

**North Sydney Combined Precincts Committee (CPC)**  
**General Meeting**  
**7.00pm start**  
**Tuesday 18 February 2020**  
**Ros Crichton Pavilion, North Sydney Council**

---

**GENERAL MEETING MINUTES**

Co-convenor presiding: IG (CPC Co-Convenor/Waverton)

**Present:** BE (Bay); PW (Bay); PM (Bay/Interim Co-Convenor); JHC (Bennett); CH (Brightmore); MTJ (Brightmore); JC (Edward); DW (Hayberry); MDS (Harrison); GS (Hayberry); JM (Holtermann); LT (Holtermann); BS (Lavender Bay); JC (Milson); EC (Neutral); GC (Neutral); SM (Parks); MA (Registry); GT (Registry); JB (Registry); KH (Union); IG (Waverton/Co-Convenor); PM (Willoughby Bay); CE (Wollstonecraft); and JH (Wollstonecraft).

**Also present:** JG, Manager Integrated Planning & Special Projects (North Sydney Council); and GN, Community Engagement Coordinator (North Sydney Council).

**Apologies:** BC (Bennett); KC (Harrison); IC (Lavender Bay); MdS (Registry); ID (Union); MC (Union); PM (Willoughby Bay); LT (Willoughby Bay); JH (Wollstonecraft).

**1. Introductions and Apologies**

IG (Co-convenor/Waverton) opened the meeting at 7.05pm.

**2. Minutes of 19 November 2019**

***MOTION:*** *That the minutes of the meeting held on 19 November 2019 are confirmed as read and correct.*

***MOVED BY:*** *JC (Milson), *SECONDED BY:* DW (Hayberry)*

***CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY***

**3. Council's Reply to Previous Minutes (Summary of Actions) - ATTACHMENT 1**

The Summary of Actions (SOA) responding to the CPC Minutes of November 2019 was tabled at the meeting. The Chair noted that all motions/actions had been responded to, however CPC representatives require time to digest and assess responses to provided, in particular Item 4.

i. Item 3 - Construction Traffic Management Plans

- JC (Edward) noted initial dissatisfaction with Council's response (that CTMPs are available on request); and reminded the meeting of the origin of this item, originating from an Edward Precinct member impacted by truck movements (for purposes of time limits etc.) without notification of the associated works.
- JC (Milson) gave example of Loreto Kirribilli's change to CTMP during construction.
- JC (Milson) suggested that Council should condition the developer to inform neighbouring properties. JG (NSC) drew attention to Council's related response to the CPC's October

2019 minutes, that it is a condition of all CTMP approvals and Council permits that builders are required to notify nearby residents and businesses of works which may affect them (page 10 of 22).

- It was suggested that impacted residential streets along route should be advised in advance of heavy vehicle movements. Council should see the notification that is being sent to the distribution zone. Sydney Trains is a good notification example e.g. advising of railway works.
- BS (Lavender Bay) suggested it worth trialling the on-request approach, noting it may prove more administratively burdensome to Council than adding CTMPs to the website.

***MOTION: That CPC advocates that the CTMP applicant is responsible for notifying of approved heavy vehicle movements that will impact on residential streets. The CPC suggests that Sydney Trains model of railway works is used, which advises people of works occurring nearby and timeframes.***

***MOVED BY: JC (Edward), SECONDED BY: (Milson)***

***CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY***

ii. Item 4 - Special Rate Variation (SRV)

The meeting discussed:

- the reason for Council's current rating split (60% residential/40% business), while it is understood to be historical, the reasoning has not been explained. Some members felt it may be so that North Sydney remains competitive on the business market.
- it was questioned whether the growth in population and properties was factored into the SRV modelling, and the decision to maintain the 60/40 split.
- it was agreed that more information is required from Council regarding its rates over the next 5 to 10 years - Long Term Financial Plan modelling inclusive of the rating split.

***ACTION: Council's response to Item 4 SRV - Add to the agenda for CPC meeting on 28 April 2020.***

***ACTION: PM (Willoughby Bay) and JC (Milson) to formulate questions for discuss at the next CPC meeting.***

#### **4. Election of vacant co-convenor position**

JG (NSC) acted as returning officer, declared one (1) Co-convenor position vacant and called for nominations; as none were received prior. BS (Lavender Bay) was nominated by JC (Milson), seconded by JB (Registry). BS (Lavender Bay) accepted the nomination. No other nominations were received and there were no objections, therefore BS (Lavender Bay) was declared Co-convenor [with IG (Waverton) as nominated in November 2019].

***MOTION: That CPC give vote of thanks to PM (Bay) for his time as CPC Co-Convenor from November 2018 to February 2020.***

***MOVED BY: GT (Registry), SECONDED BY: IG (Waverton/CPC Co-Convenor)***

***CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY***

*KH (Union) left the meeting.*

#### **5. Future Direction/Scope CPC - Interactive small groups session**

***ACTION: Deferred to 28 April 2020 CPC meeting***

## 6. General Business

*SM (Parks) joined the meeting.*

- i) **WHTBL (Parks)**  
Refer to background information (Parks) **ATTACHMENT 2**  
Refer to background information (Wollstonecraft) **ATTACHMENT 3**

SM (Parks) noted that the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Western Harbour Tunnel (WHT) and Warringah Expressway Upgrade had been released since the request to place this item on the agenda.

Discussion from the floor as to the key issues/concerns for Precinct Committees included:

- traffic network impacts - surface traffic, residential impacts, CBD impacts (how to access CBD), concern over the ‘flipping’ of traffic at Falcon Street and pedestrian access through the middle of the traffic lanes;
- bus re-routing during both construction and permanent phases (including B-line);
- how does this impact on Council's 10kmh/40kmh shared zones strategy/action plan implementation?;
- safety - learn from B-line construction issues;
- foregoing major investment in public transport;
- health impacts - including stack filtration;
- losing more than 3ha of open space in a high-density area, during both construction and permanent phases, inclusive of parking for construction workers;
- single largest site: motorway facilities buildings in Cammeray Park are 8m high - residents need to be made aware of visual impact (by way of height poles and boundary markers);
- cutting of public pathway/connection in Cammeray Park;
- loss of dog run between The Greens and freeway;
- proposed cantilever over Alfred St (impacting Merlin Park 500sqm);
- there should be no net loss of open space e.g. a land bridge could be built into the design - cut and cover to create new connected green space;
- cost to Council to take over/reinstate green space/landscaping in open space reverted back to Council care and control;
- landscaping needs to be conditioned - artist impressions not realistic of finished product;
- encourage Precinct Committees to identify the "nice things" we can get as compensation, need to ask for these to be conditioned by the Minister into the development; and
- what will happen to Cammeray dam/Council's water reuse plant?

Bay Precinct Committee’s request for Council to erect height poles had been denied; encouraged to request the proponent to provide such. It was suggested that Council should erect height poles ASAP to avoid delays/can't assume RMS will grant such request. Registry Precinct Committee recommend erecting signage on Ernest Street so they can be seen by passing traffic as opposed to within Golf Club where only seen by members.

It was noted that TfNSW information session at Norths, Cammeray on 20 February 2020 is the only session where a health expert is available.

GT (Registry) promoted the Special Precincts Meeting to be held Wednesday 26 February 2020, from 6.30pm in the Hutley Hall. This meeting is jointly hosted by Registry, Bay, Hayberry and Waverton Precinct Committees. All welcome to attend. GT (Registry) also tabled a summary

document prepared by Registry Precinct Committee to assist the community with making a submission to the EIS.

IG (Waverton/Co-Convenor) highlighted that the State Government considers submission that are exactly the same as one submission, therefore it is important that a 'form' submission is not used.

PW (Bay) highlighted the importance of having well organised community views and a clear position in which to approach objection. He tabled modelling images prepared by Bay Precinct Committee visually showing the impact of the motorway facilities building to be constructed in Cammeray Park; suggesting there is the option to put these facilities underground (as has been done at Rozelle for West Connex).

GT (Registry) conveyed that the need to identify and request public benefits such as providing connections/over freeway greenspace, but such would need to be conditioned by the Minister.

*GS (Hayberry) and LT (Holtermann) left the meeting.*

***MOTION 1: That the CPC:***

- ***request that North Sydney Council oppose the WHT project as it is currently proposed within the EIS due to:***
  - ***reduction of green open space (particularly 3 hectares in Cammeray Park);***
  - ***loss of connectivity and increased traffic throughout the whole of the North Sydney LGA and;***
  - ***air pollution through increased traffic and unfiltered stacks***
- ***writes to the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces, to the Premier and local state members on behalf of all Precinct Committees requesting an extension of time to Tuesday 12 May 2020;***
- ***advises all Precinct Committees to encourage residents to write to the department and the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces and the Premier to ask for an extension of time and local member;***
- ***request North Sydney Council to provide to a meeting of all Precincts a presentation on the WHT as it affects the North Sydney local government area;***
- ***request Council mark the boundary of the green space lost and erect height poles/helium balloons (within 10 days) at the Cammeray Park and Golf Course site, indicative of the loss of space which will be caused by the motorway facilities buildings; and***
- ***request North Sydney Council erects information signs at Ernest Street regarding traffic impacts and submission closing date of 12 March 2020.***

***MOVED BY: JH (Wollstonecraft), SECONDED BY: JC (Edward)***

***CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY***

***MOTION 2: That the CPC requests that Council promote the view that there should be a mass public transport system researched in detail as an alternative to the WHT and related Beaches Link proposal.***

***MOVED BY: JC (Edward), SECONDED BY: JC (Milson)***

***CARRIED***

<b>In favour</b>	<b>Opposed</b>	<b>Abstained</b>
Bay x2 Bennett x1 Brightmore x2 Edward x1 Harrison x1 Hayberry x1 Lavender Bay x1 Milson x1 Neutral x2 Parks x1 Registry x2 Waverton x1 Willoughby Bay x1 Wollstonecraft x2		Holtermann x 1
<b>Total: 19</b>	<b>Total: 0</b>	<b>Total: 1</b>

***ACTION:*** That Council provide a venue for interested Precinct Committee members to meet from 6pm on 2 March 2020 to discuss response to the EIS for WHT and Warringah Freeway Upgrade.

*JHC (Bennett) left the meeting.*

- ii) **Young Street Plaza Trial Closure Update (Parks)**

***ACTION:*** Deferred to 28 April 2020 CPC meeting

- iii) **Council Ward Boundaries - where to from here (Brightmore, Parks, Wollstonecraft)**  
Refer to background information (Wollstonecraft ATTACHMENT 4) and (Brightmore ATTACHMENT 5)

JH (Wollstonecraft) highlighted discussion arising from a recent Wollstonecraft Precinct Committee meeting attended by Cr Mutton and Cr Baker. The outcome was that while Council's decision to change the Wards for the 2020 election could not be changed, it is possible to advocate for change in future elections.

***MOTION 1:*** That the CPC requests that Council endorse a referendum to be held contemporaneously with the 2020 elections for North Sydney LGA to determine that;

- a. *the number of Wards be three (3);*
- b. *the Wards be named Tunks, Victoria and Wollstonecraft and the boundaries be the same as existed at the 2017 election, adjusted only to ensure that the number of eligible voters in each Ward does not vary by more than 10%;*
- c. *the number of elected Councillors be three (3) per Ward and total nine (9); and*
- d. *of the total number of Councillors elected, one of them will be elected by the Councillors to be Mayor.*

***MOVED BY:*** JH (Wollstonecraft), ***SECONDED BY:*** GC (Neutral)  
***CARRIED***

<b>In favour</b>	<b>Opposed</b>	<b>Abstained</b>
Brightmore x2 Edward x1 Harrison x1 Hayberry x1 Holtermann x1 Lavender Bay x1 Milson x1 Neutral x2 Parks x1 Registry x2 Waverton x1 Willoughby Bay x1 Wollstonecraft x2		Bay x2
<b>Total: 17</b>	<b>Total: 0</b>	<b>Total: 2</b>

CH (Brightmore) outlined that the additional proposed motion was about the timing of the Ward boundaries consultation, that it was held during a time in which the community was less available (Christmas/School holidays), thus excluding considered by Precinct Committees and that no Public Forum was permitted prior to either of the Extraordinary Council Meetings.

**MOTION 2: The CPC requests that if Council feel it necessary conduct consultation over the extended holiday period (Christmas/Summer break) it should be extended to allow the wider community and Precinct System to sit, appraise and respond to the issues raised in that ECM.**

**MOTION 3: The CPC requests that all Council and Extraordinary Council Meetings should have a public forum held beforehand.**

**MOVED BY: JC (Milson), SECONDED BY: GC (Neutral)  
CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

iv) **Precincts E-news** (Edward)

Refer to background information **ATTACHMENT 6**

*Proposed Motion: Council should follow a system to convert Precincts E-News to MS Word or pdf format so that this can be forwarded to Precinct residents if required by Precinct Committees, retaining all the E-News links.*

**ACTION: Deferred to 28 April 2020 CPC meeting**

v) **Traffic conditions on Military Road Cremorne** (Harrison)

Report on meeting with Member for North Shore, NSC Mayor, RMS representative and representatives from Harrison, Brightmore and Willoughby Bay Precinct Committees. **ATTACHMENT 7**

*Proposed Motion: That the CPC:*

- a. *acknowledge the site meeting summary report that was sent to the Mayor and Member for Northshore following their attendance.*
- b. *convey thanks to the Mayor, Jilly Gibson, the local member, Felicity Wilson, and the RMS representative, Peter Carruthers, for attending and listening to the concerns of the resident representatives who were present on 3 December 2019 from Harrison, Brightmore and Willoughby Bay Precinct Committees.*
- c. *request the North Sydney Traffic Committee provide an update to discussions between the Traffic Unit of North Sydney Police Area Command and the Electorate Office regarding speeding and red-light enforcement operations*

on Military Road, in particular near Cabramatta Road and Spofforth Street:  
and

- d. requests the North Sydney Traffic Committee to consider investigating the other solutions suggested by the Precinct representatives at the 3 December 2019 meeting and detailed in the site meeting report, including, but not limited to, placing road markings on the road surface to deter vehicles including buses from blocking the intersection at Spofforth and Military Road and the pedestrian crossing on Military Road, near Cabramatta Road.

***ACTION: Deferred to 28 April 2020 CPC meeting***

**7. Council update: GN, Community Engagement Coordinator (NSC)**

- i) Precinct System Review - next steps
- ii) Ideas for celebration of 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of Precinct System
- iii) Induction/Office Bearer Training - 24 March 2020
- iv) Current consultations/documents on exhibition

***ACTION: Deferred to 28 April 2020 CPC meeting***

**8. Other items - to be tabled at the meeting**

- i. Information about development proposals and improvement of public facilities in Berrys Bay (Union)  
Refer to December email to all Precinct Committees **ATTACHMENT 8**
- ii. Feedback on the effectiveness of the NYE crowd control policies and the viewing of the Fireworks 2019/2020 (Union)
- iii. Metro developments - Progress with the tunnel boring and removal of waste materials (Union)
- iv. NSW Seniors Festival 2020 - activities/celebrations in North Sydney (Union)  
*See program information on Council's website*  
[https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Community\\_Services/Aged\\_Access/Seniors\\_Festival](https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Community_Services/Aged_Access/Seniors_Festival)
- v. Council response to the bush fire season. ... Support for or relationship with local government areas that were directly impacted by the fires. (Union) *See further information on Council's website*  
[https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Council\\_Meetings/Council\\_News/NSW\\_Bushfires\\_-\\_how\\_you\\_can\\_help](https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Council_Meetings/Council_News/NSW_Bushfires_-_how_you_can_help)

***ACTION: Deferred items 8i, 8ii, 8iii and 8v to 28 April 2020 CPC meeting***

**Meeting closed at 9.58pm.**

## Summary of Actions Arising (SOA) 2019 - Combined Precincts Committee

This document is North Sydney Council's response to actions arising from the Combined Precincts Committee Minutes. On receipt of the minutes, actions are numbered and allocated to relevant Council Officers for response. To effectively manage and provide clarity, all actions are allocated a status when reporting to Precinct Committees in response to their minutes. There are four categories applicable to the Combined Precincts Committee:

- **Pending** - action allotted to Council Officer; response awaited.
- **Open/Ongoing** - action allocated to Council Officer, initial response provided. Matter ongoing and further response/update to be provided at later date.
- **Closed for Council** - Council either completed related works/tasks or has taken all reasonable steps within its power to resolve issue (for example when matter is beyond its jurisdiction). If the Combined Precincts Committee feels Council can take further steps, they can request Council reopen action.
- **Noted** - noted by the responsible Council officer; response from Council not required.

Questions or concerns re content of this document should be directed to Council's Community Engagement Coordinator via [precincts@northsydney.nsw.gov.au](mailto:precincts@northsydney.nsw.gov.au).

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
November	3	<p>Action: Council to confirm whether Construction Traffic Management Plans (CTMP) approved under delegated authority, and listed at the following Traffic Committee meeting, are available online (either in the Traffic Committee agenda or minutes, or on the relevant Development Application page in Council's DA Tracker)? If not, why not?</p> <p>Note: relates to item 7ai. October 2019</p>	<p>Council's Manager Traffic and Transport Operations has advised that the CTMPs approved under delegated authority are not published online. Items approved under delegated authority, including a copy of the full CTMP are forwarded by email to the voting members of the Traffic Committee - RMS, Police and local State Member for their consideration. The Delegated Authority report in the Traffic Committee agenda contains a summary of the items that have been approved under delegated authority to the Traffic Engineer. This reduces time to compile the Traffic Committee agenda and saves on printing costs. Residents/Precinct Committees can request copies of approved CTMPs by emailing <a href="mailto:council@northsydney.nsw.gov.au">council@northsydney.nsw.gov.au</a> or <a href="https://northsydneycouncil.transactcentral.com/workspace/servlet/SmartForm.html?formCode=informalaccesstonort">https://northsydneycouncil.transactcentral.com/workspace/servlet/SmartForm.html?formCode=informalaccesstonort</a></p>	Closed for Council



Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
	4	<p>Motion: CPC requests North Sydney Council to advise:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Has the Residential Ad Valorem Rate for 2019/20 increased by 10.01% on the 2018/19 rate?</li> <li>2. Has the Business Ad Valorem Rate for 2019/20 increased by 3.75% on the 2018/19 rate?</li> <li>3. Has the Total Residential Rate Pool for 2019/20 increased by 8.21% on the 2018/19 rate, and if not 8.21% what % increase?</li> <li>4. Has the Total Business Rate Pool for 2019/20 increased by 3.87% on the 2018/19 rate, and if not 3.87% what % increase?</li> <li>5. Apart from it being included in the detailed spreadsheets appended to North Sydney Council's IPART submission (Application Part A); where did North Sydney Council communicate the fact that a decision was made within Council that the Business Ad Valorem Rate would increase by 3.75% and the Residential Ad Valorem Rate would increase by 10.01%?</li> <li>6. North Sydney Council's IPART application modelling suggests that within Council a decision was made for the Total Residential Rate Pool to increase by 8.21% compared with a 3.87% increase in the Total Business Rate Pool. What was the basis of the Council decision to not maintain parity between the residential and business rate pools and how was that decision communicated to ratepayers, other than in the detailed spreadsheets appended to North Sydney Council's IPART submission (Application Part A)?</li> </ol>	<p>Council's Manager Financial Services has advised that Council's 2019/20 rates have been set based on raising total rates equivalent to that raised in 2018/19 plus 7% in accordance with the IPART approved special rate variation (SRV), while maintaining the target 60% residential/40% business split.</p> <p>The actual Ad Valorem adjustment to achieve the above outcome is impacted by a number of factors, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the quantum and number of properties on the minimum rate;</li> <li>• the quantum raised by fixed value levies;</li> <li>• adjustments to valuations of individual properties within the combined local government area;</li> <li>• changes in the composition/classification of properties in line with use; and</li> <li>• alterations to the number of non-rateable properties or reclassification from rateable to non-rateable and vice versa.</li> </ul> <p>The total value of rates revenue raised by Council is independently audited annually, under the direction of the NSW Audit Office, to ensure compliance with "permissible (Rates) Yield" consistent with the IPART determination.</p> <p>Answers to the Precinct Committee's specific questions are provided below. These should be interpreted in context of the above. The rate impact on individual properties will vary dependent on the factors outlined</p>	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		<p>7. What is the explanation for the Total Residential Rate Pool for 2019/20 being increased by 8.21% and not the 7% increase that was communicated to residents?</p> <p>8. What is the impact on residential ratepayers of the non-application of rate increase balance between the Total Residential Rate Pool and the Total Business Rate Pool over the 3-year period 2019/22 of the IPART approval?</p> <p>9. Does Council intend to amend future rate increases in 2020/22 to restore the 2018/19 balance between the Total Residential Rate Pool and the Total Business Rate Pool, and if not, why not?</p>	<p>above notwithstanding that the total rates revenue raised by Council is in accordance with the approved rate cap (rate peg).</p> <p>1. <b><i>Has the Residential Ad Valorem Rate for 2019/20 increased by 10.01% on the 2018/19 rate?</i></b> The adopted rating structure for Residential Ad Valorem Rate increased by 9.5%.</p> <p>This increase (in part) was the result of changes in the applicable rateable assessments NOT on the minimum rate. The actual total number of Residential rateable assessments (as per the 2019/20 rates levy) increased by 1.68% from 8,431 assessments in 2018/19 to 8,573 assessments in 2019/20.</p> <p>2. <b><i>Has the Business Ad Valorem Rate for 2019/20 increased by 3.75% on the 2018/19 rate?</i></b> The adopted rating structure for Business Ad Valorem Rate increased by 3.9%.</p> <p>Business Ad Valorem rateable properties decreased in numbers from 2,435 in 2018/19 to 2,370 in 2019/20. Rates income derived from business assessments is 40% of the total rates revenue, thus the SRV increase impacts on the rate in the dollar, resulting in the increase.</p> <p>3. <b><i>Has the Total Residential Rate Pool for 2019/20 increased by 8.21% on the 2018/19 rate, and if not 8.21% what % increase?</i></b> The 8.21% increase</p>	

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>is based on Residential Average Rate and not Total Rate. The Total Residential Rate increased by 9.1% based on the adopted rating structures.</p> <p>4. <i>Has the Total Business Rate Pool for 2019/20 increased by 3.87% on the 2018/19 rate, and if not 3.87% what % increase?</i> The 3.87% increase is based on Business Average Rate and not Total Rate. The Total Business Rate increased by 8.3% based on the adopted rating structures.</p> <p>5. <i>Apart from it being included in the detailed spreadsheets appended to North Sydney Council's IPART submission (Application Part A); where did North Sydney Council communicate the fact that a decision was made within Council that the Business Ad Valorem Rate would increase by 3.75% and the Residential Ad Valorem Rate would increase by 10.01%?</i> Council only reported on Total Income and indicative Average increases.</p> <p>All information that was presented or distributed to the community provided indicative impacts of the introduction of a SRV and included the impacts on the minimum rates and the average increases of both residential and business rates, based on land values (as supplied by Valuer General)</p> <p>Ad Valorem calculations were excluded from the community information as this is dependent upon</p>	

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>the number of properties NOT associated with minimum rates.</p> <p>6. <b><i>Council's IPART application modelling suggests that within Council a decision was made for the Total Residential Rate Pool to increase by 8.21% compared with a 3.87% increase in the Total Business Rate Pool. What was the basis of the Council decision to not maintain parity between the residential and business rate pools and how was that decision communicated to ratepayers, other than in the detailed spreadsheets appended to Council's IPART submission (Application Part A)?</i></b> Parity between Residential and Business ratepayers was maintained in terms of the SRV percentage the increase was applicable to both categories and the total income split was unchanged from Council's 60/40 allocation.</p> <p>7. <b><i>What is the explanation for the Total Residential Rate Pool for 2019/20 being increased by 8.21% and not the 7% increase that was communicated to residents?</i></b> 8.21% increase is based on Average rates and excludes levies.</p> <p>The 8.21% excludes the environmental and infrastructure levies which must be included in the ordinary rates calculations. The indicative average residential rate increase is 8.07%.</p> <p>The 7% SRV increase is applied to the total ordinary rates revenue (60% residential and 40%</p>	

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>business) and is allocated accordingly, taking into account land values and the minimum rate threshold.</p> <p>As indicated in response to questions 1 and 2, the number of assessments in each category ultimately impacts the total rates allocation.</p> <p>8. <i>What is the impact on residential ratepayers of the non-application of rate increase balance between the Total Residential Rate Pool and the Total Business Rate Pool over the 3-year period 2019/22 of the IPART approval?</i></p> <p>Based on the SRV application, 9.13% increase is the cumulative increase over the three years. This response has been superseded by the following.</p> <p>The average ordinary and special rates (levies) combined is the total rates pool, as per the SRV application form Part A, have the following increases:</p> <p>Year 1 (2019/20)  Residential annual increase 8.07%  Residential cumulative increase 8.07%  Business annual increase 3.86%%  Business cumulative increase 3.86%</p> <p>Year 2 (2020/21)  Residential annual increase 7.07%  Residential cumulative increase 15.71%</p>	

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>Business annual increase 7.15%% Business cumulative increase 11.29%</p> <p>Year 3 (2021/22) Residential annual increase 7.06% Residential cumulative increase 23.88% Business annual increase 6.89%% Business cumulative increase 18.96%</p> <p>9. <b><i>Does Council intend to amend future rate increases in 2020/22 to restore the 2018/19 balance between the Total Residential Rate Pool and the Total Business Rate Pool, and if not, why not?</i></b> Beyond the SRV period, the cumulative increase in the total rate income will be applied to the allowable rate peg and distributed between Residential/Business split.</p>	
	6i	Action: Council to schedule meeting between JC (Edward) and Council's IT Manager [re: request for Council email address]	Meeting occurred 10 December 2019. Email address request to be considered as part of the Precinct System Review in context of consideration to other resources provided to Precinct Committees.	Closed for Council
October	3i.	<p>Motion: That the CPC request that North Sydney Council, consistent with its Transport Vision, work closely with State Government authorities, with the NSW Police, with the many schools in the area and with local residents and businesses to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- develop and implement a strategic plan to increase pedestrian safety within North Sydney's unique "education precincts" and other high pedestrian areas in the LGA;</li> </ul>	<p>Council's Traffic and Transport Engineer provided advice as per below on each of the points within the motion;</p> <p><b><i>- develop and implement a strategic plan to increase pedestrian safety within North Sydney's unique "education precincts" and other high pedestrian areas in the LGA;</i></b></p> <p>One of the key objectives of the draft 40km/h and 10km/h Shared Zone Masterplan is to improve pedestrian safety</p>	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- adopt the most successful elements of the Sydney CBD designation of "high pedestrian zones";</li> <li>- work with school principals and parents to reduce the number of children arriving and leaving the area by car in recognition of the excellent public transport links to the area and the construction of the new Metro line; and</li> <li>- work with the NSW Police to achieve greater compliance with the road rules, especially in relation to failure to stop at pedestrian crossings and to come to a complete stop at "Stop" signs;</li> <li>- Designate all North Sydney "education precincts" as "high pedestrian zones."</li> </ul>	<p>and amenity in the North Sydney LGA including areas around schools and other high pedestrian activities areas.</p> <p>Additionally, Council has developed and adopted other strategic plans with the similar objectives including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Education Precinct Public Domain Masterplan 2013</li> <li>• Education Precinct Urban Design Study 2013</li> <li>• North Sydney Transport Strategy 2017</li> <li>• North Sydney Traffic &amp; Parking Strategy 2015</li> <li>• North Sydney Local Traffic Management Action Plans (LATM) 2017</li> <li>• Road Safety Action Plan 2019-2020 (underway)</li> </ul> <p><i>- adopt the most successful elements of the Sydney CBD designation of "high pedestrian zones";</i> Council will adopt current best practice and latest traffic engineering and design guidelines when preparing and developing detailed proposals for each high pedestrian activity areas for consideration and approval by RMS.</p> <p><i>- work with school principals and parents to reduce the number of children arriving and leaving the area by car in recognition of the excellent public transport links to the area and the construction of the new Metro line;</i> It has been included in Councils adopted Local Area Traffic Management (LATM) Action Plans to work closely with all the schools to develop a School Travel Plan including Traffic Management Plan to reduce vehicle use and minimise the negative impacts on road network, parking and amenity. A recent example would</p>	

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>be the Shore School re-development where a Green Travel Plan was prepared as part of the planning approval process. Similarly, Green Travel Plans are being prepared by St Aloysius and Loreto Schools in collaboration with Council as part of their school re-development proposals.</p> <p><i>- work with the NSW Police to achieve greater compliance with the road rules, especially in relation to failure to stop at pedestrian crossings and to come to a complete stop at "Stop" signs;</i></p> <p>Council works closely with the Northshore Local Area Command on a daily basis and through regular meetings of Local Traffic Committee to address non-compliance issues including illegal driver behaviour at pedestrian crossings and Stop signs.</p> <p><i>- Designate all North Sydney "education precincts" as a "high pedestrian zones."</i></p> <p>RMS has established guidelines and criteria for the designation of High Pedestrian Activity Area Zones. The criteria do not just look at education precincts but the land uses and other pedestrian activity generators. The draft 40km/h and 10km/h Shared Zone Masterplan has covered most of the areas around schools and education institutions that are suitable for establishing a high pedestrian zone based on the RMS guidelines. Council will continue to review all the "education precincts" areas to identify further opportunities for expanding the high pedestrian activity zones.</p>	
	7ai.	Motion: If traffic management plans are proposed by Council which allow heavy vehicles involved in	Council Manager Traffic and Transport Operations has advised that most construction sites require the use of	Closed for Council



Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		<p>demolition and building construction to use residential streets, the draft plan should be provided to precincts for review prior to approval, to ensure that residents' amenity is not seriously eroded.</p>	<p>heavy vehicles for delivery of equipment and materials, and removal of spoil from the site.</p> <p>Council imposes strict conditions requiring a Construction Traffic Management Plan for major and difficult sites to ensure construction traffic and works within the road reserve are managed safely and to minimise impacts on pedestrians, road users and local residents/businesses as much as possible.</p> <p>Currently Council receives on average 36 Construction Traffic Management Plans (CTMP) each year. In addition, Council assesses hundreds of permits for works within the road reserve each year. Often the CTMPs involve multiple iterations and reviews by Council engineers to achieve acceptable outcomes prior to approval. In assessing CTMPs Council engineers consider the heavy vehicle routes which provide the most direct access to the arterial road network to minimize impacts on pedestrians, residents and businesses as much as possible. Council engineers also take into consideration turning paths and existing load limits on proposed routes.</p> <p>As a condition of all CTMP approvals and Council permits, builders are required to notify nearby residents and businesses of works which may affect them. It is not feasible for Council to consult with the community regarding each CTMP due to limited staff resources and the current service levels for assessment and approval of the CTMP to satisfy the DA conditions.</p>	

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
	7aii.	Motion: That CPC requests Council ask the RMS to engage with stakeholders (residents, cyclists, pedestrians, CPC, local Precinct Committees) before coming up with another plan for design, approval and construction of the cycle runoff at the northern end of the bridge cycleway. North Sydney Council to push for implementation as soon as possible.	<p>Council's Director Engineering and Property Services has advised that Council staff will forward the resolution of the CPC to the project manager at the RMS and emphasise that appropriate stakeholder consultation be undertaken as part of any further development on this project.</p> <p><b>UPDATE (DECEMBER 2019)</b>  At its meeting held 25 November 2019, Council endorsed the recommendations of the Sustainable Transport Reference group meeting held 11 November 2019 relating to this matter:</p> <p><i>5. That Council write to the RMS requesting that a new assessment of the potential options relating to the northern runoff from the harbour bridge cycleway is undertaken as soon as possible, involving consultations with all stakeholders. (6.5)</i></p> <p><i>6. That a stakeholder workshop is held under the Sustainable Transport Reference Group to further develop the concept and support the Council's proposal to the State Government. (6.5)</i></p>	Closed for Council
August	2.	Action: Council to distribute Coal Loader calendar of events to all Precinct Committees when available.	<p>Council's A/Coal Loader Centre Co-ordinator has advised that the current approved schedule of events is available on Council's website  <a href="https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Waste_Environment/The_Coal_Loader/Coal_Loader_Events">https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Waste_Environment/The_Coal_Loader/Coal_Loader_Events</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Spring Sounds on the Platform - 12 October 2019 (3pm - 9pm)</li> <li>• Sunset Sessions Pop-Up Bar - first Sunday each month until 2 August 2020 (1pm - 8pm)</li> </ul>	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coal Loader Tours - first Saturday each month until 1 August 2020 (10.30am - 11.30am)</li> <li>• Wednesday Wellness @ The Coal Loader - every Wednesday until 18 December (7.00am - 7.45am)</li> <li>• Artisans Market - last Sunday in February, May August and November. Next market 24 November (4pm - 9pm)</li> </ul> <p>E-news updates are provided quarterly. It is recommended that individuals subscribe to the Coal Loader E-news on Council's website  <a href="https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Council_Meetings/Council_News/E-news">https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Council_Meetings/Council_News/E-news</a></p>	
	2.	Action: Refer the suggestion of a digital signage/noticeboard at the Coal Loader promoting the upcoming events and workshops there, to the Open Space and Environmental Services Division for consideration.	<p>Referred to Council's Manager Environmental Services and A/Coal Loader Centre Co-ordinator for consideration.</p> <p><b>UPDATE (FEBRUARY 2020)</b>  A digital screen has been installed internally at the Coal Loader, awaiting IT configuration.</p> <p>Installation of external signage is being considered for several locations in the LGA and subject to budget allocation in Council's next Delivery Program (2021/22 - 2024/25).</p>	Closed for Council
	6ai.	Motion 1: That the CPC note: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a vehicle that hits a pedestrian at 50km/h is twice as likely to cause a fatality as the same vehicle travelling at 40km/h;</li> <li>- more than 10,000 young people, most from outside this Local Government Area, travel by public and private transport to North Sydney to attend</li> </ul>	Council's Manager Traffic and Transport Operations has advised that at its meeting held 26 August 2019 Council resolved: <p><i>1. THAT the "draft" 40km/h and 10km/h Shared Zone Masterplan and Action Plan be put on public exhibition for 60 days in accordance with Council's Community Engagement Protocols.</i></p>	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		<p>educational institutions throughout the academic year, and many children attend pre-schools in the area;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- as local educational grounds are nowadays given over almost entirely to buildings to accommodate more students, students leave those grounds regularly during the day and cross busy roads to avail of open spaces and Council sporting facilities such as St Leonards Park;</li> <li>- there is a need to balance the promotion of active transport with the overriding responsibility to provide a safe environment for school children and other pedestrians;</li> <li>- there has been a 46% fall in pedestrians suffering serious injuries from crashes since the introduction of a 40km/h zone in parts of the Sydney CBD in 2014 and from August 11, 2019 the area within the Sydney CBD covered by a 40km/h limit was increased by a further 75%</li> </ul>	<p><i>2. THAT should Council receive submissions, a further report be prepared for Council's consideration. Should Council receive no submissions, Council consider the "40km/h and 10km/h Shared Zone Masterplan and Action Plan" as adopted at the end of the closing period for submissions.</i></p> <p>The Draft Masterplan and Action Plan can be viewed on Council website  <a href="https://yoursay.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/draft-40kmh-and-10kmh-zones">https://yoursay.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/draft-40kmh-and-10kmh-zones</a> or in person at Council's Customer Service Centre or Stanton Library. Feedback closes 5 November 2019.</p>	
	6ai.	<p>PROPOSED MOTION 2: That the CPC request that North Sydney Council, consistent with its Transport Vision, work closely with State Government authorities, with the NSW Police, with the many schools in the area and with local residents and businesses to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- develop and implement a strategic plan to increase pedestrian safety within North Sydney's unique education precinct and other high pedestrian areas in the LGA;</li> <li>- adopt the most successful elements of the Sydney CBD designation of "high pedestrian zones";</li> <li>- work with school principals and parents to reduce the number of children arriving and leaving the area by</li> </ul>	<p>Added to agenda for CPC meeting to be held 21 October 2019. Refer to above response.</p>	Ongoing

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		<p>car in recognition of the excellent public transport links to the area and the construction of the new Metro line; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- work with the NSW Police to achieve greater compliance with the road rules, especially in relation to failure to stop at pedestrian crossings and to come to a complete stop at "Stop" signs."</li> <li>- Designate the entire North Sydney education precinct as a "high pedestrian zone" and imposing at 30km speed limit between 7.30am - 4.30pm 5 days per week (school days)</li> </ul> <p>Motion: That the revised MOTION 2 deferred to the 21 October 2019 CPC Meeting (based on Draft North Sydney Council 40km/h and 10km/h Shared Zone Masterplan and Action Plan being considered at 26 August 2019 Council meeting)</p>		
	6bi.	Action: MA (Registry) asked if NSC is inspecting recently constructed buildings structurally [considering recent Opal tower defects]. Council to advise if building inspections are being undertaken.	Council's Manager Environment and Building Compliance advises both the City of Sydney and North Sydney Council are in the process of inspecting buildings with combustible cladding.	Closed for Council
	6bii.	Action: Council to advise if LGNSW have accepted motions proposed by NSC.	Council Manager Governance and Committee Services has advised that all motions approved by Council have been submitted to LGNSW. Unless there is an issue with any Motion, Council is not normally advised that their Motions have been accepted until distribution of the Conference papers. If advice is received that a Precinct Committees submitted Motion will not be included, the Committee/s will be advised	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
	6biii.	Action: Council to advise on consultation process for parking changes and advise Precinct Committees on outcomes in the future.	Council's Manager Traffic and Transport Operations has advised that feedback is noted. The recommendations for Parking Area 15 were referred to the Traffic Committee and approved under delegated authority. For future area parking surveys, the recommendations will be referred to a full meeting of the Traffic Committee - the date of which will be indicated on the initial survey letter, so that the Precinct and residents have the opportunity to view the recommendations prior to the Traffic Committee making a determination.	Closed for Council
June	2.	Action: Precinct Committees who wish to receive individual presentations to contact MM, Landscape Technical Officer (NSC) or GN, Community Engagement Coordinator (NSC).	Noted by Council's Landscape Technical Officer and Community Engagement Coordinator. Note: Council's Landscape Technical Officer will be on leave from 11 September to 22 October 2019.	Noted
	5a.	Motion: That the CPC meeting scheduled for 15 October 2019 be moved to 21 October 2019	Council at its meeting of 24 June 2019 resolved (in part): <i>6. THAT the Precincts/Councillor Supper be reinstated annually. (G04)</i> <i>7. THAT the date for the next event be Monday 21 October 2019.</i>	Closed for Council
	6ai.	Motion: The CPC is in favour of the historic system of members of the public addressing Council meetings (i.e. before 29 January 2019):  a) Clause 4.3 - The CPC opposes the speaker registration deadline of 4pm on the day of the meeting before the date on which the public forum is to be held. Instead request that speakers can register on the day as per previous practice, 10 mins prior.	Council at its meeting of 24 June 2019 resolved: <i>1. That Council note the submissions received.</i> <i>2. That Council proceed to adopt the Code of Meeting Practice as attached to this report.</i>  The new Code is based on the Model Code, that includes some non-mandatory provisions. Under the new Code, Council will hold a public forum from 6.30 to 7.30pm prior to each Ordinary or Extraordinary Meeting of the Council, for the purpose of hearing oral submissions from members of the public on items of business to be	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		<p>b) Clause 4.4 - The CPC requests that speakers not be limited to the number of items they can speak to.</p> <p>c) Clause 4.6 - The CPC opposes the discretion being given to the General Manager to oppose a speaker.</p> <p>d) Clause 4.12 - The CPC requests that this clause also state that an extension to the three (3) minutes can be granted on request of the Chair, as per previous practice.</p>	<p>considered at the meeting. All members of the public are welcome to attend the Public Forum. Following the Public Forum, the Council meeting will commence at 7.30pm and items discussed at the Public Forum will not be brought forward at the Council meeting.</p> <p>Please note the following information if you wish to address Councillors at the Public Forum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applications to speak at the public forum must be received by 4pm on the day on which the public forum is to be held and must identify the item of business on the agenda of the Council Meeting the person wishes to speak on, and whether they wish to speak 'for' or 'against' the item.</li> <li>• A person may apply to speak on no more than two (2) items of business on the agenda of the Council meeting.</li> <li>• No more than two (2) speakers are to be permitted to speak 'for' or 'against' each item of business on the agenda for the Council Meeting.</li> <li>• Any applications to speak that exceed the first two (2) registered speakers will be placed on a wait list, and if there is time available and at the discretion of Council, an opportunity may be offered to address the Forum.</li> </ul>	
	6aii.	Motion: That there is no further discussion on item 5ii at this meeting	Noted by Council's Executive Assessment Planner.	Noted
	6aiii.	Motion: That CPC note the recommendation of the Sustainable Transport Reference Group held 13 May	Council at its meeting of 27 May 2019 resolved:	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		<p>2019 (Minute No. 3.1): “That North Sydney Council write to the Premier congratulating her on her government’s decision to fast track the Sydney West Metro and expressing the hope that this marks the start of a policy to prioritise sustainable public transport projects over unsustainable motorways. In particular, a commitment to scrap plans for the Western Harbour Tunnel and Beaches Link and instead build a rail tunnel to the Northern Beaches servicing the North Sydney Council LGA and the City”</p>	<p><i>1. THAT the Minutes of the Sustainable Transport Reference Group meeting of 13 May 2019 be noted and no further action taken.</i></p>	
	6aiii.	<p>Motion: That the CPC requests North Sydney Council to write to the Premier and recommend that the WHTBL tunnels and link to West Connex are re-examined including their likely economic impact and financial performance. The potential alternative to the Beaches Link of a rail tunnel, linking North Sydney or Chatswood to the Northern Beaches should be evaluated in depth including relative environmental impacts before approving the road tunnels.</p>	<p>Council’s Director City Strategy has advised that at its meeting on 29 October 2018, Council received the CiS06: Western Harbour Tunnel and Beaches Link Update report Council resolved in part:</p> <p><i>1. THAT Council make a strong public submission to the Western Harbour Tunnel and Beaches Link reference design consultation requesting clarification on the issues identified in this report including a submission that the State Government release the business case and the full Environmental Impact Statement.</i></p> <p>A submission was sent to RMS in this regard, requesting that RMS make the WHTBL Business Cases available to the public and requesting clarification on issues identified in the July 2018 RMS Project Update report. Further information in relation to the impacts of both air quality and the re-design of Warringah Freeway was also requested. Council is yet to receive a response to this submission; however Council is in the processing of following this up with RMS. It is also important to note</p>	Closed for Council



Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			that the EIS is yet to be released, however Council continues to liaise with RMS on this matter.	
	6v.	Motion: That Council investigates and suggests activities to publicise the Precinct System, in order to inform, educate and encourage more residents of North Sydney Council area to attend meetings. Resident's involvement in community matters will help make the North Sydney Council area a better place to live.	<p>Council's Community Engagement Coordinator has advised that the Precinct System is promoted in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dedicated web pages, including one per Precinct Committee, on Council's website</li> <li>• Information is included on the back of Council's standard letter envelopes</li> <li>• Articles included in North Sydney News where space permits; most recently Autumn 2018</li> </ul> <p>Council staff are currently reviewing the former Precinct System Communications Plan. More information will be provided to the Combined Precincts Committee in due course.</p>	Closed for Council
	6b.	Action: CPC representatives to discuss this item at [Proposal for a strategic plan to increase pedestrian safety within North Sydney's unique education precinct] individual Precinct Meetings and contact DW (Hayberry) regarding the proposed motion for next CPC meeting.	<p>Draft motion received and will be forwarded to the CPC Co-convenors for consideration to include in the next agenda, 20 August 2019.</p> <p><b>UPDATE (5 August 2019)</b> Motions approved for inclusion in next agenda, 20 August 2019.</p>	Noted
April	6.II.	Action: That the Mayor be requested to provide a status update of the election pledge to provide "free 30-minute parking for residents at all meters" (pledge was originally stated on <a href="http://www.jillygibson.com/policies.html">http://www.jillygibson.com/policies.html</a> ).	<p>The Mayor has advised the following: During my election campaign I made several strong commitments to the people of North Sydney. One of those was indeed to provide free 30-minute parking for residents at all meters. I stand by this commitment as it is an important issue for our community. I believe our residents should have the right to park in their local area</p>	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>for a short time, to go about their business, fee-free. You would probably be aware, at the last Council Meeting held on 29 April 2019, I presented a Mayoral Minute on Free Parking Options - Ridge Street Car Park. This Mayoral Minute was my first step to progressively introducing set times of free parking to our North Sydney residents. It will take quite a bit of research and effort to convince Council to vote to introduce other areas of timed free parking as there are many issues surrounding the provision of free parking. However, the benefit of my knowledge of the local area and my relationships with residents, businesses and schools, will assist me greatly in discussions with our community. Please be assured I will be trying to get the best outcome for our municipality.</p>	
	6.III.	Action: That the CPC notes the Floating Dry Dock proposal by Noakes and opposes it in the strongest possible terms.	Feedback noted by Council's Executive Planner.	Closed for Council
	6.IV.	Action: That the CPC recommends to North Sydney Council and the State Government that the Waverton Bowling Club site continues as recreational and/or community use.	<p>Council's Manager Environmental Services has advised that Council is in the process of obtaining a short-term license with Crown Lands. This will assist Council in determining its position regarding the future uses of this important piece of open space.</p> <p><b>UPDATE (9 May 2019)</b> Council's Director Open Space and Environmental Services has advised that when the Waverton Bowling Club went into liquidation, Council staff contacted Crown Reserves advocating that this parcel of land should revert on a permanent basis to Council's Care and Control as per the remainder of the parkland surrounding the Club.</p>	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>Council obtained a six-month lease (executed on 7 May 2019) to allow Council to carry out initial due diligence on the site i.e. undertake a condition assessment report of the building, preliminary contamination review of the site and to undertake a risk assessment of the grounds. It is hoped these actions potentially result in a permanent transfer solution. On completion of the condition assessment reports and risk assessment a report will be provided to Council that identifies what needs to be done on site immediately to make the site publicly accessible as soon as practically possible and outline a strategic planning process to determine with the community what the potential future public uses of the site should be if a more permanent tenure arrangement is attained.</p> <p><b>UPDATE (19 August 2019)</b>  In June/July 2019, Council sought suggestions from key stakeholders (including Precinct Committees) regarding proposed uses. A broad range of uses suggested, however, regardless of the preferred use, the unified theme of submissions was that the site needs to remain as public land and meet community needs. Stage 2 engagement seeks feedback from the wider community. Stage 2 feedback is open from 16 August - 15 September 2019.</p>	
	6.V.	Action: That the Council extend the exhibition period of the draft Code of Meeting Practice to ensure that the CPC can meet prior to the submission deadline (next CPC meeting date is (18 June 2019)).	Council's Manager Governance and Committee Services has advised that the public exhibition of the draft Code of Meeting Practice is on from 2 May to 20 June 2019 (42 days) during which time submissions are invited. It is noted that the post exhibition report is scheduled to go to the 24 June Council meeting, and that the report for this meeting will be finalised on 19 June, and that any	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			submissions received by 20 June will be reported via an addendum. This is because councils are required to have adopted the Model Code by 14 June 2019.	
February	1	Action: Council staff to seek date options for the Precincts-Councillor Supper.	<p>Request to be referred to Senior Management. Date options to be explored.</p> <p><b>UPDATE (5 June 2019)</b> The request to reactivate this event will be reported to Governance and Finance Committee on 17 June 2019.</p> <p><b>UPDATE (18 June 2019)</b> A report regarding this event was considered at the Governance and Finance Committee meeting held last night. The Minutes of this meeting will go to the June Council meeting for adoption. The Committee resolved to recommend to Council that the supper events be reinstated annually, and that the 2019 event be held on Monday 21 October 2019, after the Legal and Planning Committee meeting. This means that that the CPC meeting set for Tuesday 15 October will need to change to Monday 21 October 2019.</p> <p><b>UPDATE (26 June 2019)</b> Council at its meeting of 24 June 2019 resolved in part: 6. <i>THAT the Precincts/Councillor Supper be reinstated annually. (G04)</i> 7. <i>THAT the date for the next event be Monday 21 October 2019.</i></p>	Closed for Council
	2	Action: Harrison Precinct Committee to prepare proposal/draft motion(s) [parking meter relief] for	Included as agenda item for CPC meeting 16 April 2019.	Closed for Council

<b>Month</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Actions</b>	<b>Council's Reply</b>	<b>Status</b>
		consideration at the next CPC meeting. Council to include as agenda item for the next CPC meeting.		
	3	Action: Willoughby Bay Precinct Committee to submit to the CPC Co-Convenors proposed amendments (mark ups) to the TOR regarding clauses 9 and 11; and to prepare a draft motion(s) for consideration at the next CPC meeting. Council to include as agenda item for the next CPC meeting, and distribute the amended TOR to all Precinct Committees in advance of the meeting.	Included as agenda item for CPC meeting 16 April 2019.	Closed for Council
	4	Action: Council to seek alternate venue for future meetings where the Ros Crichton Pavilion is unavailable.	Ros Crichton Pavilion has been booked for all remaining CPC meetings in 2019.	Closed for Council

## The Tunnels and what Council's response is to the latest announcements (Parks)

### Background on latest announcement

It does appear as though local feedback has had some impact so keep contacting the RMS and Gladys with your feedback.

First the good news:

- Ernest St Ramps are saved!
- The tunnel is running behind schedule. The EIS is due early (WHT) and mid 2020 (Beaches Link) with commencement just before the next election in 2023
- Cammeray Golf Course may be able to maintain a modified 9 holes during construction
- Extra waste from Flatrock will not be dumped at Cammeray Golf course
- Waste will be transported via the freeway and not local roads

Now the bad News:

- The smokestacks will be 40m high
- The permanent facility sheds will be massive - bigger than a football field and 25m high, even though the footprint has been reduced!

The tunnel looks to have been separated into two projects:

- The NSW Government has announced the release and public exhibition of the detailed Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Western Harbour Tunnel (WHT) and Warringah Expressway Upgrade. **The public exhibition period will run from 29 January until 12 March 2020.** The documentation is many volumes and totals several thousand pages. It is available at:  
<https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects/project/10451>
- EIS for the Beaches Link (BL) will be available mid 2020. Please refer to the RMS website <https://www.rms.nsw.gov.au/projects/western-harbour-tunnel-beaches-link/index.html>.

**CPC Meeting Feb 18 2020 – Agenda Item 6.i – WHT and Warringah Freeway Upgrade**

The EIS for this project is massive and overwhelming for many of us. The closing date for exhibition of the project is Thursday 12 March which has been gratuitously extended by two weeks from the statutory period of 28 days. The bureaucracy of this decision is glaringly stupid in that it is a Thursday whereas it could just as easily have been Friday 13 or even Sunday 15 without any inconvenience whatsoever.

North Sydney Council won't be in a position to lodge a submission until after it considers a management report at its meeting on Monday 25 March which means that it won't get to the department of planning before close of business on 26 March at the earliest almost two weeks after the current closing date.

My request to ask for an extension of two months to the current advertised exhibition period was met with an immediate denial in a condescending and arrogant email from the Deputy Secretary Assessments, Glen Snow who ignores the fact that the community has to do all its work in addition to their employment. (Copy of email below)

It is suggested that:

- The CPC writes to the department on behalf of all precincts or those who agree for an extension of time to Friday 10 April,
- The CPC advises all precincts to encourage residents to write to the department and the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces and the Premier to ask for an extension of time and
- To request North Sydney Council to provide to a meeting of all precincts a presentation on the WHT as it affects the NS Council LGA

John Hancox  
Chair Wollstonecraft Precinct

---

Copy of email from Dept of Planning refusing an EOT:

*Dear Hancox,*

*Thank you for your email requesting an extension to the exhibition period.*

*I can advise that the Department has extended the statutory exhibition timeframe of the Western Harbour Tunnel Project, consistent with other recent major road and rail infrastructure projects and will not be extending the exhibition period at this time.*

*The Department does consider late submissions that it receives; however, the Proponent is not required to respond to submissions received outside of the exhibition period.*

*Should you have any further queries, please feel free to contact Naomi Moss, Senior Planner at [naomi.moss@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:naomi.moss@planning.nsw.gov.au).*

**CPC Meeting 18 Feb 2020 Agenda Item 6.iii - Council Ward Boundaries:**

As a result of the resolution passed by Council at the extraordinary meeting of Council on 20 January, the next LGA election in September 2020 will be to elect 10 Councillors to represent the two new wards (North Ward and Cammeraigal Ward)

As foreshadowed in the submissions by Waverton and Wollstonecraft Precincts (and possibly others) it is proposed that the CPC support a referendum held contemporaneously with the LGA election to determine a new ward system for the elections to be held in 2024. Both Precincts advocated a return to the three ward system each with three councillors and a mayor elected by the nine councillors.

The outcome that is desired for that referendum is to ensure:

1. That the number of elected Councillors is not divisible by two. The reason being that when all councillors vote on a motion, there will always be a majority of at least one vote and a casting vote will not be necessary.
2. That of the total number of Councillors elected, one of them will be elected by the councillors to be Mayor.

Consequently, the only real possibilities are:

- 3 Wards x 3 Councillors each or
- 3 Wards x 5 Councillors each or
- 5 Wards x 3 Councillors each

Given that 15 Councillors would likely be unmanageable and expensive the Wollstonecraft Precinct preference in absence of any other compelling reason is that:

There be three Wards – Wollstonecraft, Tunks and Victoria with the same boundaries as existed at the 2017 election adjusted only to ensure the balance of eligible voters in each Ward does not vary by more than 10%.

---

If the three Ward x three Councillor option is agreed, a motion to that effect would read something like this below amended if necessary, to ensure it meets the requirements of the Electoral Act NSW:

1. The Combined Precinct Committee support a referendum held contemporaneously with the 2020 elections for North Sydney LGA to determine that
2. That the number of Wards be three
3. The Wards be named Tunks, Victoria and Wollstonecraft and the boundaries be the same as existed at the 2017 election adjusted only to ensure that the number of eligible voters in each Ward does not vary by more than 10%
4. That the number of elected Councillors be three per Ward and total nine.
5. That of the total number of Councillors elected, one of them will be elected by the councillors to be Mayor.



**CPC Meeting 18 February 2020 - Agenda Item 6.iii - Council Ward Boundaries (Brightmore)**

*MOTION: In accordance with the spirit of open government - Brightmore Precinct requests the CPC consider that:*

*If North Sydney Council feel it necessary to raise "Extraordinary General Meetings (EGM)" during an extended holiday period (more commonly termed the Christmas/Summer break) - and that if such executive power is exercised - there should be specific requirement to correspondingly extend the period of community feedback.*

*Such an extension being granted to allow the wider community and precinct system to sit, appraise and respond to the issues raised in that EGM.*

To be clear - where an EGM is called outside of councils regular sitting (usually the period from mid-December to mid-January) there be a requirement for community feedback to extend into mid-February.

This motion's sole purpose is to affirm proper scrutiny and feedback of Council decisions can be maintained *at all times of the year* - and especially within a scenario where it has been deemed necessary to call an EGM.

## *ATTACHMENT 6*

### **Precincts E-News (Edward)**

The Precincts E-News is a useful document, relevant to many North Sydney residents. It reaches the Precincts as an email and is not accessible on the NSC website. It can be printed as a pdf with any pdf printing program, but this does not save the links to websites, making the document far less useful.

It is possible to save the links by copying the whole newsletter to the clipboard in Windows, and pasting it into a new Word document. This preserves most of the links (accessible with Ctrl Click) and makes it into a more usable document. Once the Word file has been created, it can be saved as a pdf, if you have full Acrobat installed – it still cannot be printed as a pdf. While each precinct can do this, it would be far easier if NSC could do it or something similar and circulate to Precincts with the document.

The paging of the version created by this method is not ideal, with headings and text often separated, and a Council version could address this issue.

The alternative is for us to forward the "Web Version" link at the top left of the NSC email you send to precincts. However, this connects anyone to the NSC page, where the "Unsubscribe" link at the bottom deletes the precinct's E-News link. Maybe something could be done to fix that, eg, by having a password requirement to modify subscriptions. In the pdf version I sent earlier, we would have the option to delete the Edit and Unsubscribe links.

**REPORT**

**on the 3 December 2019 on-site inspection of Military Road, Cremorne regarding pedestrian safety concerns as a result of the Traffic Tidal Flow system and related infrastructure changes arising from the B-line bus project**

**ATTENDEES:**

**Member for North Shore** - Felicity Wilson

**Electorate Officer, Member for Northshore** - Tamika Dartnell-Moore

**Mayor of North Sydney** - Jilly Gibson

**RMS representative** - Peter Carruthers

**Harrison Precinct:** Catherine C, Barbara D, Peter K

**Brightmore Precinct:** Graham S, Sharon R

**Willoughby Bay Precinct:** Peter M

**Meeting Time:** 7. 45am Tuesday 3 December 2019

**MEETING OBJECTIVES:** To convey local Precinct community concerns about pedestrian and traffic safety issues at Cremorne Junction by observing road conditions on Military Road and feeder streets during the morning peak period with the view of seeking help from elected officials to resolve the safety concerns of, and dangers for, the community.

**ON-SITE MEETING FOCUS:** Visual observations were made of pedestrian and vehicular movements on Military Road, Cabramatta Road and Spofforth Street in Cremorne. Morning peak was chosen as this is the time of peak traffic and pedestrian movements at these locations. It is also within the operation time of the Traffic Tidal Flow system installed as part of the B-line bus project.

**SPECIFIC PEDESTRIAN SAFETY ISSUES HIGHLIGHTED AT THE MEETING:**

**(a) Pedestrian safety whilst crossing traffic lights on Military Road at the intersection of Cabramatta Road is compromised by:**

- I. Cars ignoring the traffic light signal and failing to stop on red.
- II. Cars not seeing pedestrians due to queuing across the intersection.
- III. Pedestrians crossing the traffic lights diagonally to gain access to the new AM only city bound bus stop
- IV. Insufficient time allocated to allow pedestrians with impairments to walk across safely during the green WALK signal.
- V. Cars travelling at speed through Cremorne (60km or over)
- VI. Cars changing lanes within the intersection.
- VII. Illuminated road markers presents multiple distractions for motorists within the intersection.

## REPORT

on the 3 December 2019 on-site inspection of Military Road, Cremorne regarding pedestrian safety concerns as a result of the Traffic Tidal Flow system and related infrastructure changes arising from the B-line bus project

### **(b) Pedestrian safety at the intersection of Cabramatta Road and Military Road city bound direction is compromised by:**

- I. Car drivers are concentrating on looking right at oncoming traffic, but ignore looking to their left side at the expense of pedestrians.
- II. Lack of distinct road markings at the intersection of Cabramatta Road to differentiate road from pedestrian crossing area.

### **(c) Buses queuing across intersection of Military Road and Cabramatta Road**

Pedestrian safety at Cabramatta Road is compromised with dangers from queued buses and vehicles. This occurs because the AM bus stop has been positioned in close proximity to the Cabramatta Road intersection with Military Road. Buses are forced to queue across Cabramatta Road while waiting to set down and pick up.

### **(d) Spofforth Street and Military Road intersection (with main traffic light)**

- i. Similar safety dangers as in (a) to (c) above, plus
- ii. Added problem for pedestrians and drivers with buses making lane changes at or within the intersection. The kerbside bus only lane is used by buses stopping at Cremorne whilst B-line buses, which do not stop at Cremorne, seek to enter the main through lane shared with other vehicles.
- iii. The right-turn into Spofforth Street also creates problems with motorists frequently attempting to make this turn after the green arrow has changed to red, whilst pedestrians have received a green man signal to commence crossing, impacting greatly on pedestrian safety. This situation is exacerbated in the morning peak with the Tidal Flow drastically reducing the number of vehicles able to be 'stored' in the designated right-turn lane into Spofforth. During non-tidal flow operation periods the right turn lane has double capacity.
- iv. Queuing of vehicles 'caught' within the intersection due to heavy traffic citybound or after 'running a red light' results in conflict with pedestrians crossing legally with the green pedestrian WALK signal
- v. Green walk signal for pedestrians on traffic lights is often obscured by tall vehicles (eg B-line buses) queuing across intersection causing total visual obstruction of the signal.

**REPORT**  
**on the 3 December 2019 on-site inspection of Military Road, Cremorne**  
**regarding pedestrian safety concerns as a result of the Traffic Tidal Flow system**  
**and related infrastructure changes arising from the B-line bus project**

**PRECINCT APPROACH TO THIS MEETING:**

Precinct members who attended this meeting expressed their thanks to the Member for Northshore, Mayor and the RMS representative. The opportunity to discuss and explain community safety concerns about Military Road, Cremorne, with specific reference to the issues at the intersection of Cabramatta Road and Spofforth Streets was appreciated.

Individual residents presented possible solutions to the highlighted issues and were keen to show their commitment to having these safety problems resolved.

Whilst the meeting focused on the Cabramatta Road and Spofforth street intersections it was acknowledged that similar safety concerns exist throughout the Military Road corridor from Spit Bridge to Falcon Street.

**CONCLUSION:**

No specific remedy or outcome was agreed to by the RMS representative, Mayor or Member for Northshore at the on-site visit. However, it was agreed that feedback be provided as a follow-up to the meeting. It would be greatly appreciated if possible solutions on how to fix the issues highlighted can be provided **in writing by 31 January 2020** so that the Precinct representatives who attended the meeting can convey this feedback in time for the first round of Precinct meetings to be held in February 2020.

**Precinct representative for this issue are:**

Harrison Precinct: Michiko

[harrison.precinct@gmail.com](mailto:harrison.precinct@gmail.com)

Brightmore Precinct: Graham S

[graham.m.short@gmail.com](mailto:graham.m.short@gmail.com)

Willoughby Bay Precinct: Peter M

[petermarshall@optusnet.com.au](mailto:petermarshall@optusnet.com.au)

**Gemma North**

---

**From:** precincts  
**Sent:** Friday, 20 December 2019 3:17 PM  
**To:** precincts  
**Subject:** Resident Update December 2019 Noakes Floating Dry Dock

Dear Precinct Committees

Council's Executive Planner has provided the following update FYA.

There has been significant community interest surrounding DA 57/19 for the mooring and use of a floating dry dock (FDD) at Noakes Marina. The application was lodged on 5 March 2019 and was notified and advertised from 22 March 2019 to 23 April 2019. Approximately 288 submissions were received.

The applicant was requested to respond to a range of matters, including noise and air quality related issues raised by the EPA in May 2019 and despite a number of extension requests, to date a satisfactory response has not been provided. The applicant has advised Council that in addition to curtains at the ends of the FDD, additional curtains will be added to the top of the dry dock to reduce noise and air emissions by enclosing the FDD. When all required details are provided to Council, the application will be renotified and readvertised. This is likely to occur in the first quarter of 2020.

Details of renotification will be confirmed when all required information is eventually received.

At this time the Sydney North Planning Panel (SNPP) has not been formally briefed on this application and no determination date has been set. The SNPP has indicated that it would be prepared to hold a public briefing meeting, prior to finalisation of Council's assessment report and any subsequent Planning Panel meeting to determine the application.

Regards

**Gemma North**  
Community Engagement Coordinator

P 9936 8100

E [council@northsydney.nsw.gov.au](mailto:council@northsydney.nsw.gov.au)



[www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au](http://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au)

