

Summary of Actions Arising (SOA) 2020 - Edward Precinct

This document is North Sydney Council's response to actions arising from Precinct Committee Minutes. On receipt of the minutes, actions are numbered and allocated to relevant Council Officers for response.

To effectively manage and provide clarity, all actions are allocated a status when reporting to Precinct Committees in response to their minutes. There are three categories:

- **Awaiting Response** - action allotted to Council Officer - response awaited.
- **Open/Ongoing** - action allocated to Council Officer, initial response provided. Matter ongoing and further response/update to be provided at later date.
- **Closed for Council** - Council either completed related works/tasks or has taken all reasonable steps within its power to resolve issue (for example when matter is beyond its jurisdiction). If the Precinct Committee feels Council can take further steps, they can request council reopen action.

Questions or concerns re content of this document should be directed to precincts@northsydney.nsw.gov.au

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
November	2.a	DA 130/20 46 Edward Street. - Precinct is disappointed that the views of neighbours in Edward St and Priory were not reflected in the approval. The precinct questions why Council did not require compliance with the requirement for side and rear setbacks. The shading plan is considered inadequate.	Council's Manager Development Services has advised that the documents supporting this application were amended following a review of the application including the submitters concerns. The approved building was fully compliant in terms of building height and setbacks and was viewed as satisfactory from a heritage aspect. Any further modification of the design could not be justified.	Closed for Council
	3.4	Social housing contributions - Edward Precinct considers that social housing is important in North Sydney and requests that building developers are required to meet their social housing contributions. Any appeal against the contributions should be defended by Council.	Council's Manager Development Services has advised that contribution towards affordable housing arising from new developments is predicated by the <i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009</i> . A contribution is sought wherever it is appropriate. The test is quite difficult with the onus being on the Council as the consent authority to establish a prima facie case based on information	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>provided by the applicant. This contribution is imposed by condition. To highlight two recent matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 2 Blue Street, Council was of a mind that a contribution was appropriate. The Developer was of the view it wasn't but conceded to the panel that it would accept the imposition of the contribution. This has been imposed as a condition. 2) Shore apartment Buildings in William Street, Temporary use approvals have been given in association with the construction works associated with the school. At this time these uses will revert to residential. On that basis no contribution can be imposed but this will be revisited if there is a permanent change of use. 	
	3.8	<p>Edward Street and Mount Street Junction - Problems are reported at this junction, with cars doing U-turns, and causing danger to other cars and pedestrians. Excess speed is also reported in Edward St heading north. Meeting suggested that there is a need for line markings, traffic control signs, speed limits or a small roundabout to limit danger at this junction.</p> <p>Meeting requests NSC traffic planners to investigate the problem and develop solutions in consultation with local residents. It is further noted that the shared area access from north Edward St to the south is too high and steep, causing problems for some cars (which can bottom) and bicycles. This was pointed out to NSC at the time of construction and further review of the shared area is suggested.</p>	<p>Council's Manager Traffic & Transport Operations has advised that staff will investigate the issues raised by The Precinct Committee concerning Edward Street and Mount Street and will advise of the outcomes in due course.</p>	Open/Ongoing

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
October	4.1	<p>Draft North Sydney Local Infrastructure Contributions Plan - Precinct supports development of affordable housing (as a condition of high-rise building construction agreements) and is concerned that developers are avoiding development and payment in-lieu. Examples were discussed relating to Shore school and commercial development on William St.</p>	<p>Council's Team Leader - Policy has noted the Precinct Committee's comments which will be included to the post exhibition report and presented to Council on 30 November 2020.</p> <p>UPDATE (8 December 2020) Council as its meeting on 30 November 2020 resolved:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>THAT Council note the submissions received and the issues raised following public exhibition of the North Sydney Local Infrastructure Contributions Plan.</i> 2. <i>THAT Council adopt the North Sydney Local Infrastructure Contributions Plan, as amended, subject to a commencement date of 1 March 2021 under clause 31(4) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 (Attachment 1).</i> 3. <i>THAT Council publish a public notice on Council's website within 28 days of Councils' decision to adopt the North Sydney Local Infrastructure Contributions Plan under clause 31 of the EP&A Regulation 2000.</i> 4. <i>THAT Council repeal the existing North Sydney Section 94 Contributions Plan 2013 and place a public notice of intent to repeal the Plan (and reasons for doing so) on Council's website at least 14 days before repealing the plan under clause 32 (2A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000.</i> 5. <i>THAT Council authorise the General Manager to make appropriate amendments to the Plan under s32(3) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 where required to reflect changes to CPI or minor typographical corrections.</i> 6. <i>THAT upon repeal of the former plan, remaining contributions from the repealed Plan be transferred to appropriate accounts under the new plan, generally in accordance with this report.</i> 	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
	4.4	Cycle pop-up route from Arthur St to West St along the Highway - The likely detailed design and start date are not yet known by Council.	Council's Sustainable Transport Project Co-ordinator has noted the Precinct Committee's comments and has advised that TfNSW is preparing designs for the Pop-up project in consultation with Council as a key stakeholder. Further information about the TfNSW's Pop-up cycleway program is available at: https://transportnsw.info/news/2020/sydneys-new-pop-up-cycleways-help-you-ride-to-work	Closed for Council
	4.5	Carradah Park "The Sun Also Rises" sculpture proposed location - In our July meeting, we recorded "There was strong opposition by some members to the Carradah park option due to an expected reduction in the use of the park. St Leonards park was suggested as an alternative." NSC treated this as a submission. The main issue is the possible need to fence off the sculpture, which would be considered to be a significant negative in the park.	Comments noted by A/Director Community & Library Services.	Closed for Council
September	1	North Sydney Olympic Pool – Motion: Precinct raises concerns about the apparent conflict of interest in relation to the Pool, where the Council is both the applicant and the determiner of the consent. Passed unanimously	Feedback noted.	Closed for Council
	1OT	Tree Planting - Tree numbers and canopy in the North Sydney area are declining. Attempting to establish more trees is a popular issue in North Sydney. Maybe precincts could look at tree planting projects.	Comments noted by Council's Director Open Space & Environmental Services. Council's has a number of tree planting programs in operation that individuals and Precinct Committees can participate in, including Bushcare and Streets Alive.	Closed by Council
	3ODAs	DA 130/20 46 Edward Street - modified, 10 new plans added 3 September Meeting raised concerns that plans had	Council's Assessments Officer has advised that the amended application was re-notified to adjoining	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		not been modified sufficiently to meet local residents' concerns.	properties. The re-notification received 3 additional submissions against the proposal citing privacy, drainage issues, solar access impacts and uncharacteristic built form for a conservation area. The amended proposal is still under assessment.	
	3ODAs	DA 147/20 - MLC Building 105 - 153 Miller Street - Demolition of existing heritage listed building and construction of a 27 storey commercial building. Edward Precinct at its meeting on 12 August unanimously opposed the demolition and planned new construction. The current meeting added that the wind effects of the new building will be negative in relation to the proposed pedestrian mall in Miller St. It was also noted that other high-rise DAs are being prepared for sites in Walker St.	<p>Council's Executive Planner advises that this was discussed at the meeting of the Heritage Council of NSW held 5 August 2020. Minutes are available here https://www.heritage.nsw.gov.au/what-we-do/heritage-council-of-nsw/minutes-of-heritage-council-meetings/</p> <p>The Heritage Council of NSW is considering the nomination for listing the MLC on the State Heritage Register and requesting submissions from the public. Submissions are being sought until 8 October 2020 and can be posted or emailed to the Heritage Council of NSW.</p> <p>Further details can be found at: https://www.heritage.nsw.gov.au/protecting-our-heritage/nominate-listing-on-the-state-heritage-register/nominations-being-considered/</p> <p>The process for submitting comments can be found at: https://www.heritage.nsw.gov.au/protecting-our-heritage/nominate-listing-on-the-state-heritage-register/comment-on-proposed-listings/</p>	Closed for Council
	4.6	Combined meeting with CBD - Precinct Committee will need to further discuss this proposal with Council's Community Engagement Coordinator. In particular,	Intention to further discuss this proposal is noted by Council's Community Engagement Coordinator. Relates to Item 4.8 from August 2020.	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		whether we should include businesses, and if so how to circulate them.		
	4.7	AGM Venue suggestion - Don Bank Museum Park was recommended by the meeting. JC will check this with the museum and Council	Council's Historian has advised that due to COVID-19 restrictions the number of people who can occupy the rooms in Don Bank Museum Park can accommodate of 8 people. The Precinct Committee is requested to further discussion venue options direct with Council's Community Engagement Coordinator.	Closed for Council
	5.1	Public Forums Resumed - Individuals can provide a written submission on any agenda item by 4pm on the day of the meeting via email. However, some councillors are not provided with these submissions. Motion: written submissions should be read out or circulated to all councillors.	Council's Manager Governance & Committee Services has advised that all submissions received by the deadline of 4pm on the day of the meeting are being forwarded to Councillors via email before the meeting.	Closed for Council
August	3	DA 147/20 - The building is listed on both the Docomomo Australia and Docomomo International Registers, supported by many architects. Precinct unanimously opposed the demolition and proposed new construction.	<p>Comments noted by Council's Executive Assessment Planner.</p> <p>UPDATE (15 September 2020): Council's Executive Planner advises that this was discussed at the meeting of the Heritage Council of NSW held 5 August 2020. Minutes are available here https://www.heritage.nsw.gov.au/what-we-do/heritage-council-of-nsw/minutes-of-heritage-council-meetings/</p> <p>The Heritage Council of NSW is considering the nomination for listing the MLC on the State Heritage Register and requesting submissions from the public. Submissions are being sought until 8 October 2020</p>	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
			<p>and can be posted or emailed to the Heritage Council of NSW.</p> <p>Further details can be found at: https://www.heritage.nsw.gov.au/protecting-our-heritage/nominate-listing-on-the-state-heritage-register/nominations-being-considered/</p> <p>The process for submitting comments can be found at: https://www.heritage.nsw.gov.au/protecting-our-heritage/nominate-listing-on-the-state-heritage-register/comment-on-proposed-listings/</p>	
	4.1	<p>Precinct Review Stage 1 - Edward Precinct has made many requests to NSC over the past year to improve some aspects of its communications and file handling. These should make the handling of files and overall management by precincts simpler. Council requested that all of these should be included in our submission to the Precinct Review. Our submission requests Council to make a number of changes relating to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Precincts E-News pdf design 2. Individual Precinct Committee POP or IMAP email addresses 3. Display of file name not document title in pdf documents 4. File naming to improve storage (date and name sequence to be the same) 5. PDF file properties, showing Acrobat information on screen. 	<p>Feedback noted by Council's Manager Integrated Planning & Special Projects and Manager Information Technology. Feedback will be included in the Stage 1 Submissions Summary and used to inform the Stage 2 consultation/review of Council's service levels to the Precinct System.</p>	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
	4.2	<p>LGNSW Conference - Edward Precinct and many other Precincts strongly oppose the construction of the WHT (and probably the beaches link although the documents justifying this have not yet been released). We recommend that improved public transport is assessed as an alternative to the two tunnels. In particular a metro from Chatswood or maybe North Sydney to at least Mona Vale should be constructed. Edward Precinct supports Lavender Bay Precinct's recent motion: That educational institutions acquiring additional land pay Council rates on new acquisitions. In the view of Edward Precinct, this should relate to recently acquired land, of say in the last 10 years, as well as future acquisitions.</p>	<p>Comments noted by Council's Manager Governance & Committee Services and included in the Council meeting agenda for 24 August 2020 (Item 8.3).</p> <p>UPDATE (25 August 2020) Council at its meeting of 24 August 2020, considered all suggested motions put forward by Precinct Committees and resolved to thank the Precinct Committees for their suggestions, and to adopt only Lavender Bay Precinct Committee's suggested motion regarding educational institutions acquiring additional land to pay rates on new acquisitions.</p>	Closed for Council
		<p>Berrys Bay and Sydney Heritage Fleet Proposal - MC raised the fact that there is a proposal that the Museum of Sydney Harbour (the badly named MOSH) will be established on the old Woodley's site in Berrys Bay. The meeting discussed a number of the issues relating to the current MOSH design. Of particular concern were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the size of the jetty accommodating 26 heritage boat berths; • likely lack of access to the foreshore areas, jetty and some nearby areas; • the risk of pollution of the bay with paint and grinding residues, plus attendant noise issues; • loss of public amenity, particularly water space e.g. for kayaking and beach access; and • Questions were raised on how vessels were to be moved from water to land, which is not shown in MOSH diagrams. 	Comments noted by Council's Director Open Space & Environmental Services.	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		Overall, the concept of the museum was supported, but care should be taken to make the overall development public and local resident friendly, while affording full protection to the environment.		
	4.4	<p>Changes to DA submissions and Council's Access to Information Policy - This was discussed with a unanimous view that Edward Precinct does not agree with this policy. The inclusion of a submitters name and address is required, so that Council can check, if required, that the submission is a rate payer or resident (a valid submission). However, Council should only include name and possibly the suburb in the published/ online version against the submission. To include the name and address of persons making a submission is considered a removal of a person's basic privacy. There is no reason for this information to be published on a public forum. This in fact is totally opposite to Council's requirements for Precincts, where only initials are allowed in Precinct minutes, not even names. Edward Precinct will raise with the CPC that the Policy is amended to say that while personal details should be submitted, Council will only include the name and suburb in the published/online version. Consideration could also be given to the option to withhold the name, as on submissions made to NSW Department of Planning.</p>	Comments noted by Council's Team Leader Administration and Manager Integrated Planning & Special Projects.	Closed for Council
	4.8	<p>Possible joint precinct meeting with CBD Precinct area in October - Edward is the logical precinct area to merge with all or at least the southern part of the CBD. In the short term, perhaps for our October meeting, we will consider a joint meeting with CBD. For businesses to attend, the meeting should be early, and the meeting agreed</p>	Edward Precinct Committee's proposal to hold a joint meeting with the inactive CBD Precinct area is noted. The Precinct Committee is requested to further discuss this proposal with Council's Community Engagement Coordinator.	Open/Ongoing

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		to a proposal to commence joint meetings at 6:00pm. Edward Precinct stand-alone meetings will continue to commence at 7:00pm as long as we are meeting in Ros Crichton. With the current limit of 16 members in Ros Crichton, it will not be practical to invite CBD until this restriction is removed. If approved by Council, it was proposed that we should continue to meet in Ros Crichton once COVID restrictions end, with its additional space and useful screen. If and when the precincts enlarge, more space will be necessary anyway.	The Precinct Committee's request to continue to meet in the Ros Crichton Pavilion will be considered, please note that as previously communicated to the Secretary, keys to this venue cannot be provided to Precinct Committees (as they can to the Geddes Room) as the Pavilion required a security swipe to access which is reserved for Council staff use only (i.e. as temporary arrangement under COVID restrictions Council staff are required to open the Pavilion for the Precinct Committee. An alternate venue could be the Library Conference Room (pending availability) as this venue is available for community use and Council staff are on hand to open/close the venue within Library opening hours. However due to COVID, Stanton Library is currently operating on reduced opening hours.	
	4.9	Possible street party - Proposed for early December. Possible Sites - Shore Headmaster's yard, ACU, Oak St, Short St, Graythwaite, Ros Crichton. Precinct will discuss with Shore and ACU. ACU is preferred as more central to the precinct. Dates and location will be discussed at our September meeting. Alternatively, the party could follow the AGM, which could run from 6 to 7 in Ros Crichton.	Noted by Council's Community Engagement Coordinator. Ros Crichton Pavilion has been tentatively booked for 11 November 2020, subject to event approval. Council's Sustainable Transport Project Co-Ordinator has advised that Edward Precinct can potentially apply for a road closure/event under the upcoming Street Play Pilot Program, this will take place in October/November this year. Details of the program can be found here https://yoursay.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/streetplay	Open/Ongoing
	4.11	Ward Numbers and Boundaries - Edward's motion at last meeting was rejected by Council primarily due to	Comments noted by Council's Manager Governance and Committee Services. Relates to Council's response	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		timing issues. We were proposing 5 wards, which we believe is more representative of the many concerns that vary area by area, ward by ward. Unfortunately, it seems too late to take further action. Edward will propose shifting to 5 wards for the next election, whenever that is held.	to Item 4.6 OM3 from the Precinct Committee's July 2020 minutes.	
July		DA378/17/3, 160 Pacific Hwy (near Doohat Ave) - Modification of existing approval (staged construction). Renumbering is the main concern, due to the proposal to change the street address from Pacific Highway to Doohat Ave, with negative effect on neighbours. There is also an issue in relation to a proposed new power pole which needs to be discussed with Ausgrid.	Comments noted by Executive Assessment Planner.	Closed for Council
		DA47/20, 2 Blue Street - Precinct considers that the proposed building is too large. It will result in the overshadowing of the historic St Peters church and the loss of heritage buildings. The proposal is for commercial use which should not be permitted by NSC given its requirement that residential capacity should not be reduced due to the city's increasing population needs.	Senior Assessment Officer has confirmed that this DA was approved by the Sydney North Planning Panel on 22 July 2020 subject to conditions of consent.	Closed for Council
		CBD Public Domain Strategy 2020 (Stage 2) - Precinct recommends that Post Office Square if constructed should be a 10 kph shared zone with pedestrian priority. The meeting felt that the square could possibly be closed to traffic on weekends although there is little activity in North Sydney at weekends. Edward Precinct supports the closing of Miller St. Edward St shared zone signs were reported to have been removed. The reason for this is not known. Precinct is concerned that the Berry St square will be in shade.	Comments noted by Council's Senior Strategic Planner - Urban Design.	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
	4.6 OM1	Carradah Park sculpture location - There was strong opposition by some members to the Carradah Park option due to an expected reduction in the use of the park. St Leonards Park was suggested as an alternative.	Precinct Committee's feedback has been received by Council's A/Director Community & Library Services and will be treated as a submission. The post-exhibition report will be presented to the Council meeting in August 2020.	Closed for Council
	4.6 OM3	Ward Numbers - Given the delay in reorganizing wards in North Sydney, the optimal ward structure was discussed. The meeting was strongly of the view that the two ward system was unsatisfactory for two reasons (i) it makes it much more difficult and expensive for independent intending councillors to nominate, (ii) it means that there is less likelihood that councillors will reside in or close to their ward precincts, limiting the interaction between councillors and precincts. Motion: NSC should review the planned two-ward system and change to five wards as proposed when boundaries were originally discussed. This subject will be raised by Edward Precinct at the ad hoc and full CPC meetings on 21 July and 18 August.	Council's Manager Governance & Committee Services has advised that this matter was dealt with at the Council meeting on 22 June 2020. See Council report for more details (pages 578 to 580) https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/files/c5387119-f442-44e3-9ecb-35588bcd72d5/Agenda-[8161857].pdf UPDATE (21 July 2020): This matter was discussed at the ad hoc CPC meeting held 21 July 2020. The following is an extract from the CPC SOA: Action: CPC requests that Council confirms advice provided to the meeting held 22 June 2020 and clarification of the processes required for re-drawing ward boundaries Response: Council's Manager Governance & Committee Services confirms the procedural advice as provided by the General Manager via the Council meeting agenda of 22 June 2020 - refer to Item 10.3. For further information contact the NSW Electoral Commission.	Closed for Council
	4.6 OM4	Precinct System Review - These are discussed in detail in Recommendation No. 2: of the Precinct System Review currently under preparation. In any precinct reorganisation, consideration should also be given to a merger with	Comments noted by Council's Integrated Planning & Special Projects/Community Engagement Coordinator.	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		Waverton precinct and possibly part of Union rather than the link to the CBD proposed by NSC. Other mergers could also be considered, such as Union and Lavender Bay. CT considered that Edward and Waverton did not share the same problems, particularly Edward's proximity to the CBD, which makes us vulnerable to several issues including noise, light, traffic and overshadowing. Further discussion and assessment are recommended		
	4.6 OM5	Council Meetings - Meeting discussed issues relating to livestreaming of council meetings. Motion: NSC should immediately recommence livestreaming of Council meetings. Meeting records should be permanently retained on Council's website and accessible to the public. Passed unanimously	Council's Manager Governance & Committee Services has advised that commencing with the June 2020 Council meeting, the live webcast has been available. Access to previous meetings is available at https://livestream.com/northsydneycouncil	Closed for Council
	5.1	Amended Access to Information Policy - Motion: Individuals' names and contact details should be fully redacted from published documents if requested.	Precinct Committee's feedback has been received by Council's Manager Integrated Planning & Special Projects and will be treated as a submission. The post-exhibition report will be presented to the Council meeting on 27 July 2020 (Item 8.8).	Closed for Council
May	<i>No meeting due Covid-19 restrictions</i>			
March	1	WHT and Warringah Freeway Upgrade - There was extensive discussion of the likely impact of the WHT&WF on North Sydney and Edward Precinct area in particular. Focus was on traffic issues with increased density in North Sydney and changed access to Warringah Freeway heading both North and South. The benefits accruing to North Sydney residents will be limited apart from for the small	Precinct Committee's feedback is noted, no further action required by Council. UPDATE (14 May 2020) The WHT Community Campaign has commenced. Information has been distributed to all Precinct Committees and is available at https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Council_Meetin	Closed for Council

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
		<p>proportion of resident who need to access the inner west suburbs. Aspects such as noise reduction pavements on the Freeway were discussed. It was noted that the number of children in affected schools was assumed to be 100 per school in Appendix H. Motion - Edward Precinct objects to the proposed development of the WHT and requests Transport for NSW to reassess the positive and negative impacts of the project.</p>	<p>gs/Community Engagement/WHT community campaign</p> <p>UPDATE (8 May 2020) Council's draft submission responding to the WHT and Warringah Freeway Upgrade EIS - Item 6, as well as four related Mayoral Minutes (MM01 to MM04) were considered at the (rescheduled) Council meeting held Monday 6 April 2020. Minutes available in the website. https://www.northsydney.nsw.gov.au/Council Meetings/Meetings/Council Meetings</p> <p>In relation to MM01, Council resolved (Min No. 52):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That Council begin a public campaign seeking widespread community support for changes to the Western Harbour Tunnel and Warringah Freeway Upgrade project to ameliorate the impact on the North Sydney LGA. 2. That the General Manager report back to Council on an appropriate budget to fund the campaign and an appropriate commencement date. 3. That the campaign direct people to contact the NSW Premier, in both her capacity as Premier and Member for Willoughby, seeking an agreement to fund the cost of measures to address and mitigate the impacts that have been identified. 4. That Council write to the NSW Premier seeking financial and/or other compensation for the temporary and permanent loss of amenity created by the Western Harbour Tunnel project. 	

Month	Item	Actions	Council's Reply	Status
	3	<p>57/19 Floating Dry Dock at Noakes resubmitted. Closes 13 April 2020 - DA 1164/90, the current DA includes a public jetty, public water and various other conditions including construction of a stack have not been completed (30 years later). Should a further DA be approved, the current DA should be completed first. Various penalty notices from the Council and EPA (for pollution) have been issued. Hence there are two separate issues - current operation of the boatyard, and proposed FDD. Motion: Edward Precinct objects to the proposed operation of a floating dry dock in Berrys Bay as it could damage the environment and have significant negative impacts on local residents. Carried unanimously.</p>	<p>Comments noted by Council's Executive Assessment Planner.</p>	<p>Closed for Council</p>
	4.7	<p>Combined Precinct Committee meeting, 18 February 2020 - items raised by Edward Precinct Committee: Wollstonecraft Ward Forum - According to NSC the Forums provide an overview of key Council projects underway within each Ward. They aim to inform residents and businesses of changes in their local area and provide an opportunity to meet and ask questions of the Mayor, Ward Councillors, General Manager, and senior staff. One issue raised - Currently an East West divide planned. A North South divide is thought by many Precincts areas to be better (with Warringah Freeway as boundary). This will be raised as a discussion point in the next Precinct meeting.</p>	<p>Council's Community Engagement Coordinator advises that on 16 March 2020, Council announced that all scheduled Community Ward Forums were cancelled due to the evolving Coronavirus situation.</p> <p>UPDATE (August 2020) At its meeting held 22 June 2020, Council considered <i>Notice of Motion (NoM) No. 7/20 - Ward Boundaries for NSW Local Government Elections 4 September 2021 - Community Consultation</i>. The NoM was not endorsed by Council.</p>	<p>Closed for Council</p>