworths Shopping Centre which includes a basement carpark level.
- Excluding dry cleaning operations that occurred onsite and in its immediate proximity offsite, the operational site history in respect of potential contamination is considered relative benign.
- There is potential for dry cleaner related soil and ground water contamination to exist on site.

It is on this basis that the site is considered suitable for the proposed amendment to the height limit and a further detailed site investigation will be undertaken at any subsequent DA stage.

9.7 Social and Economic Impacts

A Social and Economic Impact Report has been prepared by Ethos Urban (**Appendix E**). The planning proposal will facilitate the redevelopment of the site, delivering a number of positive social outcomes, including the following:

Social impacts

- Increased convenience and amenity for the local community, including integrated shopping and dining, a new civic plaza and improved through-site links, in addition to residential and commercial activity on site.
- Increased local employment opportunities through the creation of enhanced commercial floorspace, and an expanded retail offer.
- Increased convenience for local workers as well as residents, with the subject site in close proximity to public transport.
- Health and wellbeing benefits for the local community with the co-location of uses and through-site links, encouraging active travel (walking) and lifestyles.
- Improvements to local amenity and streetscape through the project design and ground plane provisions – including an enhanced and expanded civic domain.
- In particular, the proposed plaza and through-site links will provide a genuine focal point for the local community, creating a true town centre for the southern side of Neutral Bay, serving residential catchments primarily to the south and east of the site, and creating improved accessibility to Military Road.

Economic impacts

- The proposed development is estimated to result in direct investment of approximately \$147 million in the local area during the construction stage.
- Approximately **288 direct and 461 indirect FTE job years** are planned to be generated during the construction stage.
- Taking into account existing uses at the subject site, the proposed development has the potential to support an additional **113 jobs** (FTE) when fully occupied and operational.
- Increased economic output with the activities and employment supported by the development. The ongoing jobs resulting from the proposed development are estimated to generate some **\$12.7 million in value added to the economy each year** (2021 dollars).
- Increased activation of the Military Road precinct, including after normal business hours and on weekends, with the project to generate additional pedestrian traffic to the direct benefit of local businesses.
- Enhanced access for residents to key community facilities and convenience-based retail uses (including supermarkets, food and groceries).
- Improved retail offer and price competition within easy walking distance of a large number of local residents.
- Alignment with government strategies to increase amenity and employment.

10.0 Conclusion

This Planning Proposal has been prepared by Ethos Urban to support a Planning Proposal to North Sydney Council, seeking amendments to the *North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013*, to facilitate the redevelopment of 1-7 Rangers Road and 50 Yeo Street, Neutral Bay for a new mixed use building up to a height of 30m and 40m and a non-residential FSR of 0.7:1. Amendments to the North Sydney Development Control Plan 2012 will also be required to support this outcome.

The Planning Proposal is underpinned by a shared vision with Council to allow additional site-specific uplift on this site to deliver a new mixed-use development together with significant community benefits in the form of a new through site link and public plaza. The proposal will deliver a new generation mixed use building including a subterranean supermarket, speciality retail and commercial floorspace to support new jobs strengthen the local economy. It will make a valuable contribution to the renewal and revitalisation of the Neutral Bay town centre and help catalyse further investment in the area.

More specifically, this Planning Proposal will establish the planning framework to facilitate:

- A high-quality mixed-use building offering a diverse mix of housing which will support the future population growth and changing household needs in Neutral Bay Town Centre.
- Extensive community benefits through a new public plaza destination where people will gather, socialise and choose to stay.
- The delivery of a through site link that will revitalise the ground plane and further open up the site to connect residential catchments to the south and east.
- Significant public domain upgrades to achieve a completely new and reinvigorated street level outcome, supporting fine-grain, activation and permeability.
- Optimal employment outcomes for the site, given that it is unlikely to be redeveloped under the current planning controls.
- A valuable contribution to the revitalisation of a cluster of ageing and detracting buildings, located on a prominent corner within the Neutral Bay and the Military Road Corridor.
- A substantial amount of additional local jobs that will help stimulate the local economy contribute to the areas post-COVID economic recovery.

This Planning Proposal is considered justified for the following reasons:

- The proposal generally aligns with Council's vision and intent for the Neutral Bay town centre, as set out in the MRCPS and the Future Directions Report for Neutral Bay ;
- The proposal is consistent with the objectives of the EP&A Act, in that it promotes the orderly and economic use and development of land;
- The proposal will deliver a significant benefit to the site in the form of a new 1,013m² town square and pedestrian through-site link;
- The proposal is consistent with the strategic planning framework for the site;
- The development concept which the Planning Proposal aims to facilitate is suitable for the site with limited planning issues as follows:
 - the development concept will help reinforce the role of the Neutral Bay town centre;
 - the development concept will deliver design excellence;
 - the development concept will not result in unacceptable amenity impact when considered against the built form outcome espoused under the MRCPS and Future Directions Report;
 - the development concept will have not result in an unacceptable impact on the local road network; and
 - the development will deliver new jobs and housing in a location well served by infrastructure and public transport.
- The proposal is consistent with the applicable SEPPs and Ministerial Directions. .

The site is a sizeable, latent, highly appropriate locations for such a proposal. This Planning Proposal demonstrates that it can suitably accommodate Woolworth's vision to deliver a high-quality mixed-use scheme anchored by a best in class supermarket, whilst also minimising environmental impacts and delivering a built form that responds to the context and aspirations for the Neutral Bay town centre. More broadly, this report outlines that the Planning Proposal has demonstrable strategic and site-specific merit and will deliver the vision and implementation of Council's MRCPS, given it supports greater density for employment generating uses and delivery of significant public benefits.

In light of the above, we would have no hesitation in recommending that the Planning Proposal proceed through the Gateway to public exhibition.


11.0 Project Timeline

Once the planning proposal has been referred to the Minister for review of the Gateway Determination and received a Gateway determination, the anticipated project timeline will be further refined, including at each major milestone throughout the planning proposal's process.

Table 15 below outlines the anticipated timeframe for the completion of the planning proposal.

Table 15 Anticipated timeframe to Planning Proposal process

Milestone	Anticipated timeframe
Lodgement of Planning Proposal	May 2022
Assessment of Planning Proposal by Council	June 2022 – September 2022
Report to LPP on the assessment of the PP	October 2022
Report to Council on the assessment of the PP	November 2022
Referral to Minister for review of Gateway determination	December 2022
Date of issue of the Gateway determination	January 2023
Commencement and completion dates for public exhibition period	March 2023 – April 2023
Commencement and completion dates for government agency notification	March 2023 – April 2023
Consideration of submissions	June 2023
Consideration of planning proposal post exhibition and associated report to Council	September 2023
Submission to the Department to finalise the LEP	August 2023
Notification of instrument	December 2023



LEGEND

	SITE BOUNDARY
	ZONING - B4 (MIXED USE)
	ZONING - R4 (HIGH DENSITY RESI)
	ZONING - R3 (MEDIUM DENSITY RESI)
	ZONING - R2 (LOW DENSITY RESI)
	SITE 1 - GROSVENOR LANE NORTH
	SITE 2 - GROSVENOR LANE SOUTH
	SITE 3 - RANGERS ROAD
	BUS SHELTER
	TRAFFIC NOISE
	PREVAILING WIND
	VIEWS
	PROPOSED THROUGH SITE LINK
	EXISTING THROUGH SITE LINK

PROJECT
Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	WIP For Information	24.01.21	
2	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22	

DATE
24.01.21
11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0015
DRAWING TITLE
SITE ANALYSIS

A1 @ 1 : 500
0 25 m

CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16173
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



PROJECT
Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WIP For Information	24.01.21
2	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP
 THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0020
 DRAWING TITLE
SITE PLAN
 SCALE
 A1 @ 1 : 500



CLIENT
 Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16173
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

CAR PARKING SUMMARY

Level	B02	B03	B04	B05	GRAND TOTAL
Woolworths	75	57	-	-	132
Commercial	-	-	35	-	35
Retail	-	31	-	-	31
Public	-	-	65	-	65
Residential	-	-	-	87	87
Total	75	88	100	87	350



LEGEND

- Direct to boot - Pick up
- Supermarket Car Space
- Retail Car Space
- Commercial Car Space
- Public Car Space
- Residential Car Space


Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
4	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	19/02/22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
7	COORDINATION ISSUE	09/03/22
8	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP
THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0095
DRAWING TITLE
BASEMENT LEVEL 05 FLOOR PLAN



CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

CAR PARKING SUMMARY

Level	B02	B03	B04	B05	GRAND TOTAL
Woolworths	75	57	-	-	132
Commercial	-	-	35	-	35
Retail	-	31	-	-	31
Public	-	-	65	-	65
Residential	-	-	-	87	87
Total	75	88	100	87	350



LEGEND

- Direct to boot - Pick up
- Supermarket Car Space
- Retail Car Space
- Commercial Car Space
- Public Car Space
- Residential Car Space

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
4	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	19/02/22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
7	COORDINATION ISSUE	02/03/22
8	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
9	COORDINATION ISSUE	09/03/22
10	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP
THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0096
DRAWING TITLE
BASEMENT LEVEL 04 FLOOR PLAN

A3 @ 1 : 400 0 10 m

CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST.
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

CAR PARKING SUMMARY

Level	B02	B03	B04	B05	GRAND TOTAL
Woolworths	75	57	-	-	132
Commercial	-	-	35	-	35
Retail	-	31	-	-	31
Public	-	-	65	-	65
Residential	-	-	-	87	87
Total	75	88	100	87	350



LEGEND

- Direct to boot - Pick up
- Supermarket Car Space
- Retail Car Space
- Commercial Car Space
- Public Car Space
- Residential Car Space

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
4	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	19/02/22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
7	COORDINATION ISSUE	02/03/22
8	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
9	COORDINATION ISSUE	09/03/22
10	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP
THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0097
DRAWING TITLE
BASEMENT LEVEL 03 FLOOR PLAN
A3 @ 1 : 400

CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST.
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

CAR PARKING SUMMARY

Level	B02	B03	B04	B05	GRAND TOTAL
Woolworths	75	57	-	-	132
Commercial	-	-	35	-	35
Retail	-	31	-	-	31
Public	-	-	65	-	65
Residential	-	-	-	87	87
Total	75	88	100	87	350



LEGEND

- Direct to boot - Pick up
- Supermarket Car Space
- Retail Car Space
- Commercial Car Space
- Public Car Space
- Residential Car Space

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
4	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	19.02.22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	01.03.22
7	COORDINATION ISSUE	04.03.22
8	COORDINATION ISSUE	09.03.22
9	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11.03.22

QA STAMP
THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0098
DRAWING TITLE
BASEMENT LEVEL 02 FLOOR PLAN
A3 @ 1 : 400


CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST.
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

CAR PARKING SUMMARY

Level	B02	B03	B04	B05	GRAND TOTAL
Woolworths	75	57	-	-	132
Commercial	-	-	35	-	35
Retail	-	31	-	-	31
Public	-	-	65	-	65
Residential	-	-	-	87	87
Total	75	88	100	87	350



LEGEND

	Direct to boot - Pick up
	Supermarket Car Space
	Retail Car Space
	Commercial Car Space
	Public Car Space
	Residential Car Space


Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
4	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	19.02.22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	01.03.22
7	COORDINATION ISSUE	04.03.22
8	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11.03.22

QA STAMP
THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0099
DRAWING TITLE
BASEMENT LEVEL 01 FLOOR PLAN
A3 @ 1 : 400




CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- AMENITIES
- BOH
- COMMERCIAL LOBBY
- PLANT
- RESI.LOBBY
- RETAIL
- SUBSTATION



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18.02.22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01.03.22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04.03.22
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11.03.22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL


DRAWING NUMBER

A0100

DRAWING TITLE
GROUND FLOOR PLAN

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 400



CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 1 BED
- 2 BED
- 3 BED
- BALC
- COMMERCIAL
- COMMUNAL
- RETAIL




Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

GA STAMP
THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0101
DRAWING TITLE
LEVEL 01 FLOOR PLAN
SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 400



CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 1 BED
- 2 BED
- 3 BED
- BALC
- COMMERCIAL
- SERVICES
- TERRACE




Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22	
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22	
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22	
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22	
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22	
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22	
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0102
DRAWING TITLE
LEVEL 02 FLOOR PLAN
SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 400



CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 1 BED
- 2 BED
- 3 BED
- BALC
- COMMERCIAL
- SERVICES
- TERRACE




Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22	
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22	
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22	
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22	
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22	
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22	
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0103
DRAWING TITLE
LEVEL 03 FLOOR PLAN
SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 400



CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST.
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 2 BED
- 3 BED
- BALC
- SERVICES
- TERRACE




Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22	
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22	
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22	
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22	
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22	
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22	
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0104
DRAWING TITLE
LEVEL 04 FLOOR PLAN
SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 400



CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 2 BED
- 3 BED
- BALC
- SERVICES



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

GA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0105

DRAWING TITLE
LEVEL 05 FLOOR PLAN

SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 400

CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 2 BED
- 3 BED
- BALC
- SERVICES



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

GA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0106

DRAWING TITLE
LEVEL 06 FLOOR PLAN

SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 400

CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST.
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 1 BED
- 2 BED
- 3 BED
- BALC
- SERVICES



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

GA STAMP
THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0107

DRAWING TITLE
LEVEL 07 FLOOR PLAN

SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 400


CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST.
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 3 BED
- BALC
- SERVICES



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0108

DRAWING TITLE

LEVEL 08 FLOOR PLAN

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 400

0 10 m



CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

LEGEND

- 3 BED
- BALC
- SERVICES
- TERRACE



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	01/03/22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
3	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

DATE
01/03/22
04/03/22
11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL


DRAWING NUMBER
A0109
DRAWING TITLE
LEVEL 09 FLOOR PLAN

SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 400

CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST.
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	19/02/22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
4	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0200

DRAWING TITLE

ELEVATIONS 01

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 500

0

12.5 m


CLIENT

Woolworths Group

1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

**Koichi
Takada
Architects**



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	19/02/22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
4	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0201

DRAWING TITLE

ELEVATIONS 02

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 500


0 12.5 m

CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

**Koichi
Takada
Architects**



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	19/02/22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
4	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0202

DRAWING TITLE

ELEVATIONS 03

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 500




CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153


SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



KEY

- RESIDENTIAL
- COMMERCIAL
- SUPERMARKET
- RETAIL



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
4	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0300


DRAWING TITLE
SECTION A

SCALE
A3 @ As indicated 0 12.5 m

CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153


SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



KEY

- RESIDENTIAL
- COMMERCIAL
- SUPERMARKET
- RETAIL



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
3	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
5	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0301


DRAWING TITLE
SECTION B

SCALE
A3 @ As indicated 0 12.5 m

CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
2	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

GA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0402

DRAWING TITLE


MASSING DIAGRAM - MASTERPLAN

CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

**Koichi
Takada
Architects**



Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
2	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

GA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0403

DRAWING TITLE


MASSING DIAGRAM - PROPOSAL

CLIENT


Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM


**Koichi
Takada
Architects**



CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 01 (5/9)



CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 02 (5/13)



CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 03 (4/12)




CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 04 (8/13)




CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 05 (9/12)




CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 06 (9/12)



CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 07 (7/9)



CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 08 (6/6)



CROSS VENTILATION - LEVEL 09 (5/5)

CROSS VENTILATION MATRIX

	JPS/FAB	TOTAL UNITS	%
LEVEL 01	5	9	56 %
LEVEL 02	5	13	38 %
LEVEL 03	4	12	33 %
LEVEL 04	8	13	62 %
LEVEL 05	9	12	75 %
LEVEL 06	9	12	75 %
LEVEL 07	7	9	78 %
LEVEL 08	6	6	100 %
LEVEL 09	5	5	100 %
TOTAL	58	91	64 %

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER


A0410

DRAWING TITLE

CROSS VENTILATION DIAGRAMS

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 1000




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM


Koichi Takada Architects



SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 01 (5/9)



SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 02 (9/13)



SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 03 (9/12)



SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 04 (10/13)




SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 05 (10/12)




SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 06 (10/12)



SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 07 (7/9)



SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 08 (6/6)



SOLAR ACCESS - LEVEL 09 (5/5)

SOLAR MATRIX

	JPS/FAB	TOTAL UNITS	%
LEVEL 01	5	9	56 %
LEVEL 02	9	13	69 %
LEVEL 03	9	12	75 %
LEVEL 04	10	13	77 %
LEVEL 05	10	12	83 %
LEVEL 06	10	12	83 %
LEVEL 07	7	9	78 %
LEVEL 08	6	6	100 %
LEVEL 09	5	5	100 %
TOTAL	71	91	78 %

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0415

DRAWING TITLE

SOLAR ACCESS DIAGRAMS

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 1000

0 2.5 m






CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM




Koichi Takada Architects



June21_0900_MASTERPLAN

June21_1000_MASTERPLAN

June21_1100_Option MASTERPLAN



June21_1200_Option MASTERPLAN

June21_1300_Option MASTERPLAN

June21_1400_MASTERPLAN

NOTE:
 ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES
 OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE
 MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST
 INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW
 (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22
7	RESPONSE TO RFI	28/03/22
8	RESPONSE TO RFI	27/04/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0420

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAM - MASTER PLAN

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 2000

0

50 m




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM

**Koichi
 Takada
 Architects**



June21_1500_MASTERPLAN



June21_1600_MASTERPLAN

NOTE:
 ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES
 OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE
 MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST
 INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW
 (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION
1	RESPONSE TO RFI


DATE
 27/04/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
 PLANNING PROPOSAL




DRAWING NUMBER
A0420.1
 DRAWING TITLE
SHADOW DIAGRAM - MASTER PLAN
 SCALE
 A3 @ 1 : 2000



CLIENT
 Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM



**Koichi
 Takada
 Architects**



June21_0900_PROPOSAL

June21_1000_PROPOSAL

June21_1100_PROPOSAL



June21_1200_PROPOSAL

June21_1300_PROPOSAL

June21_1400_PROPOSAL

NOTE:
 ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES
 OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE
 MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST
 INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW
 (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22
7	RESPONSE TO RFI	28/03/22
8	RESPONSE TO RFI	27/04/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0421

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAMS - PROPOSAL

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 2000

0

50 m




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM

**Koichi
 Takada
 Architects**



June21_1500_PROPOSAL



June21_1600_PROPOSAL

NOTE:
 ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES
 OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE
 MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST
 INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW
 (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION
1	RESPONSE TO RFI

DATE
 27/04/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0421.1

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAM - PROPOSAL

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 2000

0 50 m




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM

**Koichi
 Takada
 Architects**



June21_0900_PROPOSAL_Yeo St Buildings



June21_1000_PROPOSAL_Yeo St Buildings

NOTE:
 ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER


A0422

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAMS - PROPOSAL (Yeo St) 01

SCALE

A3 @ As indicated 0 2.5 m




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



June21_1100_PROPOSAL_Yeo St Buildings



June21_1200_PROPOSAL_Yeo St Buildings

NOTE:
ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0423

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAMS - PROPOSAL (Yeo St) 02

SCALE

A3 @ As indicated 0 2.5 m




CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



June21_1300_PROPOSAL_Yeo St Buildings



June21_1400_PROPOSAL_Yeo St Buildings

NOTE:
ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER


A0424

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAMS - PROPOSAL (Yeo St) 03

SCALE

A3 @ As indicated 0 2.5 m




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



June21_1500_PROPOSAL_Yeo St Buildings



June21_1600_PROPOSAL_Yeo St Buildings

NOTE:
 ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES
 OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE
 MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST
 INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW
 (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
 PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0425

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAMS - PROPOSAL (Yeo St) 04

SCALE

A3 @ As indicated 0 2.5 m




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM

**Koichi
 Takada
 Architects**



YEO STREET (LOOKING SOUTH) - SOLAR STUDY JUNE 21 - 0900



YEO STREET (LOOKING SOUTH) - SOLAR STUDY JUNE 21 - 1000



YEO STREET (LOOKING SOUTH) - SOLAR STUDY JUNE 21 - 1100



YEO STREET (LOOKING SOUTH) - SOLAR STUDY JUNE 21 - 1200

	EXISTING BUILDING SHADOW
	PROPOSED BUILDING SHADOW
	MRCPS (MASTERPLAN)

REAR / SOUTH OF YEO STREET ELEVATIONS OBTAINED FROM SURVEY DATED 6.12.21

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22	
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22	
3	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0426
DRAWING TITLE
ELEVATION SOLAR STUDY (South Yeo St) - 01
SCALE
A3 @ As indicated 0 2.5 m


CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



YEO STREET (LOOKING SOUTH) - SOLAR STUDY JUNE 21 - 1300



YEO STREET (LOOKING SOUTH) - SOLAR STUDY JUNE 21 - 1400



YEO STREET (LOOKING SOUTH) - SOLAR STUDY JUNE 21 - 1500

	EXISTING BUILDING SHADOW
	PROPOSED BUILDING SHADOW
	MRCPS (MASTERPLAN)

REAR / SOUTH OF YEO STREET ELEVATIONS
OBTAINED FROM SURVEY DATED 6.12.21

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22	
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22	
3	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME


STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0427
DRAWING TITLE
ELEVATION SOLAR STUDY (South Yeo St) - 02
SCALE
A3 @ As indicated 0 2.5 m


CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM


Koichi Takada Architects




June21_0900_DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE




June21_1000_DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE




June21_1100_DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE



June21_1200_DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE



June21_1300_DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE



June21_1400_DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE

NOTE:
 ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES
 OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE
 MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST
 INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW
 (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

NOTE:
 RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE
 OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	RESPONSE TO RFI	29/03/22	
2	RESPONSE TO RFI	27/04/22	

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0428

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAM - DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 2000

0 50 m




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM

**Koichi
 Takada
 Architects**



June21_1500_DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE



June21_1600_DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE

- NOTE:**
--- ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

- NOTE:**
--- BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF MASTERPLAN MASSING SHADOW (50 YEO ST INCLUDED)

- NOTE:**
--- RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF PROPOSED MASSING SHADOW

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION
1	RESPONSE TO RFI

DATE
27/04/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0428.1

DRAWING TITLE
SHADOW DIAGRAM - DCP MAX. ALLOWABLE


SCALE
A3 @ 1 : 2000

0 50 m


CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM


**Koichi
Takada
Architects**




Sun_June21_0900 Master Plan




Sun_June21_1000 Master Plan




Sun_June21_1100 Master Plan




Sun_June21_1200 Master Plan




Sun_June21_1300 Master Plan



Sun_June21_1400 Master Plan



Sun_June21_1500 Master Plan



Sun_June21_1600 Master Plan

NOTE:
 --- RED DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF PROPOSED MASSING

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	WORK IN PROGRESS	04.02.22	
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22	
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22	
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18.02.22	
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04.03.22	
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11.03.22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME


STATUS
 PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0430
 DRAWING TITLE
 SUN EYE VIEWS MASTERPLAN JUNE


CLIENT
 Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHIKAKADA.COM


Koichi Takada Architects




Sun_June21_0900 PROPOSED




Sun_June21_1000 PROPOSED




Sun_June21_1100 PROPOSED




Sun_June21_1200 PROPOSED




Sun_June21_1300 PROPOSED



Sun_June21_1400 PROPOSED



Sun_June21_1500 PROPOSED



Sun_June21_1600 PROPOSED

NOTE:
 - - - - - BLUE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF MASTER PLAN MASSING

Rangers Road
 1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21	
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22	
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22	
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22	
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22	
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME



STATUS
 PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0431
 DRAWING TITLE
 SUN EYE VIEWS - PROPOSAL

CLIENT
 Woolworths Group
 1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153



SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
 61 MARLBOROUGH ST
 SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
 T 02 9698 8510
 ABN 63 131 365 896
 NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
 KOICHI TAKADA
 NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
 VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
 QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
 KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



June21_0900_PROPOSAL_Shadow Diagram **396m² (39%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1020m²)**

June21_1000_PROPOSAL_Shadow Diagram **559m² (55%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1020m²)**



June21_1100_PROPOSAL_Shadow Diagram **569m² (56%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1020m²)**

June21_1200_PROPOSAL_Shadow Diagram **489m² (48%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1020m²)**

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
3	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME


DRAWING NUMBER

A0440

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAM - PROPOSAL PUBLIC PLAZA

A3 @ 1 : 1000





CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153



SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



June21_1300_PROPOSAL_Shadow Diagram **228m² (23%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1020m²)**

June21_1400_PROPOSAL_Shadow Diagram **63m² (6%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1020m²)**



June21_1500_PROPOSAL_Shadow Diagram **21m² (2%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1020m²)**

June21_1600_PROPOSAL_Shadow Diagram **0m² (0%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1020m²)**

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
3	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0441

DRAWING TITLE

SHADOW DIAGRAM - PROPOSAL PUBLIC PLAZA

A3 @ 1 : 1000



0 2.5 m

CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153



SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



June21_0900_MASTERPLAN_Shadow Diagram **401m² (40%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1000m²)**

June21_1000_MASTERPLAN_Shadow Diagram **524m² (52%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1000m²)**



June21_1100_MASTERPLAN_Shadow Diagram **454m² (45%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1000m²)**

June21_1200_MASTERPLAN_Shadow Diagram **334m² (33%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1000m²)**

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL



DRAWING NUMBER
A0442
DRAWING TITLE
SHADOW DIAGRAM - MASTERPLAN PUBLIC PLAZA
A3 @ 1 : 1000



CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153



SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects



June21_1300_MASTERPLAN_Shadow Diagram 172m² (17%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1000m²)

June21_1400_MASTERPLAN_Shadow Diagram 44m² (4%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1000m²)



June21_1500_MASTERPLAN_Shadow Diagram 19m² (2%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1000m²)

June21_1600_MASTERPLAN_Shadow Diagram 0m² (0%) IN SUN (PUBLIC PLAZA 1000m²)

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE	QA STAMP
1	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22	

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS
PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER
A0443

DRAWING TITLE
SHADOW DIAGRAM - MASTERPLAN PUBLIC PLAZA


A3 @ 1 : 1000

0 2.5 m


CLIENT
Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM


Koichi Takada Architects




GBA - BASEMENT 01




GBA - GROUND LEVEL




GBA - LEVEL 01



GBA - LEVEL 02




LEVEL 03 - GBA




LEVEL 04 - GBA



L05 - GBA



L06 - GBA



L07 - GBA

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL


DRAWING NUMBER

A0450

DRAWING TITLE
AREA PLANS - GBA 01

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 1000



CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

AREA CALCULATIONS


FSR REQUIREMENTS

SITE	AREA	NON-RES. FSR RQMNT'	TARGET
SITE 3A	3303	2 to 1	6606
SITE 3B	904	1.2 to 1	1084.8
TOTAL	4207		7690.8

SITE LEGEND



L08 - GBA



L09

GBA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	NON-RESIDENTIAL (m2)
Basement	0	4365
GF	0	2923
Level 1	1661	1573
Level 2	2527	646
Level 3	2245	628
Level 4	2555	
Level 5	2087	
Level 6	2087	
Level 7	1579	
Level 8	1467	
Level 9	1467	
Level 10	0	
Level 11	0	
Total	17675	10135
Grand Total	27810	

GFA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	RETAIL (m2)	COMMERCIAL (m2)
Basement	0	3311	0
GF	169	1629	61
Level 1	1296	217	1062
Level 2	1685	0	490
Level 3	1546	0	490
Level 4	1650	0	0
Level 5	1598	0	0
Level 6	1598	0	0
Level 7	1129	0	0
Level 8	1030	0	0
Level 9	981	0	0
Level 10	0	0	0
Level 11	0	0	0
Total	12682	5157	2103
Grand Total	19942		
GFA/GBA(%)	72%		

NSA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	RETAIL (m2)	COMMERCIAL (m2)
Basement	0	3311	0
GF	0	1479	0
Level 1	1055	213	1024
Level 2	1479	0	484
Level 3	1337	0	484
Level 4	1482	0	0
Level 5	1425	0	0
Level 6	1425	0	0
Level 7	991	0	0
Level 8	956	0	0
Level 9	915	0	0
Level 10	0	0	0
Level 11	0	0	0
Total	11065	5003	1992
Grand Total	18060		
NSA/GFA(%)	91%		

FSR CALCULATIONS

NON-RESIDENTIAL GFA

AREA	7260
FSR TARGET	7690.8
DIFFERENCE	-431
ACTUAL	1 to 1.73

RESIDENTIAL GFA

AREA	12682
FSR TARGET	N/A
DIFFERENCE	N/A
ACTUAL	1 to 3.01

TOTAL

AREA	19942
FSR TARGET	N/A
DIFFERENCE	N/A
ACTUAL	1 to 4.74

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0451
DRAWING TITLE
AREA PLANS - GBA 02

SCALE

A3 @ As indicated 0

25 m




CLIENT


Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM


Koichi Takada Architects



GFA - BASEMENT 01



GFA - GROUND FLOOR



GFA - LEVEL 01



GFA - LEVEL 02



GFA - LEVEL 03




GFA - LEVEL 04



GFA - LEVEL 05



GFA - LEVEL 06



GFA - LEVEL 07

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	REVISED AREA PLANS	16.02.22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	19.02.22
6	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
7	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0455

DRAWING TITLE

AREA PLANS - GFA 01

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 1000

0 25 m



CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM


Koichi Takada Architects

AREA CALCULATIONS


FSR REQUIREMENTS

SITE	AREA	NON-RES. FSR	
		RQMNT'	TARGET
SITE 3A	3303	2 to 1	6606
SITE 3B	904	1.2 to 1	1084.8
TOTAL	4207		7690.8

SITE LEGEND



GFA - LEVEL 08



L09

GBA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	NON-RESIDENTIAL (m2)
Basement	0	4365
GF	0	2923
Level 1	1661	1573
Level 2	2527	646
Level 3	2245	628
Level 4	2555	
Level 5	2087	
Level 6	2087	
Level 7	1579	
Level 8	1467	
Level 9	1467	
Level 10	0	
Level 11	0	
Total	17675	10135
Grand Total	27810	

GFA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	RETAIL (m2)	COMMERCIAL (m2)
Basement	0	3311	0
GF	169	1629	61
Level 1	1296	217	1062
Level 2	1685	0	490
Level 3	1546	0	490
Level 4	1650	0	0
Level 5	1598	0	0
Level 6	1598	0	0
Level 7	1129	0	0
Level 8	1030	0	0
Level 9	981	0	0
Level 10	0	0	0
Level 11	0	0	0
Total	12682	5157	2103
Grand Total	19942		
GFA/GBA(%)	72%		

NSA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	RETAIL (m2)	COMMERCIAL (m2)
Basement	0	3311	0
GF	0	1479	0
Level 1	1055	213	1024
Level 2	1479	0	484
Level 3	1337	0	484
Level 4	1482	0	0
Level 5	1425	0	0
Level 6	1425	0	0
Level 7	991	0	0
Level 8	956	0	0
Level 9	915	0	0
Level 10	0	0	0
Level 11	0	0	0
Total	11065	5003	1992
Grand Total	18060		
NSA/GFA(%)	91%		

FSR CALCULATIONS

NON-RESIDENTIAL GFA

AREA	7260
FSR TARGET	7690.8
DIFFERENCE	-431
ACTUAL	1 to 1.73


RESIDENTIAL GFA

AREA	12682
FSR TARGET	N/A
DIFFERENCE	N/A
ACTUAL	1 to 3.01


TOTAL

AREA	19942
FSR TARGET	N/A
DIFFERENCE	N/A
ACTUAL	1 to 4.74






NSA - BASEMENT 01



NSA - GROUND FLOOR




NSA - LEVEL 01




NSA - LEVEL 02




NSA - LEVEL 03




NSA - LEVEL 04



NSA - LEVEL 05



NSA - LEVEL 06



NSA - LEVEL 07

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0460

DRAWING TITLE

AREA PLANS - NSA 01

SCALE

A3 @ 1 : 1000




CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153


SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects

AREA CALCULATIONS



NSA - LEVEL 08



NSA - LEVEL L09

FSR REQUIREMENTS

SITE	AREA	NON-RES. FSR	
		RQMNT'	TARGET
SITE 3A	3303	2 to 1	6606
SITE 3B	904	1.2 to 1	1084.8
TOTAL	4207		7690.8

SITE LEGEND



GBA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	NON-RESIDENTIAL (m2)
Basement	0	4365
GF	0	2923
Level 1	1661	1573
Level 2	2527	646
Level 3	2245	628
Level 4	2555	
Level 5	2087	
Level 6	2087	
Level 7	1579	
Level 8	1467	
Level 9	1467	
Level 10	0	
Level 11	0	
Total	17675	10135
Grand Total	27810	

GFA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	RETAIL (m2)	COMMERCIAL (m2)
Basement	0	3311	0
GF	169	1629	61
Level 1	1296	217	1062
Level 2	1685	0	490
Level 3	1546	0	490
Level 4	1650	0	0
Level 5	1598	0	0
Level 6	1598	0	0
Level 7	1129	0	0
Level 8	1030	0	0
Level 9	981	0	0
Level 10	0	0	0
Level 11	0	0	0
Total	12682	5157	2103
Grand Total	19942		
GFA/GBA(%)	72%		

NSA

Level	RESIDENTIAL (m2)	RETAIL (m2)	COMMERCIAL (m2)
Basement	0	3311	0
GF	0	1479	0
Level 1	1055	213	1024
Level 2	1479	0	484
Level 3	1337	0	484
Level 4	1482	0	0
Level 5	1425	0	0
Level 6	1425	0	0
Level 7	991	0	0
Level 8	956	0	0
Level 9	915	0	0
Level 10	0	0	0
Level 11	0	0	0
Total	11065	5003	1992
Grand Total	18060		
NSA/GFA(%)	91%		

FSR CALCULATIONS

NON-RESIDENTIAL GFA

AREA	7260
FSR TARGET	7690.8
DIFFERENCE	-431
ACTUAL	1 to 1.73

RESIDENTIAL GFA

AREA	12682
FSR TARGET	N/A
DIFFERENCE	N/A
ACTUAL	1 to 3.01

TOTAL

AREA	19942
FSR TARGET	N/A
DIFFERENCE	N/A
ACTUAL	1 to 4.74

Rangers Road
1-11 Rangers Road, Neutral Bay

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	W/P For Information	24.01.21
2	COORDINATION ISSUE	07.02.22
3	AMENDED COORDINATION ISSUE	11.02.22
4	COORDINATION ISSUE	18/02/22
5	COORDINATION ISSUE	04/03/22
6	PLANNING PROPOSAL ISSUE	11/03/22

QA STAMP

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SUITABLE OR APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AT ANY TIME

STATUS

PLANNING PROPOSAL

DRAWING NUMBER

A0461
DRAWING TITLE
AREA PLAN - NSA 02

SCALE
A3 @ As indicated 0 25 m



CLIENT

Woolworths Group
1 Woolworths Way, Bella Vista, NSW, 2153

SUITE 41 & 42, LEVEL 4
61 MARLBOROUGH ST
SURRY HILLS, NSW 2010
T 02 9698 8510
ABN 63 131 365 896
NOMINATED ARCHITECT:
KOICHI TAKADA
NSW ARCHITECTS 6901
VIC ARCHITECTS 16179
QLD ARCHITECTS 5590
KOICHI TAKADA.COM

Koichi Takada Architects