

**North Sydney Combined Precincts Committee (CPC)**  
**Tuesday 17 August 2021**  
**6.00pm start via Zoom<sup>1</sup>**

---

## **GENERAL MEETING MINUTES**

**Participants<sup>2</sup>:** JB (Bay); CH (Brightmore); GM (Edward); CC (Harrison); BS (Lavender Bay/CPC Co-convenor); JC (Milson); GC (Neutral); GD (Parks); KH (Union); IG (Waverton/CPC Co-Convenor); PM (Willoughby Bay)

**Also present:** JG, Manager Corporate Planning & Engagement (North Sydney Council); GN, Community Engagement Coordinator (North Sydney Council, Minutes); SS, Executive Manager Governance (North Sydney); RA, A/Director Community and Library Services (North Sydney Council); CT, Access and Inclusion Coordinator (North Sydney Council)

**Apologies:** LT (Holtermann), JH (Wollstonecraft)

Co-convenor presiding: BS (Lavender Bay/CPC Co-convenor)

### **1. Guest Speaker 1 - SS, Executive Manager Governance**

- a. Introduction** - SS (NSC) introduced her new role at Council and provided a brief overview of her professional background. Council's new Governance Division commenced in July 2021<sup>3</sup>. Departments within the new Division include Corporate Planning & Engagement (formerly Integrated Planning & Special Projects), Council & Committee Services (formerly Governance & Committee Services), Legal Services and Risk Management/Work Health & Safety.
- b. Local Government Elections** - SS (NSC) detailed the key dates associated with the deferred elections which will now be held on 4 December 2021:
  - Under the current provisions of the *Local Government Act 1993* (the Act) the Minister for Local Government is unable to postpone the elections further without legislative amendment.
  - Due to the extension of the current term there will be Deputy Mayor election as part of the Council Meeting on 23 August 2021.
  - As previously advised, Council has engaged the NSW Electoral Commission (NSWEC) to conduct the election.
  - iVote will be available.
  - NSWEC has indicated that the declaration of polls will be advised 21-23 December 2021 (previous such has been advised within 4-7 days of the election). This is due to Australia Post service changes; extended to receive postal votes.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note: the meeting was conducted across four consecutive Zoom sessions due to an administrative error with Council's licence.

<sup>2</sup> Limited to one representative per active Precinct Committee.

<sup>3</sup> Following a minor organisational restructure which was endorsed by the Council in February 2021.

- At the first Council meeting of the new term, the Council must determine whether any casual vacancy occurring in the office of a councillor within 18 months of the election is to be filled by a countback of votes rather than a by-election
- The election of Mayor will take place at the first Council meeting with the Mayor elected holding office until September 2023 (2 years), the second mayoral term will run until September 2024.

*Q. Is the OLG going to take the holiday period into consideration with the 3-week period in which the Mayor needs to be elected (i.e. Councillors would need to meet at the beginning of January?)*

A. This has been clarified with the Office of Local Government (OLG) who has confirmed there will be no change to this requirement. OLG are confident councils can make the necessary arrangements within the set timeframe.

*Q. iVote has not been used by local government previously, would the period be the same as the postal vote period i.e. will it run from the time election candidates are announced until election/voting day?*

A. Prepoll will open 22 November 2021 and closes the day before the election; iVote commences 22 November 2021 and closes 6pm, 4 December 2021.

*Q. You mentioned the first Mayoral term runs until September 2023, should that not be December 2023?*

A. The Local Government Act requires that the election of the mayor is to be held during the month of September (s290(1)(b)). Regardless of the postponement of the election, we are bound by the provisions of the Act.

*Q. When the elections were originally postponed, it was communicated that iVote plus extending pre-poll would be the way forward. iVote is only for people who are not the area (i.e. ratepayers not residents), if they are not going to allow that for people who don't want to leave the home, it's going to be a real problem. What are the parameters for iVote?*

A. The iVote parameters haven't been broadened it to the same criteria as pre-poll which is much more generous in its provisions. iVote is only able to be used if you are blind, have low vision, have disability within the definition of the *Anti-Discrimination Act*, require assistance to vote because you are unable to read or write, are not within 20km by the nearest route of a polling place, are a silent elector, will not be within the LGA within the hours of voting on election day or applied for a postal vote but did not receive your postal ballot before 5pm, 26 November 2021. Any person who is enrolled to vote may pre-poll. In terms of postal voting, you may postal vote if you are a permanent or temporary resident in hospital, nursing home, retirement village or similar facility, are self-isolating because of COVID related reasons, reasonably believe that attending a polling place on election day will pose a risk to the health and safety of yourself or people around you because of the COVID-19 pandemic. In terms of accessibility, pre-poll is the most accessible method, then postal voting then iVote.

*Q. To clarify, you can't use iVote if we are in the same position as we are now, with the whole state in lockdown and it's dangerous for people to be collecting outside polling booths - all we can do is postal vote? Can't they change the Act to amend that?*

A. Eligibility to use iVote is restricted by NSWEC to the same criteria that apply at State elections. It is understood that to change this would require a legislative change that needs to be made to achieve that<sup>4</sup>. It is also understood that the system is not built to support extended iVoting.

*Q. How does the postponed election impact on the impending change to the number of Wards?*

A. The Ward boundary changes resolved at the previous election, following a referendum, will come into effect from 4 December 2021. There will be 2 wards for this council election.

*Q. There is concern about the current 3 Wards being contracted to 2 Wards; there is some umbrage to the way that this represents the local Precincts and the way in which people have more of a say or less of a say. We want to put forward an amendment to 2 Ward structure which could be voted at the time of the elections for the 3 Ward system to be re-instated.*

A. This is a matter for the elected Council. Were they of a mind to pursue that, a referendum is required, it would require a complex question (a two-part question in which the two parts of the question were interdependent and very clearly put) the reason being that a council must have an equal representation of councillors from each ward. So if there were to be 3 wards there would need to be 9 or 12 councillors etc. If it were carried it would take effect at the next election (2024). There is a cost to conducting a referendum.

*Q. Council has previously asked referendum questions, including wards and removal of the popularly elected mayor, it just didn't combine those two questions in the last two lots of referendum, so now that the election has been postponed, is there enough time for Council to put forward a referendum question for 4 December 2021, along the lines of do you wish to have 3 wards of 3 councillors each, a total of 9 councillors.*

A. This is a matter for the elected Council.

CC (Neutral) noted that the period in which to submit referendum questions has been extended. Discussion was deferred to Item 7 with the intention of moving a motion.

## 2. Minutes of 22 June 2021 - ATTACHMENT A

**MOTION: That the minutes of the general meeting held on 22 June 2021 were confirmed as read and correct.**

**MOVED BY: IG (CPC Co-convenor/Waverton), SECONDED BY: JC (Milson)**

**CARRIED**

In favour	Opposed	Abstain
Brightmore x1 Edward x1 Harrison x1 Lavender Bay x1 Milson x1 Neutral x1 Parks x1 Union x1 Waverton x1		Willoughby Bay x1
<b>Total: 9</b>	<b>Total: 0</b>	<b>Total: 1</b>

## 3. Council's Reply to Previous Minutes/Summary of Actions (SOA) - ATTACHMENT B

<sup>4</sup> NSW Parliament is not sitting in August 2021 due to the current COVID situation and will next meet in December 2021.

Council's response to the CPC's previous minutes was noted. Council's response to item June 5ai Harbour Link refers to the *North Sydney CBD Transport Masterplan* which includes an eastern cycle/bike path.

***ACTION: The CPC invites Council's Senior Strategic Transport Planner to present the eastern cycling bi-pass proposal as outlined in the SOA.***

JG (Milson) noted that Felicity Wilson (State MP) had distributed correspondence related to TfNSW's recent consultation on the SHB Cycleway Access, informing that the linear approach had been the preferred option and there will be a design competition.

#### **4. Guest Speaker 2 - CT, Access & Inclusion Coordinator**

##### **a. Council's role in supporting people experiencing homelessness**

CT (NSC) provided an overview of the Community Development Department's portfolio and her role within the Council, types of homelessness, local occasions of homelessness and available Council support (**ATTACHMENT 1**).

- There are different types of homelessness, rough sleepers accounts for only 7%.
- Management of homelessness is not typically considered a local government responsibility, however North Sydney Council (and other councils) have chosen to take an active role.
- Council's outreach program was outlined - Council staff (with another staff member or partner agency) attend requests for support within 48 hours to offer advice and referral if required. Individual choice is respected i.e. the individual's decision as to how they choose to live. Sometimes it takes many years before an individual makes the decision to transition into housing. It's not as simple as 'why aren't these people in housing?', there are many complex reasons while people are in the positions that they're in. An example was provided of a rough sleeper who lived in the Crows Nest area for 20 years, although Council made repeated attempts to help transition him into housing he refused, so Council took a different approach and, with the support of the Police and the Church, built a temporary shelter which he enjoyed for a short time before passing away.
- Council partners with Link Housing to deliver social housing.
- Community development includes raising the profile/awareness of what's happening around homeless as a social issue.
- Council has been involved in the homeless street count for the past 2 years and for 3 years organised (with other agencies) a bridge relay, a 48 hour walk across the Sydney Harbour Bridge during Homelessness Awareness Week (August).

*Q. Within the Brightmore Precinct there is a DA in for a private boarding house and another which is constructed right next to the McDonalds. These work on a 3-month tenancy agreement. How does Council work its position amongst these types of private boarding houses?*

A. Generally speaking in reference to people who are experiencing social issues or are in circumstance that may draw attention to needing support, Council has developed relationships with the private boarding house owners. Council responds if issues arise on either side of the arrangement, but we are also mindful that this is a private arrangement and will advocate where we can but such is not always possible.

*Q. Are these types of arrangement beneficial?*

A. Yes, an example is a boarding house on Kurraba Road for women. People who are lucky enough to get a room there stay for many years, the premises are well kept, and the owners are great. Having a relationship with the owners is beneficial; we can contact them should we notice someone looking for housing. Note: it is not always obvious that a premise is a boarding house but its exterior design.

*Q. Even outside of COVID times, but particularly during COVID, domestic violence has increased - has Council stepped up e.g., found more places, forged new relationships, liaise with new people?*

A. Domestic violence falls into the area of responsibility of another colleague within the Community Development Department. Our team is part of various local networks. We have a good working relationship with Mary's House and the Daisy Centre some specialist service providers.

*Q. Marys House takes in few people and the problem is that there are not enough transitional homes for these people to go into after their 3 months at Marys House. Could Council have more of a positive impact in this transitional house?*

A. Council recently approved a new boarding house on Miller Street and there is also talk about setting up allocated funding for domestic violence support.

*JB (Bay) left the meeting 7.18pm*

PM (Willoughby Bay) conveyed information from his role with St Vincent de Paul, noting the demand for their services has doubled since the COVID pandemic began (may be related to reduction in financial support after February 2021) and issues are becoming more complex. They need to work on a number of fronts to deal with this, issues covered tonight have included mental illness, domestic violence, others in panic eviction from boarding houses (i.e. cannot be evicted at the moment). He has been in conversation with Council regarding affordable housing and it is good to see that Council is addressing this issue.

The Chair thanked Council's Access and Inclusion Coordinator for her presentation.

*CT, Access and Inclusion Coordinator) and RA, A/Director Community and Library Services (North Sydney Council) left the meeting 7.20pm*

## **5. Co-convenors Report**

### **a. Suggestion of major Council projects status updates and 6-monthly Council finance updates for future CPC meetings**

IG (CPC Co-Convenor/Waverton) conveyed the need for regular financial updates by Council to the CPC so that the information can be conveyed across the municipality by individual Committees. This would save the CPC and individual Precinct Committees from making repeated requests for the same information and take away any perception that such are controversial requests. The updates should cover Council's Financial Position - including the temporary rates increase due to expire 30 June 2022 and major projects including progress of the North Sydney Olympic Pool redevelopment.

***ACTION: The CPC requests that Council provide regular 6-monthly status updates on Council's financial position and progress of major projects.***

## **b. Complying Development Certificates**

BS (CPC Co-Convenor/Lavender Bay) explained there are various levels of development applications e.g. Complying Developments, State Significant Developments etc. The lowest tier is exempt and complying development, that normally applies to fairly small-scale development e.g. shop fit outs and renovations that don't affect neighbours etc. A change in relation to residential development was made a couple of years ago and is now beginning to find its feet. About 4 years ago the medium density housing code was promoted and the proposed residential development (which can be brand new as long as not too high, wide, big etc) can be signed off by a private certifier. A case has occurred in the Lavender Bay Precinct area recently. Committees should note this, and if this is occurring in other areas there could be cause to conduct education and define if strategies need to be developed.

CH (Brightmore) commented that CDCs are generally in properties where the premises are attached e.g. town houses/semis. Compared to a DA the requirements for homeowner to advise an adjoining owner (i.e. shares party wall in an apartment or townhouse) is very limited. Work can take place before notification has taken place/notification is not required. Positive is that is less bureaucratic of smaller scale developed e.g. loft conversion, a room being rearticulated or a shop fit out, however agreed this needs to be monitored.

## **c. Other Matters**

IG (CPC Co-Convenor/Waverton) noted that Cr Mutton, as Chair of the Waverton Peninsula Working Group will be suggesting to the Council that they have an overt approach lead by the Mayor to speak to TfNSW and Property NSW about transferring over Berry's Bay land, on the understanding that it would be made available for the Western Harbour Tunnel Project. This outcome has already been agreed to by Council. This suggested action is to try to accelerate the land handover across to NSC prior to the WHL project commencing

## **6. Council Update**

- Current/upcoming consultations:
  - [Draft DCP 173-179 Walker & 11-17 Hampden Streets, North Sydney and Draft Voluntary Planning Agreement](#) - closes 23 August
  - [Draft Amendment to North Sydney Development Control Plan 2013 - North Sydney CBD commercial tower setbacks and separation](#) - 30 August (exhibition extended)
  - [Planning Proposal 3/18 - 50-56 Atchison Street, St Leonards and draft Voluntary Planning Agreement](#) 30 August (exhibition extended)
  - [Burton Street at Humphrey Place Raised Pedestrian Crossing](#) - closes 29 August
  - [Draft Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Protocol Guidelines](#) - closes 19 September. JG (NSC) noted that this document guides on how the protocols of First Nations people will be respected by Council. This document was last updated in 2014. Council has worked with the [Aboriginal Heritage Office](#) to update the Guidelines.
  - Precinct System Review next steps - as previously advised, on 28 June 2021 the Council resolved to defer consideration of this matter to the next term of Council, which has since been postponed. In the meantime, staff are working through the proposed recommendations (listed as "management responses" in the report) to

determine if any can be pursued outside of formal determination by the elected Council.

- Holtermann Street Park project - planning is underway for the exhibition of two design options. This involves the undergrounding of the current Holtermann Street Carpark with a new above ground urban park.
- Community Strategic Plan review - commence is coming, this usually needs to be endorsed within 9 months of the new term of council (i.e. by 30 June). There has been no change to the Act so with the postponement of the election and the new term effectively not starting until January 2022 this effectively contracts the review period/time to prepare the new suite of the plans. Pending a report being endorsed by the Council in late 2021, we are hoping to commence community engagement before the election.
- We are adjusting to our Department moving to the new Governance Division, this includes an adjusted work plan, economic development has transferred to the Strategic Planning Department, and we have expanded our policy and advocacy work, recent examples include responding to IPART's review of the rating methodology and pending changes to infrastructure contributions plans.

*Q. Although I personally felt [Precinct System Review - Recommendations](#) report was balanced, what are the recommendations, and are they publicly available?*

A. They are publicly available via the "management responses" attached to the report - the consultant's Stage 2 recommendations are in the left-hand column and the recommended management response to each is in the right-hand column. This included recommendations to permit/issue remote meetings and provide a Zoom (or equivalent) account for Precinct use, e.g. available to all Precinct Committees to have equity in the type of meetings which can be held; and increased communications/promotion to raise awareness of the Precinct System. Other recommendations include 'micro' changes regarding the *Precinct System Guidelines* for consistency in application across Committees. Regarding the proposed boundary changes outlined within the report, it is recommended that a further round of consultation occur concerning proposed options to merge inactive with active Committees, where there is an appetite from the concerned active Committees. We have expressed the desire to reduce the total number of Precinct areas, as the current configuration of the 25 Precinct areas are largely the result of amalgamation over time from the original 56 Precinct areas in the early 1970s as part of the planning review.

BS (CPC Co-convenor/Lavender Bay) noted support for implementation of some of the proposed changes, which can be viewed more as administrative, and which could be implemented outside of formal Council decision making. JG (NSC) noted internal discussion is underway regarding which recommendations can potentially be implemented prior to the matter being reported back to the Council, however per past practice the revised Guidelines and Policy need to be endorsed by the Council. JG (NSC) advised that the current Public Health Order has impacted the Department's workload, noting reduced working hours (i.e. annual leave being taken) and all team members working remotely. The impact of the postponed election means that our focus is on time critical priorities and essential services, leaving less time for discretionary services.

IG (CPC Co-convenor/Lavender Bay) agreed with and noted that the CPC Co-convenors had suggested to Council's General Manager that some inactive Precincts be 'adopted' by the neighbouring active Precinct without changing the boundaries, allowing them to come to meetings with the aim that they become active again.

GM (Edward) noted that Edward Precinct had recently discussed whether Council can reconsider issuing a corporate Zoom account (or equivalent) or allow operational funding to be used to obtain such for meetings considering the current lockdown possibly continuing until December 2021. Noting it seems logical that it will be an expense Committees need and maybe an ongoing need. JG (NSC) advised that although online meetings are not permitted under the current Guidelines, such has been temporarily permitted as advised via the memorandum dated 5 August 2021; there is awareness that some Committees are using Zoom (or equivalent) - staff will contact each Committee to confirm who is using what and how they paid for it; quantified data may assist in decision making. BS (CPC Co-convenor/Lavender Bay) noted that Precincts are allowed to claim for communication expenses e.g. phone and internet expenses and many wonder why Zoom subscriptions are not included. CH (Brightmore) noted that collecting data and reporting it only further delays the ability for Precincts to meet. GM (Edward) noted that a Precinct member sharing their personal account which does not seem fair as this is a volunteer program. Council has a Precinct System, and it is not currently possible to operate/meet without Zoom (or equivalent), so why should Precinct members fund such when many Committees have adequate funds in their bank accounts. JC (Milson) noted problems with rescheduling required under the limit of free Zoom meetings, and that Milson Precinct had reimbursed the purchase of a licence for the Committee's use. IG (Waverton/CPC Convenor) noted that a Precinct member has shared their own zoom account but has not been reimbursed by the Precinct. KH (Union) noted Union Precinct has used two different online platforms for their meetings and has sought Council support on this matter. People are frustrated in the inability to meet, frustrated with technology not working and communications have been compromised. We live atypical times and need to bring sensible, adaptable measures, that's what any vibrant organisation is doing, managing things appropriately online. It was suggested that an account could be shared between Committees.

***MOTION: That Council provides access to a platform for remote meeting or allows Precinct Committees to use their operational funding to purchase their own zoom (or equivalent) account. MOVED BY: GM (Edward), SECONDED BY: IG (CPC Waverton/CPC Co-convenor) CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY***

In favour	Opposed	Abstain
Brightmore x1		
Edward x1		
Harrison x1		
Lavender Bay x1		
Milson x1		
Neutral x1		
Parks x1		
Union x1		
Waverton x1		
Willoughby Bay x1		
<b>Total: 10</b>	<b>Total: 0</b>	<b>Total: 0</b>

GM (Edward) left the meeting (7.49pm)

## 7. General Business<sup>5</sup>

### a. DISCUSSION (pre-submitted items for information/discussion)

<sup>5</sup> At the time of agenda compilation, there were no items for VOTING (pre-submitted items with proposed motions) or RECENT EVENTS (for discussion)



**i. Aboriginal Reconciliation: Promotion Indigenous Matters (Union)<sup>6</sup>**

It was agreed that a representative from the [Aboriginal Heritage Office](#) (AHO) should be invited to present to a CPC meeting in 2022. IG (Waverton/CPC Convenor) advised the AHO needs to be briefed/aware of Waverton Bowling Club and other matters in North Sydney LGA that may involve the Aboriginal Land Council as it is likely questions may be raised in regard to these matters. KH (Union) highlighted that this agenda item was raised in reference to getting better in ways in which we visibly celebrate or understand the celebration of indigenous matters within our local area. There's quite a lot of work going on behind the scenes. The item was raised so we can have a better working and celebratory relationship with the Aboriginal communities and appropriate authorities.

**b. OTHER ITEMS - to be tabled at the meeting**

**i. General Manager Remuneration Consultation**

CH (Brightmore) noted the OLG recently conducted consultation regarding a review of senior staff remuneration. There are differing levels of remuneration across councils (noting that size, scale and population of areas differ) but in some cases General Managers are earning more than the Prime Minister. JC (Milson) noted interest in other conditions such as payout figures and the terms of notification as these can be a great cost to the community.

***ACTION: CPC requests that Council provide a report on the General Manager's remuneration and packaging e.g. car etc, including severance details with the purpose of reviewing exposure in relation other councils of a similar size.***

**ii. Community polls and referenda**

Further it related discussion at item 1, it was noted that the OLG has announced an extension to the period for nomination of community polls and referenda until November 2021.

***MOTION 1: CPC request that Council call for suggested community poll and referendum questions from Precinct Committees as per past practice.***

***MOVED BY: CH (Brightmore) SECONDED BY: KH (Union) and JC (Milson)***

***UNANIMOUS***

***MOTION 2: CPC suggests that a suitable question be that the configuration of councillors is nine inclusive of a mayor elected by the councillors.***

***MOVED BY: IG (CPC Co-convenor/Waverton) SECONDED BY: PM (Willoughby Bay)***

***CARRIED***

---

<sup>6</sup> Item raised at 22 June 2021 CPC meeting

In favour	Opposed	Abstain
Brightmore x1 Lavender Bay x1 Milson x1 Neutral x1 Parks x1 Union x1 Waverton x1 Willoughby Bay x1		Harrison x1
<b>Total: 8</b>	<b>Total: 0</b>	<b>Total: 1</b>

### iii. WHTBL & WFU Tree Removal

BS (Co-convenor/Lavender Bay) noted that a tree audit has been carried out, and that there are a significant number of trees being removed in 10 areas of the LGA. Some Precinct Committees have obtained a summary document of a tree audit. The audit identifies 718 trees with 145 to be retained, most of the trees have been rated as in fair condition. CH (Brightmore) noted that tree clearing on Ernest Street near the golf club/tennis courts is already occurring. GD (Parks) noted that TfNSW's recent presentation to Parks Precinct indicated that these trees are being cleared for the temporary construction laydown area and the trees near Anzac Park are being cleared for to service relocation (gas/electricity lines), their commitment is to replace every tree removed with 2, but they could not provide a plan of where the replanting would take place. CH (Brightmore) noted that the trees being removed are substantial (40/50 years old), the replacements will be saplings. If this was a private development with substantial/indigenous trees, they would potentially relocate them then put them back afterwards, there doesn't seem to be consideration of doing this. KH (Union) questioned how this would affect the targets of Council's *Urban Forest Strategy*.

**ACTION:** *The CPC requests access to the audit document which lists and maps the trees being removed for the WHTBL and WFU.*

**MOTION:** *That the CPC requests that Council expresses significant concern to TfNSW about the potential loss of trees, and requests that TfNSW urgently review their plans to remove trees, and that any trees removed are replaced at a ratio of 2 to 1 with mature trees rather than saplings.*

**MOVED BY:** BS (Co-convenor/Lavender Bay) **SECONDED BY:** CH (Brightmore)

**UNANIMOUS**

In favour	Opposed	Abstain
Brightmore x1 Lavender Bay x1 Milson x1 Neutral x1 Parks x1 Union x1 Waverton x1 Willoughby Bay x1		Harrison x1
<b>Total: 8</b>	<b>Total: 0</b>	<b>Total: 1</b>

### iv. Precinct agendas

JC (Milson) expressed concern at the requirement to submit agendas/flyer to Council no later than 5 days before the Precinct meeting. JG (NSC) advised such practice already occurs, Council usually prints flyers/agendas for all but two Committees at which time we review each proposed agenda

and discuss potential changes/additions as necessary with the office bearers prior to printing. The requirement as advised via the memorandum dated 5 August 2021 is consistent with that oversight. Encouraging the agenda compilation earlier ensures the minimum meeting notice period is met per the current Guidelines. Similarly, Council can coordinate staff speaker and information requests in a timely manner. This should not be viewed negatively but as a way for Council to understand and support the issues that are important to Committees, and it gives Council a prompt ensure your SOA is provided ahead of your meeting.

#### **v. Heritage Reviews**

CC (Harrison) noted that Randwick City Council has undertaken a LGA-wide heritage review<sup>7</sup> to identify new places that they could include in heritage listings. It was noted that if Council did something similar it could be more proactive in arguing about heritage significance for individual DAs. JC (Milson) noted that Harrison Precinct had distributed the document with reference to two DAs on Holt Street to stimulate discussion on recommending that Council reviews the heritage status of the properties; Coogee Precinct Committee's recommendation that a particular house was heritage listed was subsequently adopted by Randwick City Council.

#### **8. Proposed remaining CPC meeting dates for 2021<sup>8</sup>**

The following future meeting dates were agreed subject to confirmation by Council:

- Tuesday 19 October 2021 (7pm start due to Cremorne Streetscape Committee prior)
- Tuesday 15 February 2022 - AGM<sup>9</sup>

BS (Co-convenor/Lavender Bay) noted that holding a meeting in December 2021 was not practical due to the Council election period and that if any urgent matters arise, an adhoc meeting could be held.

The meeting closed 8.40pm.

---

<sup>7</sup> [Heritage review | Your Say Randwick \(nsw.gov.au\)](https://www.nsw.gov.au/your-say/heritage-review)

<sup>8</sup> At the June 2021 CPC meeting it was raised that the time between the scheduled 17 August 2021 and 16 November 2021 meeting is too long. However, the postponement of Elections (to 4 December 2021) affects the ability to hold a Precincts/Councillor Supper event in November 2021 and AGM in December 2021 (election results may not be available until 23 December and some Precinct members may be running as candidates). Note: Council will commence caretaker mode on 5 November 2021; holding a CPC meeting at this time may not result in any actionable items. CPC meetings are generally not held in December/January.

<sup>9</sup> Formulated on 2021 meeting schedule, no Precincts meet on third Tuesday of the month.